1	
2	
3	
4	
5	CAMBRIDGE LICENSE COMMISSION
6	
7	Li cense Commi ssi on General Heari ng Thursday, November 8, 2012
8	in the
9	Michael J. Lombardi Building
10	Basement Conference Room 831 Massachusetts Avenue
11	Cambri dge, Massachusetts
12	6: 05 p.m.
13	Mi chael P. Gardner, Chai r
14	Chi ef Geral d R. Reardon, Fi re Department, Commi ssi oner Robert C. Haas,
15	Police Department
16	Elizabeth Y. Lint, Executive Director
17	
18	
19	REPORTERS, INC. CAPTURING THE OFFICIAL RECORD
20	617. 786. 7783/FACSIMILE 617. 639. 0396 www. reportersi nc. com
21	
_ I	

1	LNDEV
2	I NDEX Page
3	Disciplinary: Everest Crossing, LLC d/b/a OM Restaurant & Lounge 149
4	
5	Informational: Everest Crossing, LLC d/b/a OM Restaurant & Lounge 3
6	Informational: National Tire & Battery 284
7	Application: A. A. M., Incorporated d/b/a Beauty's Pizza 307
8	Application: Voltmeter, LLC
9	d/b/a Vol tage Coffee and Art 322
10	Application: Barismo, Incorporated d/b/a Dwelltime 351
11	
12	Policy: License Commission will consider what further action to take subsequent to its
13	votes of September 27, 2011, concerning regulation of third party contracting within the hotel industry.
14	
15	Ratifications: Medallion #213, Sale/Transfer Modallion #213 Finance
16	Medallion #213, Finance Medallion #194, Refinance
17	Medallion #188, Refinance Medallion #116, Refinance Medallion #144 Refinance
18	Medallion #144, Refinance Medallion #45, Refinance
19	Medallion #85, Refinance 359
20	
21	

PROCEEDINGS

general hearing, Thursday, November 8, 2012, 6:05 p.m. We're in the Michael J. Lombardi Municipal Building, 831 Mass. Ave., basement conference room.

Before you are the Commissioners, Chairman Michael Gardner, Chief Gerald Reardon, and Commissioner Robert Haas.

First matter that we'll be taking tonight is informational Everest Crossing, LLC, doing business as OM Restaurant and Lounge, Bikram Yonjan, Manager, holder of an all alcoholic beverages as a restaurant license and entertainment license at 92 Winthrop Street, due to an incident on August 31, 2012 at one a.m. where a patron was allegedly struck with a glass bottle.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: So why don't we have the officer step forward.

OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: Mind if I

1	sit down, sir?
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Oh, yes, pl ease.
3	ELIZABETH LINT: The alleged victim
4	may be here.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm sorry, you
6	sai d?
7	ELIZABETH LINT: The second one
8	down.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: We're doing the
10	second one first?
11	ELI ZABETH LI NT: Yes.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: I just ask the
13	parties here to state, spell your names for
14	the record, and also briefly identify your
15	affiliation.
16	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: Officer
17	Peter Vellucci. Last name is
18	V-e-I-I-u-c-c-i, Cambridge Police Department.
19	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Sol mon Chowdhury,
20	C-h-o-w-d-h-u-r-y owner of OM Restaurant and
21	Lounge, Everest Crossi ng, LLC.

1 Andrea Boyer, chief ANDREA BOYER: 2 licensing investigator, B-o-y-e-r. 3 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, Ms. Boyer, 4 would you begin and set the stage for us, 5 pl ease. 6 ANDREA BOYER: Yes, sir. 7 This office received a complaint 8 pertaining to an alleged incident pertaining 9 with a bottle at OM establishment. 10 alleged victim -- and I believe the father 11 were very concerned about this happening 12 while she was on premise. What we normally 13 do in that case is we get the -- we receive 14 the police report and then I asked OM for 15 their version of the story. There were two 16 different sides of the story so we usually 17 have an informational hearing to discuss the 18 di screpanci es. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: All right. 20 And if you could, Officer Vellucci, 21 would you explain your role in the matter?

OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: Yes, sir.

2

I'll read my report.

19

20

21

On August 31st at 1:03 a.m. a disturbance erupted inside. Excuse me, on the above date and time. Officer Mike Taylor, Ryan Callanan and I were patrolling Winter Street by JFK Street on foot for a vi si bl e presence. A femal e approached us from the entrance of OM with smeared blood down her left arm. She stated that her friend was covered in blood. As I notified ECC of the issue, the victim, Maria Valasio Garcia (phonetic) walked out of OM with a blood-soaked napkin covering her forehead. She had a large amount of blood on her face, arms, dress, and legs. I notified ECC of the She was calm and well spoken. i ni uri es. stated that there was a large group of girls that were arguing on the second floor of OM. When she turned around, a large female smashed a glass on her forehead. As she

20

21

looked down at the glass on the ground, she felt the warm blood drip down her face. left the building. When Ms. Valasio Garcia fled the exit, she walked directly into us. She stated that she couldn't identify the Officer R. Callanan retrieved his gi rl . medical bag from the cruiser and administered medical attention to the victim. Due to the large crowd on Winthrop Street, Officer Taylor stayed with officer -- Officer Callanan and gathered information pertaining to the victim. I went up to the second floor of OM with the manager Ed Artie. As I walked up the stairs, there was a large group of Numerous women began to approach me and pointed to a small group of four females as they shouted, "They were the ones in the fight." As I approached the four females, they began to shout at another small group in I noticed the argument was front of them. increasing. I was there by myself.

stepped in between the two groups, and informed them to come downstairs so we could discuss the matter outside. Due to the growing disturbance between the two girls, I told Ed Garrity to shut down the second floor. As the females exited the building with me, they began to berate me with racist Ms. Valasio Garcia was sitting down remarks. on a chair beside the other officer. stated that, "That girl." And she pointed to Sandy Barboza. And I asked her how sure she She said, "That was the girl that hit was. with the me glass. I'm a hundred percent." As I tried to walk over and talk to Miss Barboza to hear her side, she became belligerent and called my a racist. And she said, "That bitch started it." And threw her high heels on the ground. Sergeant Carney, Lieutenant Steve Ahern arrived on scene. explained the situation to them. arrived, and informed us that she would need

numerous stitches because there was a deep laceration to her forehead. I placed Sandy Barboza under arrest and called for the patrol wagon. Officer R. Callanan was detaining Miss Barboza until I talked to the paramedics. Once she was transported in the patrol wagon, Officer R. Callanan informed me that Miss Barboza said, "Bitches wanted to start shit so I finished it." She was sent to the Mass. General, and the defendant was transported by Officer Jones to the station.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Did you make any observations of the defendant's apparent level of intoxication or non-intoxication?

OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: She didn't appear to be inebriated. She was well-spoken. She was just very, very rude. She was very aggressive. She had her heels on. And then once she seen the other girl, she stood up, took her -- well, she was standing up, lifted her legs up, took her

heels off and threw them on the ground. And basically that was after the incident that already occurred, and she was involved in the fight on the second floor.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: And did you form any impression as to whether or not there were any issues within the establishment with respect to, for example, overcrowding?

OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: It was, very, very, very packed. I did not get a number of people in there, but it was very tight quarters. The place was filled up just as a walk-in, walk-in perspective, try to get through, try to get upstairs. I was in full uniform. I had a flashlight just trying to get people out of the way, but it was very busy. But at the same point I didn't get a count.

MICHAEL GARDNER: And could you describe in any detail the conversation you had with the general manager, and in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

particular what the manager or any other staff knew about the incident or knew what had led up to the incident?

OFFI CER PETER VELLUCCI: When I spoke to the girl that originally came over to me, she said, you know, the girls are upstairs that were in the fight. He came over to us and he goes, Are they upstairs? He goes, well, we'll go in. As far as I know, he didn't know anything about the fight, and anything that transpired prior to walking into the place, me and the other And then when I was upstairs, it female. was, it was even tough just to talk to the They were screaming at each other. females. So I had to go in there. The only way I got their attention was because I had a flashlight. And I told them we have to get this music off. We have to shut it down. He said no problem. At that point, and he went downstairs to talk to somebody. I think it

1 was about maybe 15, 20 minutes before 2 everything started getting cleared out. 3 There was just a lot of people there. 4 music was -- it was tough to talk. 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you have the 6 opportunity to interview any of the staff who 7 were in the location who may or may not have 8 seen what happened? 9 OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: As far as I 10 knew, there was no staff on that area that 11 seen it. I asked, I tried to speak to 12 anybody who seen any type of the fight. 13 Nobody said that they seen it. They were 14 helpful as far as shutting the -- trying to 15 get everybody out off the second floor and 16 stuff like that, but nobody that worked there 17 in the establishment seen the actual, the 18 physical altercation. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 20 Other questions for Ms. Boyer or 21 Officer Vellucci?

1 ROBERT HAAS: So you coul dn't gauge 2 how many people were actually -- was it 3 crowded on the second floor or the first 4 floor? 5 OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: It was, it 6 was busy. The whole place was extremely, 7 extremely bus. 8 ROBERT HAAS: So describe the 9 activity that was taking place on the first 10 floor versus the second floor. 11 OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: It was 12 mostly dancing. It looked pretty calm. 13 People were dancing, you know, drinking, have 14 a good time being sociable. But the music 15 was really loud. As far as, like, me and 16 Edgar were talking, and I had to pull him 17 close to me just to shout out him so he can 18 And I'm like there's too many hear me. 19 people in here. We need to get the security 20 guards and we need to start clearing out this 21 Which he did. But like there were floor.

1 people on the stairs. Once I got up to the 2 stairs, it was just -- they had a small bar 3 in the corner. People were lined up to get 4 the drinks, and that's right at the top of 5 the stairs. So I had to go around. It would 6 have been better if I had more officers 7 But unfortunately given the situation there. 8 we didn't. It was, it was very busy. 9 ROBERT HAAS: So people were 10 actually physically standing on the stairs 11 blocking the stairway? 12 OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: There were 13 people standing there talking, you know, 14 because the bar was so busy that, you know, 15 walking through, it was, it was pretty busy. 16 No other questions. ROBERT HAAS: 17 GERALD REARDON: Officer Vellucci, 18 do you know if anyone ever approached you and 19 indicated that they were the crowd manager as 20 required by law in that particular 21 establishment? Did anyone indicate that they

1	in fact were the crowd manager for that
2	facility?
3	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: A crowd
4	manager? No. I know that Edgar already
5	I've seen and dealt with him before. I work
6	Harvard Square mostly. I know that he is the
7	manager of the premise, and if I have any
8	issues or anything, I usually just talk to
9	him. I usually don't talk to any of the
10	other staff. I'm not too familiar with them.
11	GERALD REARDON: Under the law, it
12	goes back about a year, every establishment
13	is required to have a crowd manager on duty
14	at the time.
15	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: Nobody
16	identified themselves as a crowd manager.
17	GERALD REARDON: Okay, thank you.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And has there been
19	a disposition of the criminal case if you
20	know?
21	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: There has
	1

1	not.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right.
3	Now, call to the audience as to whether
4	or not there are any individuals here who
5	have firsthand knowledge of the incident who
6	would like to come forward, identify
7	themselves, and state for the record.
8	Before I ask for the Sergeant, are
9	there any actual witnesses to the events,
10	civilian witnesses to the events or anyone
11	who is involved in any way in the matter?
12	(No Response.)
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: Sergeant. If you
14	could please state and spell your name for
15	the record.
16	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: It's
17	Sergeant Patrick Carney, P-a-t-r-i-c-k
18	C-a-r-n-e-y.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: And if you
20	describe your involvement with the matter,
21	si r?
	1

1	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I
2	responded to the scene as Sergeant. A
3	Sergeant is supposed to respond whenever
4	there's a call to a licensed establishment.
5	I responded after the officers did. I was
6	there when they were placing the party under
7	arrest, and when the victim was being taken
8	in the ambulance to the hospital.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And did you have
10	anything in addition to add to the
11	information provided by Officer Vellucci?
12	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: No. Just
13	that it appeared to be extremely crowded. A
14	lot of people poured out into the street
15	afterwards. There was problems later on.
16	There was a second incident. I don't know if
17	he spoke about that, where another party was
18	placed under arrest assaulting an officer.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: We're going to
20	just deal with this one now I believe. Okay?
21	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Okay.

1	This was the same night, though, just later
2	on in the evening.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
4	And I'm sorry, approximately what time
5	did this incident occur?
6	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: One a.m.
7	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Yes, one
8	a.m.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: Are you generally
10	familiar with the crowd capacity limitations
11	for this establishment?
12	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: From what
13	I understand it's around 200, around there
14	somewhere.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. And did you
16	make any effort to determine whether or not
17	they were within their capacity?
18	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Just from
19	an estimate it would it appeared to be a
20	lot more than that when the people began to
21	come out to the street afterwards.

MICHAEL GARDNER: But you didn't
take a count?
SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I didn't
take a count, no.
MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
Other questions?
ROBERT HAAS: No other questions.
GERALD REARDON: No questions.
MICHAEL GARDNER: If you like to
explain the position of the establishment.
Thanks.
SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We do have about
six security that night that worked there.
We had three of them on the second floor,
three of them on the first floor. So I do
have a statement from the security guys. We
did have a manager who was at the restaurant
that night. Unfortunately, I wasn't there
that night. But as soon as I got a phone
call that there was an incident, I came over
there right away. I was actually at Central

19

20

21

I went over there. Edgar is no Square. longer working at the restaurant. I kind of left him in charge of the restaurant for a little while, and some of this incident started happening so we got rid of him and I -- I'm looking for someone else to replace But in the meantime I'm going to be hi m. there more often to make sure that everything is under control. And it's the right crowd that we have. In term of capacity, the security guys, as soon as I went there, I talked to everybody and everybody said we were under capacity, we were at capacity. We weren't over capacity. So I do have the statements from the security here.

MICHAEL GARDNER: If you can turn them in for the record.

With respect to Edgar's no longer working there or your stated concerns, please advise us as to, from your perspective, what you think went wrong that night or what

should have been done differently.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: From what I understand is that we had -- we have two different floor, and we had a birthday party that was booked downstairs. We have a small private room next to our lounge. I can give you our floor plan. So then there was a different group of people that was upstairs on the second floor. The party that was in the private room downstairs, apparently one of the girl from upstairs came downstairs trying to get into the private room, trying to talk to this girl that -- from what I understand, that they had issues before with They knew from before. each other. So, we -- security didn't let her into the private room, but then later on I guess the girl from downstairs ended up going upstairs and this is how it all started.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, what if anything do you think your staff could have

1 done differently to help alleviate the 2 situation, if anything? 3 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: They did talk to both of the girls and they -- they said that 4 5 they're not gonna fight and they're okay. 6 They know each other. And everything was 7 fine until apparently 1:15 this is what 8 happened when the girl was upstairs. 9 Everybody was enjoying... 10 MICHAEL GARDNER: And so I guess I'm 11 not sure why Edgar lost his job. 12 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, it's, it's 13 not that, you know, it's -- we are more of a 14 restaurant/lounge, but he, you know, was more 15 of a working thinking that he was more of a 16 promoter and bringing in the wrong crowd. 17 We'd have a line outside which is, you know, 18 we want to make sure that we're at capacity 19 so there would always be a lot of people 20 outside waiting to get in. We don't want 21 to. . . .

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So we've heard
2	references to dancing on the second floor.
3	And you say it's sort of a restaurant, but in
4	fact this was a dance facility in essence
5	that evening?
6	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We do have a
7	dancing license. We do have a DJ and dancing
8	license.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Any other
10	questi ons?
11	GERALD REARDON: So on the night in
12	question, I've read the statements of the
13	three security people, not one of them
14	references anything about crowding or not
15	overcrowdi ng.
16	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Because we were
17	at
18	GERALD REARDON: So what I need from
19	you who was the crowd control manager
20	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
21	GERALD REARDON: of record that

ni ght.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We always have a crowd control manager.

GERALD REARDON: Who that was. That person has to be on the list of approved managers, take the training. And they should have a head count for you. That's their job. You should be able to walk in to that person and say what's our occupancy right now, and that's what they're there for.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.

GERALD REARDON: They're required to be there.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We print out the list from the website every night before we start our night, and we have it on file every night. And I apologize that I didn't bring it. It just didn't even cross my mind that -- but we do that every night we have entertainment. At the beginning of the night we print it out from the website, signs it,

1 we have certificates on file, and it's kept 2 on file. 3 GERALD REARDON: What kind of 4 certi fi cates? 5 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: The crowd control 6 manager certificate. 7 GERALD REARDON: Ri ght. And the 8 crowd control manager has to know what the 9 occupancy is of the building. That's what 10 their job is. 11 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Ri ght. 12 GERALD REARDON: So if I walked up to them at 10:30, they should be able to tell 13 14 me that we have, you know, 135 people. 15 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Exactly. We have 16 somebody at the door. The crowd control 17 manager is usually inside then she'll go in 18 the front, or he or she will go in the front 19 and ask the door person what is your count. 20 And we have clickers that keep track of how 21 many people.

1	GERALD REARDON: So do you know who
2	was the person of record that night?
3	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: That night was
4	Dejay Boston (phonetic). Dejay is her first
5	name and Boston is her last name.
6	GERALD REARDON: And she can provide
7	the documentation of what she did that night?
8	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Exactly.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: So I think we
10	would like a written statement from that
11	individual along with a copy of whatever
12	document of certification you have for that
13	eveni ng.
14	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Absolutely.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: And that should in
16	particularly address for that time of night
17	what the crowd was.
18	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. I'll
19	provi de that.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You can supplement
21	the record with that.

1 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Okay. 2 ROBERT HAAS: So it's my 3 understanding you still do physical counts of 4 people coming and going out of the 5 restaurant; right? 6 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Absolutely. 7 Every night we have, whoever is at the door 8 checking ID, also has a clicker, two 9 clickers; one is for in and one is for out. 10 Every night we have that. 11 ROBERT HAAS: In the past you've had 12 problems with the accuracy of those counts. 13 I'm just wondering if we now are going back 14 to a situation where we're now seeing this 15 happeni ng agai n. 16 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Ri ght. Ιt 17 becomes difficult because we have two 18 different floors, so now, you know, we 19 started having four counts; one will be at 20 the front door, two will be -- I mean, the 21 second two will be at the stairs to go

1	upstairs and downstairs.
2	ROBERT HAAS: Okay. Do you keep a
3	record of those counts at all or no? Or just
4	the clickers themselves?
5	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: It's just the
6	clickeritself, yeah.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: You didn't bring
8	Edgar with you this evening?
9	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Anything else you
11	want to add?
12	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Um, no,
13	that's
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: In your opinion,
15	what could have been done differently to
16	prevent the assault or the seriousness of the
17	assault? I guess that includes the issue of
18	the use of glass.
19	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: First thing we
20	could have done is when we realized that it
21	would have been an incident later on, we

could have got both of the parties out, but they kind of like promised us that wouldn't happen. And it also tough to say, you know, if they promising us that won't happen that it's really tough to say what they're going to do later on. But usually if any situation like that that we see that that might be a future threat, we'll take care of them.

We'll take them out right away.

Second thing is maybe we can go into not using any bottles or go into plastic cups.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, there was some reference in the materials I reviewed in one of the cases where there appeared there was a substantial use of plastic. Just describe what your practice is with respect to that. You have both glass and plastic?

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. If you are ordering a cocktail, we do give out a cocktail glass or rock glass. But if it's a

1	beer, then we'll put it in plastic cups.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: And do you just
3	serve bottles of beer?
4	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, we have draft
5	beers. We do both, draft and bottle.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: So you'll serve a
7	bottle?
8	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We'll pour it in
9	the a cup then.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: And then you'll
11	retain the bottles as opposed to leaving them
12	on the table or with the patron?
13	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yeah, we take the
14	bottle away. We don't bring the bottles to
15	the table. To get a drink you have to go to
16	the bar. And, you know, bartender will serve
17	it in a plastic cup.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Any other
19	questi ons?
20	ROBERT HAAS: And you have a crowd
21	control manager every time you have an event

1	there?
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yes. Every night
3	we open for business we always have a crowd
4	control manager.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Are there any
6	other individuals here who have any personal
7	knowledge about this particular incident who
8	want to speak?
9	(No Response.)
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none, we'll
11	we also have on the agenda a separate
12	incident later that evening. I'm just
13	aski ng
14	ELIZABETH LINT: It's from we
15	don't have something else from that evening.
16	We have from three other dates.
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: All right. Okay.
18	And just describe for me, Ms. Lint, the
19	purpose of you've listed this as
20	i nformati onal .
21	ELIZABETH LINT: As Ms. Boyer said

at the outset, that when she interviewed the establishment, she had one story. When she interviewed the alleged victim, it was something completely different. So in order to make a determination should we have a disciplinary hearing or what the circumstances would be, we schedule informational hearings.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.

I'm not sure I understand, Ms. Boyer,
the difference in the stories from what we've
heard tonight. If you could --

ANDREA BOYER: Right. One of the main things we look for also is the fact that if we called the police and it was said at one time that the establishment did not call for the police to come in fact. So that was one of the main reasons we were having an informational hearing. And basically, though, the alleged victim is not here, there was a -- some discrepancies that were part of

1	the OM's report, but they're not here to
2	veri fy that.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And what do the
4	ECC records show with respect to the call?
5	ANDREA BOYER: I did not have an ECC
6	record for that call.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm sorry,
8	Mr. Vellucci, would you remind us again about
9	how you became aware of it?
10	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: It was me
11	and two other officers that were walking
12	Winter Street for a visible presence. It was
13	very busy. There were a lot of people there.
14	We wanted a visible presence. We were
15	standing there, and a female came up with
16	blood on her and said that her friend
17	basi cal I y
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay, so it was
19	your self-observation because you were on
20	scene?
21	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: Yes, sir.

1 The female came down the stairs and out the 2 Nobody else came without her. It must door. 3 have took her sometime to get through the 4 crowd and left her friend upstairs and that's 5 when she just happened to walk right into us. 6 MI CHAEL GARDNER: All right. 7 At this point I'm not sure if there's 8 other action to take with respect to this 9 specific incident besides placing it on file. 10 Does anybody feel differently? 11 Obviously we have other issues to deal with 12 later with respect to this institution. 13 I agree. ROBERT HAAS: 14 MI CHAEL GARDNER: All right. 15 So I'll make a motion that this matter 16 be placed on file with the annotation that we 17 remain concerned as to the seriousness of the 18 injury to the individual and we'd recommend 19 that the establishment review their use of 20 glass receptacles. 21 GERALD REARDON: Second.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Motion having been 2 made and seconded, all those in favor signify 3 by saying "Aye." 4 GERALD REARDON: Aye. 5 ROBERT HAAS: Aye. 6 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye. 7 None opposed. 8 Thank you all for coming. To the 9 extent that you're here for this incident, I 10 don't think we need to keep you, and 11 In terms of the rest of the appreciate that. 12 agenda, I think we have an item on the agenda 13 that I think I would like to move forward at 14 this point, and that would be the policy 15 question of License Commission considering 16 further action. What further action to take 17 subsequent to its votes of September 27, 2011, concerning regulations of third-party 18 19 contracting within the hotel industry. So we 20 appreciate -- I understand you're here. 21 We'll just ask you to wait.

1	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Sure.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: With respect to
3	thi s.
4	ELIZABETH LINT: We'll let the
5	officers go.
6	OFFICER PETER VELLUCCI: Thank you
7	very much.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yeah, any officers
9	I tried to make that clear I thought. So
10	any officers who are only here for this,
11	pl ease, you' re rel eased.
12	So with respect to the regulations
13	concerning the hotel industry, could I just
14	have a show of hands as to the number of
15	people who think they might like to testify
16	on that matter?
17	(Rai si ng hands).
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: Doesn't commit you
19	to do it, but all right.
20	So seeing Councillor Decker here, l
21	wonder if you'd be willing to come forward

1 now and have a seat.

COUNCI LLOR MARJORI E DECKER: Sure, thank you.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Appreciate it if you could just state and spell your name for the record.

COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER:

Absolutely. Marjorie Decker, M-a-r-j-o-r-i-e D-e-c-k-e-r. We're good.

All right. Mr. Chairman and
Commissioners, thank you for having us
tonight. I guess I'm just really -- I'm
looking forward to us moving on from here. A
year ago almost we were here with you in this
room and there was a clear 2 to 1 vote in
support of this based on public health and
public safety reasons after probably well
over a year and a half of opportunities to
hear from the public, from the business
community, from researches on the merits of
this, you should have in your hands as well a

legal briefing from Professor Enrich who is former general counsel for A&F to both Governor Weld and Dukakis who also extensively briefed myself and the City Manager and the City Solicitor on the legal merits of why in fact we have a strong legal grounding. I know that there has been some concern about whether or not a potential threat of a lawsuit would place any of us in a position of not being responsible fiduciaries of the city, and I would just, you know, go on to say that I think two things:

I think one, the legal briefing that he's provided, and I believe he's here tonight, also, if he has questions, makes it very, very clear we are on strong legal grounds to support the decision that you made last September. And I appreciate that.

And also I don't think the threat of a lawsuit has ever stopped the city from moving

3

2

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

forward with public policy that it's in its legal grounding to do. I won't go into all of the merits of this except to say that I think what this has done, what the Council, unanimously, the policy-making body of the city, has asked you to do, and I believe you have done that, is to look at the best practices that already exist. The majority of Cambridge hotels already see this as their best practice. And, in fact, have made very clear attempts to express that they, in fact, would never provide outsource their housecleaning staff for a variety of reasons. I also know that there's been concerns about sort of what does it mean for government regul ati on?

And I just remind all of us that a license is a privilege. It's a privilege to operate. And this license exists and it's on the books, and if people think it's symbolic, then there should be work to take it off the

books. At the moment it's not symbolic. You cannot operate a hotel or an inn without this innkeeper's license.

We also, I believe, encouraged you to not include operations that had less than 100 employees believing that those were well managed, and that the opportunities to really understand who was in and out of guest rooms was far more manageable than larger operations would be.

And so, I guess I'm a little curious to see where we're going tonight. Based on my conversations with the Manager, our understanding is if this really is to move forward to promulgate language for the regulations, why it is taking this long is still a bit of a mystery to me, and -- but I really do appreciate the time and the effort that has gone into this. And, you know, Mr. Chairman, you've made your position very clear on this. And I'm sorry that you were

1 in the minority -- not sorry. Actually I'm 2 But, you know, I think it's been very happy. 3 clear that the policy-making body of the city has spoken, that there's been a clear 2 to 1 4 5 vote on this, the legal grounds to stand on 6 this is very clear, and I just look forward 7 to seeing how we're going to move forward 8 with actually coming up with the language. 9 Also, if it's of any help to you, since 10 we've taken quite a while to get here, I'm 11 also for the record, happy to provide you 12 with language that would actually help you 13 move along developing that regulation which I 14 think is pretty straight forward. But I'm 15 also happy to answer any questions that you 16 might have. 17 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay, thank you 18 very much. 19 COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: Thank 20 you for your time. 21 We'll take -- we MI CHAEL GARDNER:

have had extensive testimony in this matter before, so I'd just appreciate it if there are any presenters, you could keep your remarks to a relatively modest limit, three minutes, five minutes, please.

If you could please state and spell your name for the record.

TERRENCE SMITH: Terrence Smith,
T-e-r-r-e-n-c-e S-m-i-t-h. I'm the director
of government affairs with the Cambridge
Chamber of Commerce at 859 Massachusetts
Avenue. I'm a Cambridge resident. I've
spoken on this issue before. My members are
very concerned that this is extending the
regulatory authority of the License
Commission beyond, beyond what was intended
under state statute. I'm not an attorney,
but that is a concern of my members who are
licensed by the city to do many things.

We have a particular concern with the
-- this particular decision in that at the

Commission had received limited information from the hospitality industry, and that the hospitality industry and other businesses were not present when that decision was made. There has since been discussions with the industry, and it's not clear that that changes the need for extending the authority that the -- of the License Commission al ready has.

Also I want to remind the Commissioners that -- remind the members of the License Commission, that there has been limited complaints about health, safety or public welfare issues against -- around any of the hotels, inns, motels in Cambridge, and that none that we have been able to find and that I believe staff has been able to find were specific to housekeeping issues by the management of the hotels.

So I want to go on the record that we do believe that this is a -- that this is an overreach. We understand the reason that this is being asked for. We also, just one concern that I have raised before, and I will raise again, the limited staff and resources the License Commission adding a new wrinkle at this time it is not clear that the License Commission is in a position to extend the authority particularly given that the -- what would be regulated is so questionable in terms of legal standing and authority.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.

Are there any other persons who'd like to speak? Please, again, if you could state and spell your name for the record and also briefly your affiliation.

PETER ENRICH: Certainly. My name is Peter Enrich, E-n-r-i-c-h. I'm professor

1

of law at Northeastern University School of Law, and I have sent you a fairly extensive memo addressing the legal issues that have been discussed in this matter. I'm not going to hold myself out as an expert on the substance of whether the regulation is advisable or not, but I do think that I can offer my expertise and the opinion that if the Commission chooses to enact the proposed type of regulation as you voted in your September hearing, you would be very well within your legal authority. You would be, the statements that were made by the two members of the Commission who voted in favor of the regulation at that time were amply justified under the statute that you are responsible for enforcing. And based on review of all of the case law in this area, I'm quite comfortable in stating that you would be thoroughly within your duties and rights to act.

I would be happy if you have any questions to respond to them, but I think otherwise my memo speaks for itself, but I did want to at least introduce myself to you and give you a chance if you do have any questions that I could help with.

MICHAEL GARDNER: I think in some of the correspondence I reviewed, the offer was made, although I'm not sure it was made by you, that you might be available to help in the drafting of regulations. And I was going to ask whether this might have been your work or just for you to follow up generally on the matter of your willingness involvement so far, that's okay.

PETER ENRICH: Councillor Decker did ask me just in the last few days whether I would be able to assist with the drafting. I said I would be happy to do so. I have not had the opportunity to do so and saw the draft about the same time that you did, and

1 I'm really not in a position to comment on 2 I would be happy, if it would be helpful 3 to the Commission, to work with the City 4 Solicitor in reviewing the draft and assist 5 on the draft. 6 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER: 7 much for that offer. 8 Are there any other members of the 9 public who would like to be heard? Please 10 again, come forward, state and spell your 11 name for the record, and briefly your 12 affiliation. 13 Good evening. DENI SE JI LLSON: 14 Denise Jillson, D-e-n-i-s-e J-i-l-l-s-o-n. 15 I'm the Executive Director of the Harvard 16 Square Business Association and I testified 17 before so I will be very brief. 18 Our members are very concerned about 19 this regulation. This is an industry that we 20 feel is amply regulated, and this is just 21 adding more burden particularly for two

reasons.

21

One is as Terry stated, there have been very few issues around public health and safety within our hotel industry here in Cambridge. I think the other thing that really concerns me, is that, you know, we just came off of one of the busiest summers We know of the number of in Cambridge. tourists in Cambridge particularly Harvard Square exceeded the number of people ever in The message that sends is that hi story. there's somehow a problem here. And there's We know that. We know we not a problem. have incredibly responsible hotel management. And the fact that we're creating regulation where there's really no need for it, sends a message to the rest of the country and frankly to the rest of the world, that there might be a problem here and that we should maybe think about going elsewhere. So those are my two concerns. Again, overregulation

1 and just the message that we're sending. We 2 hope that you'll take those into 3 consi derati on. 4 Thank you. 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very 6 much. 7 Are there any other members of the public who'd like to be heard in this matter? 8 9 MI CHAEL MEDEI ROS: Good evening. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: State and spell 11 your name for the record. 12 MI CHAEL MEDEI ROS: Mi ke Medei ros, 13 general manager for the Royal Sonesta Hotel, 14 Cambri dge. 15 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And just spell 16 your last name, please. 17 MI CHAEL MEDEI ROS: M-e-d-e-i -r-o-s. 18 And also I'll be brief. I just wanted to 19 talk about -- I know that I've given 20 statements before. I think it's unfair to 21 target the hospitality industry. And I think

20

21

I agree with Denise what she says, I think it sends out the wrong message to any guest coming to Cambridge. I think we are sending the wrong message and it will affect the hospitality industry, but also the City of Now we all work hard everyday to Cambri dge. expose what Cambridge is great about and what we offer. We all as general managers are responsible everyday to do training and make sure that the safety is there for all of our guests and our employees. And, you know, to me it's a big insult that it's brought to the table that we are not running operations like that, and we are. So, I just want to state on the record that there has been few complaints, and I think it's unfair. that's it.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very much.

Are there any other members of the public who'd like to be heard on this matter?

(No Response.)

21

Seeing none, I'll MI CHAEL GARDNER: give the Commissioners the opportunity to speak should they care to do so. Generally I would indicate that I believe that it is in fact well within the responsibility and authority of the License Commission to promulgate reasonable regulations as they relate to the health and safety of any citizens or visitors to Cambridge who may interact with the industries or institutions that we license. That certainly includes the travelling public. And in that regard I am pleased with the general view that the Commission does have broad authority to make such regulations. It is also my view that that authority should be exercised with discretion, and that we should be careful not to overreach into the individual operations or management of particular institutions, including procurement and employment

there are health or safety issues to address, I think the preferable way to do that is to deal directly with those issues and promulgate standards as needed regarding health and safety expectations as opposed to doing it indirectly through trying to effect, for example, employment practices. So that's my general view, I think I stated at a much greater length earlier.

I continue to believe that the appropriate and responsible way for the Commission to act in this matter is to have a narrow focussed approach to the issues as they relate to health and safety. And my own general view is that the record that we have established shows, in fact, very few, if any, problems with respect to either safety or health or cleanliness within our hotels, and that at the present time it is unnecessary for us to interfere with the employment

practices of the industry both because I don't see the level of problem as it relates to health and safety, and I don't necessarily see that the solution to any either actual or hypothetical health and safety problems is best handled by effecting employment practices as opposed to dealing with standards of cleanliness and training.

Do you have any comments?

ROBERT HAAS: Go ahead.

along during this I like to be proactive than reactive. There has been a change over the years in the type of infectious diseases and other things that probably weren't here that we didn't have to deal with on a regular basis say ten years ago. And I am concerned about some of the issues that have been brought up in terms of regulations, and that we make sure that the health and safety and welfare is being handled evenly and fairly at

all the locations. I don't know how we get to that particular point, but by making sure that the regulations include that the standards are met by all, and it's not a varying slide depending on which location you go to.

So as I said earlier, I would like to see something enacted to make sure that everything is on a level playing field in terms of the type of personnel that are servicing these rooms. And we don't want to pick out one particular thing, but all across the board, that all of the locations are having the same rules and regulations and minimal training to make sure that this is in fact being done on a regular basis.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Anything you wish to add?

ROBERT HAAS: So I would -- I concur with the professor's review of the law. We basically looked at this thoroughly. I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the License Commission does have the authority to promulgate such regulations in the interest of the public good. It's a very low standard threshold with respect to being able to promulgate such regulations.

The question I have quite honestly is, and this has really surfaced since we've taken our last vote, is are we doing reputable harm to the industry? Are we sending the wrong message about the hotels within this community? And, you know, having heard those concerns and actually thinking that, you know, that may cause an adverse situation, I went back and looked at the data very closely. And over the last four year period I could not find, for all 20 hotels, any evidence of any consistent or problematic issues within the hotels within the City of Cambridge. So I want to be very clear that the actions that we're taking with respect to that vote are not a reflection on a view that

there's a problem with respect to how our hotels are being operated and managed within the city. And it's the last thing I want to do in terms of causing financial harm to the city or embarrassing the city or having anybody take a different view of the city because I think there are a lot of great things that go on in the city. And so I was quite taken back by the notion that that was the kind of reputation that was being portrayed, that the city needed to make this action because there was some problem it was trying to fix.

So that's my overriding concern with the respect to the promulgation of regulation, that now basically it's participatory since there is no physical evidence, it's just the fact that we need to take action because we're trying to correct a problem. A lot of it was I think speculative at best in terms of the concerns I had with

16

17

18

19

20

21

respect to the security and sanitation of the hotel rooms, and I think that was more of a But, you know, I'm also troubled reacti on. by the fact that if I don't have physical evidence to suggest that I need to do a wide sweep with respect to a regulation that it may be overly burdensome for the industry and maybe too draconian in terms of taking that step as a first measure without really looking at the rules and regulations and the standards in which the hotels are abiding by, and is there a uniform application with those standards with respect to security and sanitation of the hotels and the management and operations of the hotels. And so I am troubled by the notion that it did create that impression, and I do not want that to be the view of the actions taken by the Commission a year ago.

MICHAEL GARDNER: So in our meeting in September we took two votes, one was a 2

to 1 vote to prohibit under certain circumstances the contracting out of services for persons who have unfettered access to hotel rooms to third-party businesses.

The second was a vote which passed three to nothing to review training procedures and standards within the industry and to prepare regulations in that regard.

Councillor Decker is certainly right, it has taken us a long time to get to this point. And I am going to offer a motion for consideration of the Commission. This motion is intended to address both votes from the September 27, 2011, meeting. I am going to read the motion slowly and then if there is a second to the motion, to give the Commission the opportunity to discuss it, and I think I would also entertain if there are any interested parties here who would like to speak to it, to have -- give them the opportunity to do that. Again, I would urge

bri efl y.

So, my motion to -- as the response to both of the votes on September 27, 2011, is that the staff of the Commission be instructed to draft regulations concerning health and safety issues in hotels. Such regulations should address the following points:

Pursuant to the terms of the license granted by the License Commission and state law, the responsibility for insuring that guests have a safe and healthful stay and experience in local hotels rest squarely on the license holder and those managing the hotel.

It is the responsibility of management to insure that guest rooms and common areas are clean, safe and healthful.

New paragraph. It is the responsibility of the management of each hotel to organize, hire, train, and supervise

the staff and service providers of the hotel to insure that these functions are carried out consistent with the expectations of the travelling public, industry standards, and the requirements and expectations of federal, state, and local public health and public safety organizations.

New paragraph. Hotels must meet all Building Code, Fire Code, and Public Health Standards applicable to them, including OSHA regulations concerning toxic and hazardous substances, blood borne pathogens, and hazard communications.

New paragraph. Hotels must insure that all employees and service providers who have access to guest rooms are CORI screened.

That's CORI is criminal offender information data.

New paragraph. Hotels should insure that all staff and service providers with responsibility for guest services are fully

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

trained on OSHA and other public health requirements, emergency procedures, including evacuation plans, and guest room security procedures and protocols.

New paragraph. Staff and service provider training should incorporate the recommendations outlined in the Cambridge Public Health Department Letter of September 14, 2011. A copy of which is in the record. Including, for example, use of language appropriate materials, use of high quality photographs useful in identifying various public health threats, including photographs of bedbugs in various development stages, room inspection procedures, linen handling procedures, procedures for dealing with blood borne pathogens, needles and other sharps, and environmentally appropriate cleaning fluids and materials. Periodic refresher training should be provided.

New paragraph. Room security

equipment, procedures, and training should be designed to insure maximum accountability and recordkeeping regarding staff and service provider access to guest rooms. Appropriate police department staff should be available for consultation as needed.

New paragraph. Bedbug control procedures outlined in the Cambridge Public Health Department Letter of September 14, 2011, should be implemented by all hotels; in the alternative hotels may submit their own bedbug control program to the License Commission for review and approval. Prior to acting on any such alternative plan, the License Commission will review the plan with appropriate staff at the Public Health Department.

New paragraph. The License Commission reserves the right to issue such additional regulations as may be necessary to protect the public health and safety of the

travelling public.

A couple of -- and that's the end of the motion. A couple of explanatory notes.

I have referenced the bedbugs in part because at least I'm a function of my own experience, and I know I'm an occasional traveller, and whenever I go to a hotel, the thing I worry about is, you know, have bedbugs reached this place? That's -- this comment has got nothing to do with Cambridge hotels. I have -- we have no information about complaints or evidence of infestation of bedbugs in any hotels in Cambridge.

I personally have, however, stayed at a hotel and had blood on my pillow the next morning and decided we were in a room with infestation. It's extremely upsetting and expensive in terms of the luggage and clothes we had to destroy before we got home. And it does seem to me that in terms of at least the challenges facing the industry, this is sort

of the cutting edge thing and a place at which the Public Health Commission saw fit to respond very directly and specifically with recommendations and in terms of our fulfilling our responsibility to deal with safety and health issues in the hospitality industry. It seems to me that that focus and the rest of this motion is the appropriate response for this Commission to take at this time.

Now the very last sentence of the motion that the License Commission reserves the right to issue such additional regulations as may be necessary to protect the public health and safety of the travelling public may go -- it may not need to be stated, but I wanted to explicitly state that if in my view and at any time in the future there are problems which indicate that the -- these regulations are insufficient or that there has been

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

insufficient management attention to quality control and to safety and health, then we put the industry on notice that we are prepared to consider other measures, ones which the industry might find in Commissioner Haas's word more draconian.

But I offer to the Commission this as a -- what I hope would be seen as a measured and considered approach to the potential for problems for safety and health in the hospitality industry, again, stressing that our review to date has not found there are particular issues in that area. We did have a quite serious incident at one of our hotels that we deal t with a few weeks ago. In that case it was really -- the problem had to do with staff who were employees of the hotel and their actions at a particular time. that's I guess neither here nor there to this, but I think that I would recommend to my fellow Commissioners your consideration of

this outline for instruction to staff for the development of regulations as to the appropriate approach to take at this time.

ROBERT HAAS: Can I have a copy of that?

MICHAEL GARDNER: And I'm going to just ask to just see if I have a second for this motion and then I'll open it for public comment.

Is there a second?

two motions that were passed earlier. This deals with a lot of the minimum training and standard that I'm looking for in terms of making sure that it's -- so I do support the regulations that need to be promulgated to make sure that all of the facilities are working on the same basis in terms of health and safety throughout the hotels. So for that purpose I will second it. I do agree with this portion of it.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So the motion 2 having been made and seconded, we'll open it 3 for public comment. 4 Councillor Decker, go ahead, please. 5 COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: Thank 6 you. 7 So I guess I just I have a few 8 comments. I'm a little concerned as I've 9 been working on this for the last couple of 10 years, and the first thing that the 11 Commission wanted to know was what are the 12 potential problems? And I want to remind 13 people that when we talk about the fact that 14 for the last four years there have been no 15 significant problems, we're talking about one 16 hotel that has actually changed the rules. 17 One hotel that has outsourced its 18 housekeeping. And I want to remind you that, 19 in fact, they did have big troubles with the 20 first subcontractor and that's why the 21 Attorney General got involved.

And so then we spent a year and a half actually presenting to the Commission a variety of examples of where when subcontractors from -- of housekeeping comes in, there are a variety -- there are a number of problems. So I don't think it's fair or reflective to think about the last four years when the entire industry actually hasn't followed suit of those rules. And I think that's not -- I think that's a significant point to not have lost here.

So the idea -- and, you know, Chief, I want to thank you for really wanting to be proactive, because that's what this is. It says that while certainly we can say these are the rules that have existed all these years and it's far reaching. Well, the industry itself, a member of the industry changed the rules. And so what this was, what the entire City Council said, was that we are concerned about the health and the

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

wellbeing of our guests and the health and the wellbeing of our community. That we the Council affirm the best practices that already exist in the hospitality industry. So there has not been significant problems because the truth is best practices have been to not outsource their housekeepers. we've spent the last couple of years was talking about what happens when companies come in, supervisors are not on-site, housekeepers cannot always be tracked, keys cannot always be tracked to the housekeeper who had those keys. So it is really, it's just, it's not a -- I feel like there's two different conversations happening here that aren't related.

One is if the entire industry now had followed suit over the last four years and then we still said there were no problems, then we would be looking at a more accurate picture of that what means. But we're

talking one hotel.

And the other hotels have made it very clear, at least the ones I've talked to, most of them, that they would never follow in those footsteps because they do think the best practices for all of the reasons that have been put before you, including you also saw research from the industry itself saying why in fact outsourcing housekeepers is not a -- is not good for the industry and not good for the industry or for guests.

at this late date now to hear -- and I understand the hospitality industry that's opposing this making the argument that this is going to send the wrong message. I have to quite say quite frankly what you just laid out as a possibility here, I think that's a message that's saying we've got criminals who are working in our hotels, we've got bedbugs that are problems. That is far more of a

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

message of there are big problems here in Cambridge, whether intended or not, that's exactly what it says. So, this idea that somehow a year ago when I heard two of the members here talk about why in fact they would support this, walk away -- soundingly walk away from some of that because now the concerns are that a couple of members of the industry in Cambridge, including the Chamber, which is not a surprise, have said that this is the wrong message. Who says that the public and the community thinks that all of a sudden because the City of Cambridge is saying that in response to direct changes of one hotel in the industry, that has decided to subcontract out, that that means don't come to Cambridge because the hotels are in big trouble. The idea that somehow we believe that as a truth. Now, in the last hearing the Chairman did make it clear that the evidence that he was reading was based on

1 that one hotel. I mean, I think that's in 2 the records as well. Well, you know, we've 3 not seen any problems. And I said well, how 4 do we know there haven't been any problems? 5 Where are you getting that information from? 6 And you were reading directly from that 7 hotel's report on this. I'm a little 8 flabbergasted here to say that somehow 9 because a few members of the industry, including the Chamber, have said this is a 10 11 bad public image, that that's somehow being 12 accepted as the truth. Because I would 13 counter that is not the truth. I don't think 14 tourists around the country are saying, Wow, 15 Cambridge has decided to affirm its best 16 practices of its hotel industry, let's not go 17 there, that must mean there's a problem. 18 Because they have regulated its best 19 practices, there really must be such a 20 There's such a disconnect there problem. 21 that I'm really just a little -- I'm just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

taken aback that we could go from point A to this being the universal truth. That's just not clear.

And then I have to tell you that I do think that we will have a lot of public discussion moving forward if this issue of the CORI is left in this of what has just been presented. So you're 19-years-old and you steal someone's car because you just don't have your life together, and you're making really bad choices, and now you're 28, you're 34-years-old and you've gotten your life together. You've spent years really getting it right, and you fail a CORI background check because you stole a car when you were 19? You -- I will say very clearly the three of you -- at least two of you I think have been privy to many conversations that the Council has had over the years around our feelings of where CORI checks are helpful and where they actually in fact hurt

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a group of marginalized people who've made mistakes, I'm not talking about people who have made life threatening mistakes, but have made mistakes that are not life threatening to other people, and now their opportunity to move forward in their life is completely -it's just not there. The obstacles -- there is CORI reform happening around the country and in this state to make sure we get it right, and we're going to take a step backwards and say people who are going into the lowest wage work are now not going to actually be eligible to do that work? And we're going to have to face new -- and, Mr. Chairman, in all fairness I really believe that was an issue that you raised in a rather hostile tone a year ago and I thought it was a red herring quite frankly. It was a way of ensuring that in fact maybe

Now, you come from a labor background,

we didn't support this.

3

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

so I understand that as a former head of personnel in the city, you would not think that the city government should be far reaching into what your perception is, I believe, of employment practices. not what this has been about. And this is not ever been about the public image of the city being a -- it has been about a promise. When hotels are licensed in this city, the promise is you come in here, you will be -the license means that we believe that you are coming into a safe and healthy establishment. Those have been the best The industry chose to change practi ces. One hotel chose to change that. that. if we don't all think that they're lining up right now to see what happens with this decision so that some of them, maybe not all of them, because we have extraordinary people in the city, and I'm not necessarily talking about the people today who own or manage our

hotels, I'm talking about the people in the future. And once this cat is out of the bag, it's gone. The train is gone. And the idea that people are concerned about government further regulating, what is the role of government? The role of government is to ensure that law and order is in place. That people are safe. We regulate people's lives all the time. We regulate how long you have to walk across the street safely.

So, the idea that somehow this is overreaching, that argument's lost on me because this is what government does. The social contract with government is when you are given the privilege to operate, you're expected to operate within the boundaries of that. The idea that somehow we're going to lose tourists in the City of Cambridge because now we're regulating in response to the changes that the industry made, that's just -- I don't believe it. I don't believe

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

someone's going into Boston to come visit

Harvard Square because the City of Cambridge
has affirmed best practices.

So, this is always been about ensuring -- and the research and the materials that were given to you were about what happens to these companies who come in and outsource and the lack of supervision and the lack of continuity around understanding where those workers are and how the training gets done. Quite frankly what you've laid out there with the exception of a couple things, it's already happens. It's already the law quite frankly from most of them. This is not profoundly big changes, because if it were, what you're offering is far more onerous, I believe, on the hotel industry than what we've been saying. What -- two, three of you voted on was to affirm best practices that are already in existence. So why we're going all the way out of our way to avoid affirming

21

best practices in the -- what is seemingly being coming across as a compromise that the Chairman is comfortable with, who clearly wasn't comfortable with this a year ago, I believe there was a threat to quit the position because the vote was so shocking and I'm flabbergasted. unacceptable. And I can just say that this does not speak to the issues that raised this to begin with. issues were the license is a privilege, best practices abound in the city. We have extraordinary hotel years in the city who have said that they would never do what one But one hotel has done it. hotel has done. The industry has changed the laws. That is the only reason why we're looking at this. The City Council did not ask you to look at this out of the blue. It was not something we just sat there one day and said, Wow, let's just affirm these best practices by way No, it was a direct response of regulation.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to the -- a member of the industry changing the rules. And I think we can safely say at one point there will be other members of the industry who will want to follow suit. And I will ask you to think about the research and the testimony that you have been given that talks about what these outsourcing companies do. To think about what it is actually -when you have a housekeeper that now has to double the rooms that they have to clean because evidence has been given to you about what these outsourcing companies expect of their housekeepers, there's a reason why they're being outsourced and no longer the liability of the hotel. The expedience is also cost savings. And the problem is that that cost savings comes at a cost potentially to the health, the wellbeing, and the safety of guests in this community.

So do we want to be in a position where, you know, this ruse over here, I don't

need this because this doesn't speak to what the Council raised as a concern. It still comes down to the outsourcing, the lack of accountability of outsourcing, the history and the proof that you have. A year ago we were left with the decision was 2 to 1 and then what came as a reflection later was do we have the legal grounds to stand on that? You have been given that loudly and clearly. The idea that now somehow this is about our reputation, that is new and that's an argument that I've just seen surface tonight. Now if I, who have been working on this for many years, have not heard that as a real argument, this is reaching. This is far reaching at this point. And I can only imagine that the Council's going to want to have a lot of conversation -- and the Council doesn't have authority over you, that's very cl ear. But we are a community and we are a partnership that has dialogue about how our

19

20

21

community is best served. So I can imagine this will raise a lot of dialogue because it does not answer the original concern that we had to begin with. And, again, I will just leave you with it is not fair or accurate to say that there have not been any problems in the last four years when in fact one member of the industry has changed the rules. whole point of this was to say if you're going change the rules, then we have an obligation, we have an obligation to ensure that the privilege you have of practicing and opening up your rooms to members of the world, that we can ensure that you are still safe and that you are still well taken care of. One member has changed that. So will we wait for the rest of the industry to follow suit and then 4, 10, 15 years from now go, wow, we had an opportunity to prevent that and really understand who those hotel keepers are and actually maintain best practices? We

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

blew it and something really, really big and bad happened. I wish we actually had responded to the changes that the industry had made and affirmed their best practices that they practiced as a majority already.

So, those are my thoughts for the moment and for the night and, you know, I have to say that, you know, what you're seeing is -- I'm baffled by this new argument and that somehow the concerns that have been raised and diligently worked on and given to you and researched now come down to a couple of people saying that the reputation of the city is going to implode and somehow tourists are no longer going to come here because the City of Cambridge is regulating best practi ces. That is just mind blowing to me. And that we're going to say well, there have been -- that everything's fine because -- but not in the context of the fact that everything's fine because best practices have

been the practice, that's why everything's fine. And the one opportunity that you have to look at what happened, you thought immediately that that hotel employed a company that is notoriously, notoriously in trouble with the law for their practices with their outsourced housekeepers that they run. And they're not accountable. They're out of state. They don't have local offices here that are accountable to them. That's what we're concerned about, and that's what the Council asked you to look at.

And I will just say again this CORI issue is going to be a much larger community conversation should this actually get adopted tonight. The idea that we are going to create greater obstacles for people who have made not the life threatening ones, but minor mistakes like larceny, that's not minor at the moment, but people have worked really hard to redeem themselves either because

they've served time, they've made amends, they have gone through a restorative justice program. There are lots of ways that people rebuild their lives that we're going to make it harder for those individuals who've worked so hard to redeem their lives and become productive members of our community, to not get one of the lowest wage working jobs in the city? That's, that's, that's not Cambridge.

GERALD REARDON: So, I guess you're opposed to the background checks? I'm not sure if we have to use CORI, but background checks for any employee in terms of before they hire them?

COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: I would say don't --

GERALD REARDON: I mean, we in fact use CORI on certain things, and there might be something in the background, and it's certainly with us, you know, if there's,

something that someone had an OUI when they're 17, and now presenting at 27-years-old and they're clean, to me that doesn't necessary preclude that person from getting employment.

COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: And I would say as for an outsourcing company that is --

GERALD REARDON: I'm only speaking for myself and looking at the history to make sure.

COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: Right.

And Chief Reardon, I have faith in the best practices of the City of Cambridge when it comes to looking at the necessity for CORI checks and how to use CORI check backgrounds.

Outsourced companies that are not based in Massachusetts, that are now going to also have to look at this regulation, I don't know them and I don't trust them. So that's the issue there. And I would say that the hotels

I imagine already use in their current staffing, methods to ensure that background checks are met. So if it's the hotel directly employing the housekeepers, and they continue to use the methods that they use to ensure the backgrounds, there's no problem. And that goes to the argument of affirm the best practices that are currently already in place. But an outsourcing company that has no relationship to our city or to our state, and has a contract with a, for the most part, a large chain hotel, that I have very little faith in.

GERALD REARDON: That was part of my concern on how do we regulate, especially third-party people coming in from out of state.

councillor Marjorie Decker: You prohibit it and you have the local relationship. The local relationship with the local general managers who care just as

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

live here, they work here, they're a part of the fabric, they're a part of the, you know, so many of the non-profit organizations that Many of them in fact are working take place. with those who have been mostly marginalized from mistakes they've made in the past made amends, and in fact brought those folks into their employment. I trust, I trust our hotel I trust our local hospitality years. industry to continue working with you to affirm their best practices that ensure that people who work in the hotels are in fact people who can be trusted to keep their quests safe. I don't trust outsourced companies in other states to filter and make sure that somebody who's committed a petty crime 20 years ago or 15 years ago and has -and I want to be clear, be clear so this doesn't get twisted. I'm talking about people who have made petty crimes who have

much about this community because they either

1	made serious amends in their lives and have
2	worked to apologize and to recommit
3	themselves to living honest, legal, law
4	abiding lives. And that's what the CORI
5	reform conversation has been about in
6	Massachusetts.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very
8	much.
9	COUNCI LLOR MARJORI E DECKER: Thank
10	you.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: Other members of
12	the public who would like to be heard?
13	Please come forward and state and spell your
14	name for the record, please, and identify
15	your affiliation.
16	BRIAN LANG: My name's Brian Lang.
17	I'm the President of Local 26. We represent
18	hotel workers.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: I just ask you for
20	the record, sir, to please spell your last
21	name.

BRI AN LANG: L-a-n-g.

2

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

BRI AN LANG: You know, I care a lot about this industry. I represent 6,000 people who work in it in the Greater Boston area, and I'm very concerned that the industry be able to continue to be able to thrive, because as the industry thrives, the folks that are in our union thrive. I'm very concerned about the -- your proposal because as I heard it, and I haven't had a chance to really review it, it sounds much more onerous in terms of regulation on the whole industry. And I immediately go to the Guleserians who have run the Sheraton Commander for years and They have been great citizens. years. have great relationships with their Go over and above wages and employees. benefits, and have for years. They -- the standard that they set is above any other hotelier in the city. And to think that a

20

21

hotelier who has gone over and above to provide for the community, because many of the people that work in that hotel, and have for years and years and years, are members of our community, is gonna have to expend additional resources to deal with the type of regulation that you're talking about. on the other hand, there's a movement within our industry, you know, at one time 30 years ago most of the hoteliers were like the Guleserians. They were people that lived in and around our communities. Maybe a little richer than most of us, but they had a stake in the community. Today very few hotels are owned and operated by the same organization. For the most part the hotels are more and more being bought up as real estate investments controlled by private equity companies and real estate investment trust companies. Host who owns the Hyatt, it's a real estate trust investment company. The

19

20

21

1

2

largest owner of hotels in the world. And they're incorporated down in Delaware. They have maybe as many people as in this room sitting down there in front of computers looking at the bottom line. And what drove them to contract out, and in my opinion, jeopardize the quality, the safety, the cleanliness of that hotel and other hotels that they own is the bottom line. And the clearest and simplest way to deal with the issue of safety and health is to put the responsibility clearly on the operators of How can you regulate, how can an the hotel. operator of a hotel regulate a contractor like HSS for instance? We can provide for you reams of violations from all over the country that the subcontractors have been committing. And then sometimes they just close up shop, reincorporate under another name and reappear. Without dealing with that, we're never gonna get to what our

primary concern is, and that's the health and safety of the guests. By creating regulations that put a greater burden on the majority of the hoteliers who are doing the right thing anyway, I think it sends the wrong message. I think it sends the message to the public, it sends a message to the good actors in the industry that we think that they're doing something wrong.

Councillor Decker's right, there's one player in the city that has decided to try to change the rules to protect and grow their bottom line before they flip that hotel, because they'll flip it. That's what they do. You know, it's a seven, eight year cycle and then they flip them; right? And then the way that they can sell their real estate investment for a greater profit is by cutting back on services, cutting back on labor, because then they show their profit and loss to the potential buyer and say look, I've

1 increased our ability to make a profit here. 2 Not by occupancy, not by creating better 3 service, but by jeopardizing the health, 4 safety of the public. So I'd ask you to 5 reconsi der. 6 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER: 7 much. 8 BRI AN LANG: Thank you. 9 Are there any MI CHAEL GARDNER: 10 other members of the public who would like to 11 be heard? Please come forward and identify 12 yourself for the record again, please, and 13 spell your name. 14 TERRENCE SMI TH: Thank you agai n. 15 Terrence Smith, T-e-r-r-e-n-c-e S-m-i-t-h. 16 I'm the director of government affairs with 17 the Cambridge Chamber of Commerce. 18 I first want to make a clarification. 19 The issue of whether imposing regulations 20 around health and safety in our hotels as 21 somehow driving people potentially away from

Cambridge isn't a case that I made this evening, and I don't believe that I've made in other venues on this issue. So,

Councillor Decker apparently misheard my statement earlier.

Again, the concern is whether this is necessary, given a clear record of outstanding performance by the hotel industry in Cambridge.

The motion made by the Chair, I have not had the opportunity to discuss with my members in the hotel industry, nor have I had the opportunity to have a discussion with others of our 1500 members. But what I can say is that what is contained in that motion sounds like the practices that are common in the hospitality industry. Mr. Lang just mentioned that we should put the responsibility on the operator of the hotel. That is what the license already does. That is the responsibility that every hotel owner

much.

and operator I have talked to over the last several years here in Cambridge and in other places have been emphatic about. They are responsible for their hotels everyday. Every guest, everyday for their health, safety, their comfort, and that they have an enjoyable experience.

Again, I can't state whether the proposed motion by the Chair is something that our members could easily do. I think it is. Had the industry been invited to the September 2011 meeting where some of these issues were raised, they would have been in a position to ask some -- to answer some of those questions. Unfortunately that meeting did not include an invitation to the industry.

So I will leave my comments brief as requested and thank you for the opportunity.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very

TERRENCE SMITH: If you have any questions, I would be happy to answer them.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Are there any other members of the public who would like to be heard? Why don't we hear from somebody who we haven't heard from yet.

STACEY NI CHOLS: Stacey Ni chol s, N-i -c-h-o-l -s, S-t-a-c-e-y.

I'm the general manager at the Hyatt
Regency, Cambridge. I think I just want to
make some clarifications prior to you making
your decisions about the motion that's on the
floor. I would agree, the hotel industry
already has this responsibility and we as
hoteliers and operators take it extremely
seriously. Employing third-party companies
to supplement our own staffing has been an
industry practice for many, many years to
varying degrees. It addresses issues such as
seasonality. As you know, we're extremely
busy in the summer, but coming into the

winter months, we slow down a bit. So it helps us on he have heavy occasion weekends; the local graduations, Head of the Charles, and that type of things that come to our great city.

I think for that reason we take it very seriously regardless of who the service provider is. And that training is something that is required.

There was a statement earlier that only a couple of hotels opposed this and the industry at large does not oppose the regulations. I would disagree with that and I would tell you that it's in the public record that you have a letter signed by all of the hotels in the Cambridge Hotel Association along with the Harvard Square Business Association, the Central Square Business Association, the Chamber of Commerce for the City of Cambridge. So as business operators outside of the industry and inside

1 of the industry, we oppose this, the 2 promulgation of a regulation restricting how 3 the business is operated on a daily basis. And I think the last thing I would like 4 5 to leave you with is something also that's in 6 the public record; that is, the public health 7 commission's report that regardless of who 8 the service provider is, there is no 9 difference in the industry in terms of public 10 health and safety which is paramount to what 11 we do everyday. And we absolutely recognize 12 and live by our responsibility to provide 13 that for the travelling public and those of 14 our quests in our hotels on a daily basis. 15 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER: 16 much. 17 STACEY NI CHOLS: Thank you. 18 Anyone el se who MI CHAEL GARDNER: 19 would like to speak? 20 Thank you. Deni se DENISE JILLSON: 21 Jillson, Harvard Square Business Association.

Just a couple of issues.

One is I think the idea that somehow the train has left the station is unfounded. This is a regulatory agency, and at any time when you discover problems, you can regulate. That's what you do. So the idea that if something is coming down the pike in the future, you can address it. That's why we meet here. You guys meet a couple of times a months to regulate.

The other issue that I just wanted to mention is that there was one hotel, and one hotel does not make an industry. It's part of an industry. But when you have the entire industry who comes together as they have this evening, as they have in written form where everybody has signed a letter just explaining themselves, as well as the business associations, I just think that on those issues I just wanted to clarify that I think what you presented, and much like Terry, I

1 haven't had the opportunity to read it, 2 having had an opportunity to discuss it with 3 members, but fear that they would strongly 4 support the compromise that you set forth. 5 Thank you. 6 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER: 7 much. 8 We had somebody else? Please come 9 forward and state and spell your name for the 10 Thank you. record. 11 DRUPATTIE JUNJURE: My name is 12 Drupatti e Junjure, D-r-u-p-a-t-t-i-e 13 J-u-n-j-u-r-e. I work for Hyatt Cambridge 14 for 21 years. And we was not no part time or 15 seasonal worker. We was full-time worker and 16 well trained. If we wasn't good worker, I 17 couldn't be there 21 years. And for what 18 Hyatt did to us, he just fired us. At that 19 time there was no subcontract worker. When 20 they fired us and they bring in the 21 subcontract worker, that was the first time

1 we heard about subcontractor. So about this 2 hotel business, Hyatt, Hyatt Cambridge is the 3 one who started first. And now that they're 4 doing it, other hotels seeing the same thing 5 and they go and doing the same thing. So, we 6 was not no seasonal worker. We was full-time 7 employee and Hyatt fired us for no reason. 8 was young. At that time I was 32-years-old. 9 I give all my strength, my youth, my honesty, 10 and I was working there and they fired us. 11 For what reason? Cheap labor. And the 12 guests know us because we was there for so 13 long, and then now they have the subcontract, 14 they change the workers on and off, on and 15 off. So that's why I come here today to 16 express my feeling that what Hyatt did to us 17 And we was not no seasonal wasn't right. 18 worker. They fired us for no reason, a 19 hundred housekeepers. 20 Thank you very much. 21 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER:

much.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

REBECCA BROWN: Hi, my name is
Rebecca Brown. Would you like me to spell
that for you?

So I'm speaking on behalf of hotel workers in the city and also in the City of Indianapolis where I worked -- where I started working when I was 16-years-old. I've worked in restaurants and hotel industries since I was a teenager. And I started in the midwest where now. there are much less regulations in the state of Indiana where subcontracting is not, is Is the practice in all hotels. the norm. Any hotel that you go into in the city of Indianapolis has a subcontracting housekeeping department. And the room attendants in those rooms -- here in Boston, the union contract dictates around 15 depending on the hotel. The non-union hotels try to fall in line with that. They might go

1 maybe up to 20 at the very most. And in 2 Indianapolis the room attendants there, 3 they'll clean in some cases 30 rooms or more. 4 I've heard more. And I unfortunately, I did 5 not know that I would be speaking tonight so 6 I don't have, you know, those numbers in 7 front of me to give to you, but being a hotel worker in the state of Indiana and then 8 9 coming and being a hotel worker in the city, 10 I worked in the Hilton in the financial 11 district, I've seen that difference 12 firsthand. I've seen how the rooms get 13 cleaned there, how the health and safety of 14 the guests is compromised by having people 15 cleaning so many rooms, being so overworked. 16 And I believe that in Boston the reason that, 17 you know, even the City of Cambridge that we 18 have such great reputation now is because of, 19 you know, these government agencies and 20 because of the City of, you know, Cambridge 21 making regulations like this and saying we

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

want to take care of our guests and we want to make sure that the, you know, as Marjorie was saying, we want the best, to have the best practices in our hotels. And I'm horrified to think that, you know, this city that this state is -- could be open to going in the direction of the state where I came from, because I've seen it firsthand how disgusting that is. And, you know, just me personally I was working at the Hilton in the financial district here in Boston, and I worked directly for the Hilton and then was subjected to subcontracting in a food and beverage department. And, you know, we were Hilton employees. They decided to bring in a new company and say oh, well, here, here are Right? And I will tell you your new bosses. that my new bosses had no such stake in the guests' enjoyment other than how much money they were making, how much profit they were They had no stake in the public bringing in.

1 safety of, you know, in terms of making sure 2 that, you know, foods were staying, like, 3 dated correctly, that things weren't 4 expiring. You know, they were a coalition 5 of, you know, people who owned small 6 businesses previous to that. You just kind 7 of were like hey, we want to start, you know, doing hotel restaurants, so let's do that. 8 9 They never done hotel restaurants before, and you know, they kind of come in and they had 10 11 no understanding of how the hotel worked. 12 They had no understanding of how to properly 13 regulate our health and cleaning standards. 14 And, you know, especially considering that 15 they were further removed from the hotel 16 previously, we had, you know, hotels -- the 17 hotel overlooking how we were maintaining the 18 cleanliness of the space. And once the 19 subcontractor came in, there was no, there 20 was no such oversight and things got, you 21 know, pretty disgusting. And, you know,

1 luckily I do not work there anymore, but I'm 2 someone who has been personally very affected 3 by subcontracting seeing it in the room 4 divisions, but also in food and beverage and 5 I've seen what a difference it makes. And I 6 believe that the City of Boston and the City 7 of Cambridge can do much better than that and 8 has in the past, and I believe that, you 9 know, you should move forward with the 10 previous agreement that you guys had set 11 forth. 12 So, thank you. 13 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER: 14 much. 15 Please step forward. Just identify 16 yourself again for the record. 17 PETER ENRICH: I'm Peter Enrich, 18 professor of law at the Northeastern 19 Uni versi ty. 20 I just wanted to offer a brief 21 observation as somebody who has had extensive

20

21

experience with regulation and has actually served on a License Commission in the neighboring committee where a was a selectman for a number of years. And as I watched this process, I would just remind you of the law of unintended consequences. The process that, as I understand it, having gone through the record of your previous hearings. You started off with a concern about the particular hazards that subcontracting of housekeeping posed to the ability of the hotel operators to control the quality of the services provided. You held an extensive hearing in which there was considerable evidence that that was a problem that limited the ability of the hotel operator licensee to At this stage the Chairman's exert control. motion suggests a very different approach, an approach which instead of cutting off the problem at its root by saying there can't be subcontracting of these services, instead

says let's develop an extensive detailed body of regulation of all of the things that we want to make sure that the hotel licensee oversees if it chooses to subcontract. That may be opening a Pandora's Box that you truly don't want to open, of having to write extensive detailed regulations about a whole series of issues and then asking how you're going to oversee whether particular licensees are actually exercising their responsibility and authority. My sense is you're stuck with one of two outcomes:

Either you do it in such a lacks way that you're really not doing anything at all, or you do it in such a rigorous way that you're going to pose serious problems for your hoteliers who are not engaging in the practice that led to this concern in the first place.

So, again, I should be very clear, I'm speaking here for no one but myself as an

1 interested observer. My sense is if you 2 don't want to regulate the practice of 3 subcontracting, you should decide that and 4 say so. But it's not clear that it is an 5 alternative or a compromise or a remedy to 6 then in place of that put out a detailed body 7 of code of conduct for your hotel operators. 8 I think it may be a remedy which doesn't fit 9 the problem. 10 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER: 11 much. 12 Any other members of the public who 13 would like to be heard? Please come forward 14 and state and spell your name for the record. 15 ALEJANDRA DUARTE: Alejandra Duarte, 16 A-I -e-i -a-n-d-r-a D-u-a-r-t-e. 17 I'm not a hotel worker. I never been. 18 But what I can tell you it's hard how it is 19 to work for an agency. I used to work for 20 agencies between 2000 and 2004, an hour from 21 here in Leominster and Fitchburg in plastic

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The way they used to hire us was factori es. a place where you go, you sign up paper and they put you in a van and they send you to The next day you do the same and the work. next day you do the same. There is no relationship with them. Sometimes you're Lucky and they pay you with a check. Most of the time they used to pay us with an envelope with money in it. I used to work six days a week, twelve hours, seven days a week, twelve hours. So if you don't want to work that way, you lose your job. You have to work. And I never got paid overtime. I never got paid any other benefits.

And I had one time with one of my co-workers got burned by plastic, and we have to fight to get that person to the hospital because the manager on the floor didn't want to have any responsibilities. And at that time I didn't speak the language. I was new in this country, so I didn't say anything.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So the person who tried to get her to the hospital lose her job that night, and the person who had that accident lose her job that night. And like that, there are many other stories that can happen. So, I work in another place but it was -- we pack liquids for other products and they took us in the I didn't know what -- where I was van. I was brand new here. They drop us goi ng. in the factory. They close the door, they locks the doors, and they pick us at the end of the shift. You cannot leave the place, not even in your breaks. And that is not history from a third world country. That's something that happens to me an hour from Cambridge. And I think agencies are very, very dangerous. They have ways to get out, to get away with the laws, and everybody think that are there to protect us. cheaper to get out, to try to get away and get caught broken a law for them, than to do

the right thing. And that's why they want, they want to have workers for agencies. And that's why I think it should be regulated and you should not allow the city to have this type of business here because they prey on workers and they prey on poor people and they are doing that in Cambridge and they will do that here the same way they do to me a few years ago.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very much for your testimony.

Any other members of the public that would like to be heard? Again, the question is about the proposed regulation. I please ask you to limit your comments to the proposed regulation. Please state and spell your name for the record, thank you.

RACHEL SOLEM: My name is Rachel
Solem, S-o-I-e-m. I am the owner and general
manager of Irving House and the owner of
Harding House, two hotel properties in

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Cambridge. I have been listening to all of this and I've wanted to keep silent because I don't want to become a target. I know there are a lot of people who would like me to be. But I do have concerns especially when fire chief Reardon says he -- I first of all, would like to say that I think that your proposal, your current motion is probably a very -- much more effective solution to whatever presenting problem there is. believe there is no problem, and the reason it was raised has nothing to do with there being a problem. And that's, that's what concerns the hotels across Massachusetts and across the country. The hotel industry that says what's going on here? There has been a problem if Ms. Decker had actually talked to the hoteliers in Cambridge she would have known that.

But aside from the public perception, the real issue you're

your motion addresses that. Whether, you know, it applies to every single hotel, as you had suggested it should, or any regulation should, and whether outsourced employees who work clearly, I think, we all know under very, very difficult circumstances and probably cannot produce the best work, I would never hire an agency like that. have come to me and asked if I could use their services, and I've always said no. And I do remain completely responsible for everything that goes on in my hotel 24/7 for the last 22 years. I have concerns about what the regulations -- how it -- how having outsourced employees solves the problems with public health and safety with regard to the responsibility of the manager of the hotel. If I remain responsible for what happens however I choose to hire or train or discipline or dismiss people, is all part of

And you will -- if I ever have the results. to face you with any problems in my hotel, I will be the one regardless of whether it's an employee or a contractor, I will still have to face you if there's a problem. If I have to hire people who are not employees during times when everyone's, you know, there's vacation or sick or high occupancy times, am I not allowed to do that? And what about other contractors? Because one piece of the Ordinance that I was reading earlier said that anyone who has access to guest rooms has to be an employee. So I'm still not clear about people who are washing windows, cleaning carpets, fixing the alarm system, I mean these are all contractors. So I think you should be -- if you're going to go down that road, you need to be very specific about that. And you need to be very specific I think -- I think the regulations they do propose in this current motion are a far more

1 effective way to address whatever the problem 2 might be down the road than deciding how 3 employees can be hired. And if you do go down that road of deciding whether it's 4 5 employees or contracted labor, you're gonna 6 have to think about hospitals and dormitories 7 and offices and restaurants and every other 8 industry in Cambridge which may or may not be 9 using contracted employees accommodating the 10 public. So please take that into account if 11 that's where you want to go with how we hire 12 people. 13 Thank you. 14 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very 15 much. 16 Are there any other members of the 17 public who would like to be heard on this 18 matter? 19 (No Response.) 20 Seeing none, MI CHAEL GARDNER: 21 pleasure of the Commission.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

GERALD REARDON: Well, Mr. Chair, I still have the same concerns about the health and safety and how we get to this point is obviously the crux of the issue tonight. certainly would not like to see what started here to continue. There's no disparagence against outsourcing except for the fact that I think the evidence is that they turn over a number of rooms. I don't believe it's probably doing the same old safety as those i n-house. The issue about subcontracting would have to be narrow in scope in terms of there are a number of outsourced, as was mentioned services, HVAC, heating, and so forth that we don't want to get too wide sweeping in this. But I guess I'll be looking at something. And I guess the other issue is that, you know, the hotels who do do an admirable job with in-house staff is a disadvantage to them of their overhead costs versus hotels who would choose to outsource

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

. •

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and, therefore, have a competitive advantage over their type of operation. I think that's something to consider as well. And how do we get to this point? And I understand seasonal staff. I don't think anyone probably here on either side would suggest that retail store would have to have the same amount of employees for the Christmas holiday rush as they do on a regular.... So I guess how do we augment those in terms of regular forces versus seasonal. It's challenging in terms of to come up with something on how we do this, but I personally would not like to see the trend that's going this way right now. It's one hotel. It's not a trend. I think we're better off protecting those hotels who do it the way they're doing it I think all hotels out there right now. So I would be interested in coming up with some sort of a regulation that satisfies some of all of the competing interests that here and doesn't

1	become counterproductive to what we're trying
2	to do because the hotel and tourism in
3	Cambridge is a big part of our economy. It
4	employees a lot of people and it's something
5	we want to make preserve and make sure that
6	it flourishes for everyone involved. So
7	there are a lot of questions of how we
8	actually get to that point I guess.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right.
10	Anythi ng el se?
11	ROBERT HAAS: No.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. Motion
13	having been made and seconded, all those in
14	favor si gni fy by sayi ng "Aye."
15	Aye.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Those opposed?
17	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. I'm
19	going to call for a roll call. Let's do it
20	by seniority on the Commission. I believe
21	that's you, Chi ef.
	1

1 GERALD REARDON: It is. And I'm 2 going to -- I am going to vote no. 3 don't -- I'm not sure that this is going to 4 get to the point where we need to get it to. 5 I agree with and seconded it, and I'm not 6 sure this actually gets us to the point where 7 we need to solve this issue. 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Commissioner Haas. 9 ROBERT HAAS: I vote no. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Michael Gardner 11 So the motion fails 2 to 1. yes. 12 I guess the GERALD REARDON: 13 alternative motion would be that we come up 14 with some draft language that would get us to 15 a point where we believe it solves some of 16 the issues in terms of the competitiveness, 17 safety, health. And I don't know what that 18 language right is. I guess I try to make a 19 motion that if we could work with something 20 collaboratively that it would possibly 21 satisfy the industry and those who are

1 interested in the health and safety as well, 2 but I don't think I come up with a motion 3 tonight that clearly can cover all of the 4 Variances that we need it to. 5 COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: Can the 6 professor be of assistance to you, Chief? 7 PETER ENRICH: Would you like to 8 comment on that? 9 GERALD REARDON: Certai nl y, 10 Professor, you can comment since you were 11 vol unteered. 12 PETER ENRICH: My only comment would 13 be that there are clearly competing interests 14 The Commission took a vote over a year here. 15 ago to follow one course. I haven't heard 16 the Commission change that that provides 17 guidance that would enable drafting 18 regulations that addressed at least some of 19 the concerns, concerns about types of 20 employees other than housekeeping. 21 think those would clearly be within the scope

1	of last year's motion. If what you're
2	looking for is something that both forbids
3	outsourcing and allows it, I can't draft a
4	regulation that does that.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay, I'm going to
6	take control of the meeting again.
7	PETER ENRICH: Sorry.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: And I think we've
9	heard from the fire chief. He doesn't have a
10	particular motion for the this evening.
11	Commissioner Haas, do you have a motion
12	for this evening?
13	ROBERT HAAS: I have to tell you I'm
14	truly perplexed by this whole thing, and I'm
15	really not sure at this point I can offer a
16	motion at this point that would be well
17	i nformed.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. Well,
19	then I will offer one.
20	I will make the motion that the
21	Commission staff be instructed to draft

regulations concerning implementing restrictions on the contracting out to third parties for employees who have unfettered access to guest rooms in hotels. And I would propose that the those regulations follow the following guidelines:

That any prohibitions on contracting out to third parties or employees who may have unfettered access to guest rooms, be dealt with on a case-by-case basis.

That the reason or the trigger for making an inquiry in a particular case be based upon guest complaints or inspections.

That prior to acting, the Commission would be required to hold a hearing with respect to the specifics of the case.

That the Commission would be required to make detailed findings of fact showing harm to public safety or health.

That the Commission procedures allow a three to six-month period of self-correction

unless there is a finding of imminent danger to public safety or health, and that these regulations apply to all in holders in the city, whether they currently or subsequently provide for contracting out the third parties for employees who have unfettered access to guest rooms in hotels, which I will submit to you is a substantially larger number than one.

So that's the motion. Does it have a second?

GERALD REARDON: Do you have a number on that note, are we limiting this to hotels over a certain size?

MICHAEL GARDNER: My proposal was that it apply to hotels, all hotels who implement, who have contracting out to third parties to -- for employees who have unfettered access to guest rooms in hotels. You know, clearly it would apply to security staff, housekeeping staff. Depending on

1 operations, may or may not apply to 2 specialized cleaning services. 3 COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: Are you 4 going to allow comments on this motion, too? 5 MICHAEL GARDNER: Only if there is a 6 second. 7 GERALD REARDON: And I guess can I read this one more time real quickly? 8 9 So this would be to draw up regulations 10 for us to further enact? 11 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Ri ght. I mean, 12 this is -- I'm -- to the professor's point, I 13 myself did not feel comfortable in drawing up 14 a detailed set of regulations that is 15 typically not how this Commission works. 16 any event, we give instructions to the staff 17 with respect to the general guidelines we're 18 interested in having them follow. They give 19 us draft regulations, we review them in the 20 public hearing, make such amendments as we 21 deem appropriate or send the matter back to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the staff for further re-drafting as we've done recently with respect to some matters involving the Hackney industry, and then ultimately we vote on the regulations.

I think that what I'm attempting to address here is the view that before we should in fact tell a hotel how to organize its business, again, given that it is the hotel, no matter how they organize their business or the management of the hotel or the license holder, no matter how they organize their business, who is responsible directly to us for health and safety issues, that before we would interfere with any hotel in how they organize that business, we would require an actual detailed hearing to find out what the issues are in the same way that we do when we've got a complaint about Hotelier allows a party with overcrowdi ng. the 30, 40 people in a room without notifying the police department of either the noise or

20

21

public safety danger, any kind of problems that come up that we deal with it on a case-by-case basis, that that would come from both quest complaints and/or inspections of the hotels. We have a hearing. We actually make findings of fact. I am proposing that if we find that there are problems, we will, as a norm, we would allow a three to six-month period of self-correction as we often do now with respect to the license holders that are operating in a manner that's consistent with safety and health. And that al though obviously we would reserve the authority to eliminate the contracting out immediately if we felt there was an imminent danger to public safety or health, I would submit to you that this is the responsible and principal way to deal with the issue if the Commission is of the view that the problem for health and safety in the City of Cambridge relates directly to how the

1 hotelier chooses to organize house services 2 Including do they contract out are provided. 3 security or do they hire their own security 4 quards? ROBERT HAAS: I'll second the 5 6 moti on. 7 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Motion having been 8 made and seconded, it being 8:15, I'm going 9 to ask that we limit public comment to 10 10 minutes total, and I'll leave the proponents 11 to decide who would speak. But of course, 12 Councillor Decker, please go first. 13 COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: Thank 14 you. 15 So, Mr. Chairman, I just want to 16 commend you. I think your point of view has 17 been consistent, and I think you've made 18 really interesting attempts at trying to 19 maintain your point of view through both 20 This motion is already what's in motions. 21 If there's a problem, a violation, pl ace.

21

somebody has the opportunity to complain to the License Commission. And so I think in your attempts here -- and I think, I understand nobody else is offering language here, so your point of view is the point of view that keeps getting put out here. What I'm going to ask the two who seem to be in favor of and understanding what's at stake here, you have language that I submitted to I'm going to ask one of you to forward a motion to look at that language as a basis to start the promulgation of a regulation. What Mr. Gardner, Chairman Gardner just offered is what's already available. It does not get to the heart -- it says if there's he a -- I would be curious, you know, Chairman Gardner, when you had bedbugs did you go before the License Commission at that town and go before them at a hearing?

MICHAEL GARDNER: I got out of that room as fast as I could and I got out of

town.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER:

And I think that could not be more Exactl v. my point. Most people don't know the License Commission actually oversees a hotel. I had that issue in a hotel. I did not -- I complained to the hotel. The hotel was It was not in this country. hostile to me. It was in another country. It didn't even occur to me to go find the mayor of that hotel and find the Licensing Commission. people are always in a hurry to get out of It just means they won't come back to here. that hotel.

The difference of this, to say that you don't want to interfere with the labor practices, we're not doing that. I want to remind both Commissioners that Council did not ask you to do this because it just was sitting there thinking what can we interfere with. There was a change in practice, and in

19 20

21

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

its own self-regulations implemented by the industry. You have heard this happens around You have been submitted the country. examples and research about why it is a bad practice, and it is not in the best interest. What you are being asked to do and what you have been asked to do is to promulgate language that would allow a regulation that says due to a systemic change and a change in the way in which one member in the industry has decided to do business, we in fact are going to affirm the best practice that is currently in existence right now, and I submit that I promise that's why we have such a great hospitality industry. And you heard from one of the opponents of this who would the not be affected in the original language that I also asked you to say let's eliminate people under 100 rooms, who said she would never do this. She would never hire these outsourced workers herself, and actually gave

2

4

3

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

very good reasons of why. But that's all the research you've been given.

You both know that the Chairman has a very pointed point of view on this. And it's consistent with his professional experience and history, and I commend you on that. But nobody else is putting forward a point of view that allows the two of you to get moving forward on what it is that we, you have supported. He is going to keep, I think, and I don't fault you for this, keep putting forward regulation that skirts around the issue. And so you have language that I submitted. I would ask you please take that and start, start with that. And then as you're looking at that and you want to change it, have further conversations and then change it.

I would also suggest that you do -- I don't think you need to include inns and smaller hotels that have less than 100 units

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

because they do have their hands -- the likelihood of them subcontracting to their housekeeping rooms is very small. Even if they do, it's a very small organization that they actually do know who's coming in and This is really at the larger chain out. organizations that do not have control and this is what you've been given, the research of you've been given, what happens when outsourcing companies mostly and in this example of the current one has come from out of state. I heard one person who spoke earlier from the industry say I think I won thi s. This is not about winning or losing. And this is not about asking you to protect the tourism industry. You have a great responsibility in field because you feel you commit to the city, you love the city. I do, Nobody loves this city more than I do too. or feels a greater commitment to ensuring that we've got a great reputation. But this

20

21

is about best practices that are being deviated and saying please don't let that Affirm those best practices so that happen. things can continue as they are. Take the language that I've submitted, please consider moving that forward as a motion, and then if you have to have further conversation, go ahead and have further conversations. But the Chairman is going to keep putting forward motions that continue to, in very clever ways I think, put forward his point of view that in fact does not get to the heart of the issues that I've asked you to that you have supported because he doesn't support it. So, that that's all I have to say. And I thank you for your time. I do.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.

Okay, I ask you please come forward state and spell your name for the record.

Again, we've got five minutes left.

MICHAEL MEDEIROS: Mide Medeiros,

1 M-e-d-e-i-r-o-s, general manager, again, 2 Royal Sonesta. I just want to say public and 3 safety is not important for hotels under 100? 4 So I'm really confused by --5 COUNCILLOR MARJORIE DECKER: 6 happy to answer a question if you're asking 7 that. 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Pl ease, pl ease 9 direct all of your comments to the Chair. 10 MI CHAEL MEDEI ROS: Okay. 11 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And we won't have 12 a discussion or debate among audience members 13 as part of the record. 14 MI CHAEL MEDEI ROS: 0kay. That's not 15 My intent is that I want to make my intent. 16 sure that people understand that public and 17 safety is important to all hotels. And as 18 you can see by the statements and the letters 19 that were submitted before, we have a support 20 of all the general managers in Cambridge. 21 Thank you very MI CHAEL GARDNER:

much.

2

MI CHAEL MEDEI ROS: Thank you.

3

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Are there any

4

other members of the public who'd like to be

5

heard on this proposed motion? Please come

6

forward and identify yourself again for the

7

record.

8

TERRENCE SMITH: Terrence Smith,

9

T-e-r-e-n-c-e S-m-i-t-h. Just one point.

10

If we are going to move forward from the

11

motion on from September of last year, this

12

sounds like a way to start. There could be

13

other motions. I would ask that the

14

industry, a prominent member of the legal

15

community has been volunteered to participate

16

in writing the regulations. I would also

17

suggest as the License Commission has done

18

with the taxi industry and other industries,

19

that the hospitality industry, should the

20

staff be asked to, write regulations be

21

involved in that discussion. I also which

1 deviates a little bit from this, the 2 discussion on a previous motion regarding 3 CORI shows that the great difficulty of the License Commission will have in defining 4 5 employment practices of this or any other 6 industry. 7 Thank you. 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very 9 much. 10 Are there any other members of the 11 public who would like to speak in the next 12 two minutes? 13 (No Response.) 14 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none, any 15 further comments by the Commissioners prior 16 to a vote on the motion for this set of 17 guidelines to direct the staff with respect 18 to developing regulations? 19 ROBERT HAAS: I'm wondering if there 20 is an opportunity to sit down and have a 21 conversation as suggested with respect to

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

trying to find a regulation that really truly gets to making sure we do provide and maintain the best practices that already exist in the city. And I'm just wondering if, you know, having doing this in a vacuum if that's really the best way to go at this point in time.

Yes, well, I guess MI CHAEL GARDNER: I would say that in the context of the staff drafting regulations, it has been the practice in the past, at least on occasion, to seek comment and advice from interested parties prior to -- in the drafting stage, prior to the matters coming before the Commission for consideration. We recently sent the staff back and asked them to in fact do more information gathering from one of the industries that we regulate prior to our considering the proposed regulations. And my purpose in offering this motion tonight is to not shut out any interested parties from

further comment or participation, but simply to move the matter along and give the staff a set of general guidelines in which to deal with the regulations to implement restrictions on contracting out to third parties for employees who may have unfettered access to guest rooms in hotels. So I certainly would envision there be the opportunity for further discussion among the interested parties.

ROBERT HAAS: So are you proposing stop at that first point and then I can answer those other issues at this point?

MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, I think the motion as I made it, again, to summarize it, that any effort to or any action by us to restrict the use of third-party contracting would be done on a case-by-case basis. It would be done on guest complaints or inspections. And we could certainly talk about whether or not we think that the

21

industry requires more intensive inspection schedule or practice than we currently follow. Before we took an action it would require a hearing. I think that is a due process requirement that we would be very wise to implement before we interfered with contractual relations between a hotel and any companies they may have contracted with. That we would require detailed findings of facts showing harm to public safety or health, and that as a general matter, we would allow up to three to six-month period for self-correction as we do with virtually every other type of license that we regulate. Unless of course there was a finding by the Commission of an imminent danger to public safety or health. And I would -- my sense about this regulation or this proposed guideline is that it would apply to all of the hotels in the city. It is definitely not directed just at housekeeping, because from

3

5

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

my point of view, if the arguments have merits, they have merits for all of the other third-party contractors who have unfettered access to rooms. It would apply to all the And it would apply to the hotels who hotel s. are now contracting out for housekeeping. Who are now contracting out for security. Who are now contracting out for specialized cl eani ng. If those persons have unfettered access to the rooms, and there may be ways in which the hotel could arrange so they didn't have unfettered access, I don't know, but it would apply to what I understand to be the problem, which is that employees who don't work for the hotel have unfettered access to rooms. And I don't -- I believe that applies, if there is a problem, certainly to more than just housekeeping. So it is as broad as that, it would clearly affect all the hotels in the city, including the one that now contracts out for hospitality -- for

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-- I'm sorry, for housekeeping as well as any who may contract out for security, services, or any other services that would fit the unfettered access to guest rooms.

Any other comments?

GERALD REARDON: I for one would like to get this right and I don't want it to be too far reaching. I don't want it to be overarching. I don't want it to be onerous. I don't want it to hurt our industry. I want to solve the problem without going too far. And subcontracting on a personal note, I would rather go to a hotel that didn't subcontract necessarily. It depends. I'm not saying that any subcontractor is as good as the other one or not. I think the model we have here has worked. As we mentioned earlier, there are a number of family businesses that have been here all their lives and have done a phenomenal job. And we're very tourism industry here. And we've

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

supplied a lot of jobs to people as part of And it's part of the economic engine So I want to make sure of Cambridge. whatever we do here deals with the health, safety, welfare and at the same time does not do undue harm by being over regulatory and having this too far reaching. And I don't know how we come up with a motion tonight, but it certainly does -- and I also agree with the pact that it should be hotels with hotels over 100 rooms. I think the a smaller ones that I'm familiar with, there are a number of bed and breakfasts and small hotels and you can't get in and out without seeing people, people know you face to face for the most part. They have conversation. lt's simply different from a very, very large scale operation. So I would like to keep it at that scale and not the local inns which are far more intimate in terms of their dealing with their guests on a daily basis.

1 I also want to deal with the issue 2 about not restricting it for seasonal, 3 because I don't believe that it's fair that 4 you have the same amount necessarily for 5 seasonal. And I guess I'm trying to get to a 6 regulation that we come up with that will 7 deal with some of these issues that are on 8 both sides of the aisle. I think we're all 9 at heart would like to get to the same point 10 but we don't want to over regulate, and we 11 don't want the License Commission and others 12 getting too far into the hotel business. 13 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So I'll call for a 14 vote. 15 All those in favor of the motion having 16 been made and seconded, signify by saying 17 "Aye. " 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye. 19 Those opposed? 20 GERALD REARDON: No. 21 ROBERT HAAS: No.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: I'll offer a third 2 proposal which is that the motion I made 3 previously be adopted with the additional 4 provision that it apply only to hotels with 5 100 guest rooms or more. 6 Is there a second for that motion? 7 (No Response.) 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none, are 9 there any other motions anyone's prepared to 10 make this evening? 11 (No Response.) 12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none, we'll 13 send this matter back to the staff or I'll 14 make the motion to send the matter -- rather, 15 I'll make the motion to send the matter back to the staff until such time as one of the 16 17 Commissioners calls it off the table. 18 That's the motion. Is there a second? 19 GERALD REARDON: I'd like to just 20 amend that and say that we turn around and 21 work together to try to come up with language

1	regardi ng the outsourci ng.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Just for point of
3	information, Chief, I'd ask you to define
4	together or who you mean. I've used the
5	language before of interested parties.
6	GERALD REARDON: Interested parties
7	that may be preferable.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: So is that an
9	amendment to the motion?
10	GERALD REARDON: A friendly
11	amendment to your motion.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'll accept the
13	amendment.
14	Is there a second to the amendment?
15	I'm sorry, don't we have to vote on the
16	amendment first? Well, we don't have a
17	second for the proposal, so sorry.
18	GERALD REARDON: What was the
19	proposal again, please?
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: Sorry. I don't do
21	this a lot.

1	I'll withdraw the prior motion and I
2	will offer this motion.
3	I move that the matter be tabled until
4	it is brought forward by one or more
5	Commissioners, and that while it is on the
6	table, the Commission and Commission staff
7	are urged to seek further comment and advice
8	and opinion from interested parties in the
9	matter.
10	Is there a second to that motion?
11	ROBERT HAAS: Second.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: The debate having
13	gone on as long as it has and the business
14	before us remaining large, I'll call for a
15	vote.
16	All those in favor of the motion,
17	pl ease signify by saying "Aye."
18	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
19	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
21	Those opposed?

(No Response.)
MICHAEL GARDNER: The motion carries
three to nothing.
And let's see I'm interested in whether
we have some disciplinary things that are
going to take quite a while. I wonder if
there are a couple of smaller items we could
deal with first, Ms. Lint.
ANDREA BOYER: The officers have to
get back on duty.
ELIZABETH LINT: The officers are on
duty.
MICHAEL GARDNER: I'll defer to the
Commissioner on that question. Do you want
to deal with the matters dealing with the
police officers now?
ROBERT HAAS: I would like to.
MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. Let's
do that. So, Ms. Lint, please call the next
matter.
Before we do that. I thank all of you

1	for your participation this evening and your
2	pati ence.
3	ELIZABETH LINT: I'm sorry, can you
4	take the conversation outside? We're trying
5	to get going here.
6	Disciplinary matter, Everest Crossing,
7	LLC, doing business as OM Restaurant and
8	Lounge, Bi kram Yonj an, Manager, holder of an
9	all alcoholic beverages as a restaurant
10	license and entertainment license at 92
11	Winthrop Street, due to OM over capacity on
12	September 30, 2012; October 4, 2012; and
13	October 5, 2012.
14	ANDREA BOYER: I don't have any
15	preface for the September 30th or October 4th
16	one.
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, Commissioner,
18	if you're willing, I would like to ask you to
19	lead the questioning on this matter?
20	ROBERT HAAS: Sure.
21	Let's take the matter that's stated for

1 September 30, 2012. And, Officer Brooks, 2 that has the testimony on that. 3 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Yes. Offi cer 4 Devon Brooks. D-e-v-o-n, last name Brooks, 5 B-r-o-o-k-s. 6 ROBERT HAAS: Could you just give us 7 the background on this case, please. 8 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Okay. 0n 9 September 30th I was working a paid detail at 10 Approximately one a.m., a the OM Restaurant. 11 little bit after one a.m., there was a fight 12 between two females in the back of the 13 restaurant. I called for additional units to 14 come and assist me to break up the fight. 15 When I approached the fight, it was 16 already over. The involved parties did not 17 want to have any conversations with me. 18 Security and one of the party's friends 19 removed her to the front outside of the 20 restaurant. And her boyfriend removed one of 21 the second parties to the rear, out the back

door. At that time I had told the DJ to shut the music off and we were attempting to move everyone out of the restaurant to close down the party on the first floor at that point. There were two birthday parties going on at the same time; one on the upper level and one on the lower level. At the time I believe the fight occurred with someone on the lower level. I'm not sure where the second girl came from. No one would talk to me about it. But I know one of them that was involved, she was on the first level at the time.

At the time that the incident occurred, I believe that the club was at true capacity and we weren't letting anyone else in. I was at the door the entire night with the doorman and the person collecting the money. And we had stopped letting people inside at that point. There were still a large crowd outside waiting to get in. But we didn't let anyone else in. When I came back outside

1 after the incident, there were a lot of 2 people outside. That's when I believe 3 Sergeant Carney came up and had discussions 4 with the manager of the restaurant, of the 5 club that night. 6 ROBERT HAAS: So it was your best, 7 to your best knowledge you think the fight 8 took place at the first floor of the party, 9 and there was no activity upstairs with 10 respect to any kind of discord or conduct? 11 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: There was no 12 activity upstairs. This all occurred 13 downstairs in the back of the restaurant 14 where the restrooms were. 15 ROBERT HAAS: Were you satisfied 16 that the restaurant was at its legal capacity 17 or over? OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: I'm satisfied 18 19 that they were at capacity. Only that they 20 were -- it was -- I believe it's small, and 21 for the amount of people in there, it might

1 seem like it was overcrowded, but I made sure 2 I walked through a few times, and then to me 3 I was able to walk through without having to 4 bump my way around at the time. But there 5 were just a lot of people waiting to get in. 6 Because what I saw was there were two 7 different parties, two different guest lists. 8 And you had two different sets of people 9 trying to get in. And at one point that's 10 when I said, I cut it and I said no one else 11 is coming in. Because I believe that 12 we're -- the manager said I think we're to 13 capacity. So we're doing one for one. If 14 you left, then they would let one person in. 15 So to my estimation, inside was not 16 overcrowded. I mean, there were a lot of 17 people outside waiting to get in. 18 ROBERT HAAS: How many people would 19 you estimate were outside waiting to get? 20 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Probably 21 about 150 people.

1	ROBERT HAAS: What time was this?
2	OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Still at one
3	a.m.
4	ROBERT HAAS: One a.m.?
5	What was the stairway like inside the
6	establishment; were there people standing on
7	the stairway or was the stairway cleared?
8	OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: When I went
9	in, the stairway was clear. When I went into
10	when I went to break up the fight, the
11	stay way was clear.
12	ROBERT HAAS: Did anybody identify
13	themselves as a crowd manager to you while
14	you were working the detail?
15	OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: No. I'm
16	sorry, this is the first time I ever heard
17	that that there's supposed to be a crowd
18	manager on scene. Thanks, Chief.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: Anything else?
20	ROBERT HAAS: No other questions.
21	GERALD REARDON: So you saw them

1 with the clickers and they seemed to be --2 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Yes, the 3 gentleman had the clicker. 4 GERALD REARDON: We know it's not a 5 hundred percent exact science, but I mean to 6 the best of your ability it appears they were 7 doing what they were supposed be doing, 8 monitoring the crowd? 9 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Yes. They 10 had two clickers, they were taped together. 11 One -- every time I saw someone go in, he 12 would click. And I wasn't watching him all 13 the time to see if he was doing it every 14 And when I first got there, he was -time. 15 my detail started at 10:30 when I got there, 16 and he was doing it at the time. 17 Thank you. GERALD REARDON: 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Officer, thank you 19 for your waiting so long tonight. We never 20 quite know how long these are going to go so 21 we appreciate your patience and the patience

1 of everybody else who has been waiting to 2 deal with either this or other business 3 pending before the Commission this evening. OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: 4 Thank you. 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: In your 6 observations did you observe that any of the 7 principals or the participants in this were 8 intoxicated? 9 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: No. 10 believe -- and the reason I say no is one of 11 the girls that was involved, she got in there 12 probably around -- I remember her 13 specifically when she walked in which was 14 about quarter to one when she went in. And I 15 don't believe that she was -- and like 16 everything else, one thing I heard someone 17 say was the reason why this occurred was 18 because one person was in the bathroom for 19 And I just found that to be kind too long. 20 of farfetched. But, no, I don't believe that 21 they were.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Thank you 2 very much. 3 Sergeant Carney, were ROBERT HAAS: 4 you there that evening? 5 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: 6 ROBERT HAAS: And do you have any 7 additional information? 8 Ιt SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: 9 appeared to me to be overcrowded. I mean, I 10 was there -- I got there right at the tail 11 end of the fight. Pushed my way through a 12 big crowd to get to where the fight was. 13 Once the music was shut down and basically 14 they shut the club down, a large, large group 15 of people came out Winthrop Street. There 16 were numerous fights that we had to break up. 17 We placed one girl in protective custody. 18 guess she was one of the birthday girls. 19 was extremely intoxicated. We had a lot of 20 overtime thankfully that was working that 21 night in Harvard Square. I think we would

1	have been in trouble with all the fights that
2	we had if it weren't for having the extra
3	officers on hand.
4	ROBERT HAAS: How many fights would
5	you estimate broke out when people were
6	coming out of the restaurant?
7	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: At least
8	seven or eight. We would break up a fight
9	and another one would start over here, and
10	we'd break that up and another one would
11	start over here.
12	ROBERT HAAS: And these are people
13	coming out of the OM Restaurant?
14	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: All coming
15	out of the OM.
16	ROBERT HAAS: And you believe that
17	the woman you placed in protective custody
18	was intoxicated?
19	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Highly
20	i ntoxi cated.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you have any

1 conversations with any of the staff on duty 2 that evening? 3 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I spoke 4 with one gentleman, I don't think he was the 5 manager. I didn't get his name, but he 6 insisted that it wasn't overcrowded, that 7 they were keeping count. But to my 8 observation it looked to be extremely 9 overcrowded. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you make any 11 observations with respect to the number of 12 people who were on the upper level compared 13 to the lower level? 14 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I didn't, 15 I didn't go upstairs. The fight was 16 downstairs. I went to the fight and 17 immediately after they started having people 18 leave the club. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Ms. Lint, can you 20 remind us what the capacity for the lower 21 Level is?

1	ANDREA BOYER: First floor is 118
2	patrons, and the second floor is 76 patrons.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
4	Did you reach an opinion as to whether
5	you observed 111?
6	ANDREA BOYER: 118.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: 118 or more people
8	on the first floor?
9	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: It looked.
10	I mean, this is just an estimate, but it
11	appeared to be more than that to me.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: Did you come up
13	with a number?
14	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I guess
15	just for the first floor?
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: First floor.
17	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I'd say
18	150, 200 if I had to guess.
19	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
20	In your report or in any other report
21	was there an estimate of the number of

1	occupants of the building for this incident?
2	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I didn't
3	do an official report. I just sent an e-mail
4	to the deputy which is the procedure, and I
5	estimated total, talking about the people on
6	Winthrop Street after the club closed and
7	everyone came out in the street, I estimated
8	three to four hundred people.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And are you saying
10	that these are people that came out of OM?
11	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Came out
12	of OM, yeah.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
14	Chi ef?
15	GERALD REARDON: Nothing further
16	right now.
17	ROBERT HAAS: So, Sergeant, when you
18	got there, was the premises evacuating at
19	that time or were there still people inside
20	the premises when you got there?
21	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I got

there just before they closed it down.
ROBERT HAAS: So you could
distinguish between who was waiting outside
and who was actually inside the restaurant at
the time?
SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I was
there earlier and there was a large line
outside. I don't remember when I got there
for the fight because I went right in because
of the fight. I don't remember if people
wai ti ng outsi de or not.
ROBERT HAAS: How many people
responded to the scene that night.
SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: We had
close to 20 officers. Car 4, car 5. Walking
officers. Four or five Cambridge overtime
officer, another four Harvard overtime
offi cers. Mysel f, car 15.
ROBERT HAAS: How long were the
officers tied up at the scene?
SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Probably

1	about two hours.
2	ROBERT HAAS: Two hours?
3	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Yeah.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Were there any
5	seri ous i nj uri es?
6	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: No.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you make any
8	observations of other patrons, whether they
9	were involved in this incident or not, who
10	appeared to be intoxicated?
11	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I never
12	I saw, I believe I saw one party from the
13	initial fight that we originally respond
14	she was, she was upset, she was crying. I
15	didn't really sense that she was intoxicated
16	though.
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
18	ROBERT HAAS: No other questions.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm not sure if
20	there's, if Officer you had any involvement
21	in this?

1	ROBERT HAAS: Well, he's in a
2	separate incident.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
4	PATROLMAN BATES: I was present at
5	this incident as well, sir.
6	ROBERT HAAS: Oh, you were?
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Just please spell
8	and state your name for the record.
9	PATROLMAN BATES: Patrol man Bates,
10	B-a-t-e-s, 547, Cambridge Police. I was
11	working that night of this incident. I
12	believe I was one of the first officers on
13	scene after the fight call came out. As soon
14	as I got there, I ran to the premises
15	immediately. My only priority was to get
16	eyes on the detail officer to make sure he
17	was all right. Being familiar with the
18	atmosphere that seems to be common at OM
19	lately, I didn't know where he was. The club
20	was pretty packed with people shoulder to
21	shoulder on the first floor. It was very

difficult to push my way through. And someone yelled about upstairs, so I actually went upstairs. And at that time the stairs were actually packed wall to wall with people. It was very difficult to push my way up the stairs. When I got up to the second floor, I found it was about half full. I didn't see any officers up there or fighting. I went back downstairs and I was later able to find Officer Brooks. He was okay.

More officers had arrived. We were trying to usher people out because a lot of people were coming out as we got there. And as the Sergeant said, there were several fights. I had two girls start fighting right in front of me. And I actually grabbed one of them to pull them apart. And then I was still by myself at this point surrounded. And the crowd closed in. The crowd closed in around her and started to try to pull her away from me. I was holding on to her. I

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

was yelling for help from other officers. The crowd just kept at it. A couple other officers came over, and I yelled to get these people off of me. I was still able to hold on to this girl. We actually ended up having to get about half a dozen police officers to have her up against the wall and put a circle around her and keep her there but also keep the crowd off of her. But at this point it was so chaotic, the other girl had just completely disappeared. And at that point I didn't effect an arrest to her. There was no victim. There was no -- we were outnumbered 15 or 20 to one at that point, and it was still pretty volatile. So there wasn't really much to proceed with as far as detaining her. So we ended up sending her on her way with some of her friends. She was i ntoxi cated. Some of her friends were going to take charge of her and get her away from the scene in the other direction that the

1	other girl had went. As the Sergeant said,
2	probably 20 of us were there for an extended
3	time trying to just get the crowd to leave.
4	ROBERT HAAS: Officer Bates, when
5	you went into the restaurant, how many people
6	would you estimate were in the first floor?
7	PATROLMAN BATES: It was pretty
8	packed, sir. In my estimation it would have
9	been well in excess of 114.
10	ROBERT HAAS: And when you say
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Or 118?
12	PATROLMAN BATES: I'm sorry, 118
13	rather.
14	ROBERT HAAS: And when you say the
15	people were packed on the stairs, is that
16	because people were trying to get off the
17	second floor or that's where they were
18	standing because all the room was allowing
19	for?
20	PATROLMAN BATES: They didn't seem
21	to be really moving, sir. So, I mean they

1 might have been slowly meandering, but it 2 wasn't like a rush down the stairs. 3 were in my way. I had some -- as I said, I 4 was kind of urgently trying to find Officer 5 Brooks. So I was really shoving my way 6 through them. 7 So from your ROBERT HAAS: 8 experience you initially indicated that this 9 has been a condition that you've now become 10 accustomed to at OM. Can you give us more detail on that, please? 11 12 PATROLMAN BATES: Yes, sir. I work 13 Harvard Square 50 percent of my work nights 14 pretty steady now for over a couple years, 15 and just lately this is on Friday and 16 Saturday nights this seems to be the climate. 17 When you say lately, ROBERT HAAS: 18 what are you talking about in terms of time 19 frame? 20 PATROLMAN BATES: Maybe the past six 21 months, maybe a little better.

ROBERT HAAS: Okay. Go ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PATROLMAN BATES: And it's just as Officer Vellucci mentioned earlier, a lot of us will self-initiate just being around There's obviously several two a.m. there. licensed establishments there so obviously there's a lot of boozing going on and things that come with that. But a lot of us will just make it a point to be in that area at closing time. I mean, I've been aware that other officers have been assaulted. There's been other arrests. I was aware of the earlier incident with the girl struck with the bottle. It just seems to be a pattern, sir. So I've been directing attention towards it.

ROBERT HAAS: So based on your observations, when you say directing it towards it, particularly to OM or the Winthrop Street area?

PATROLMAN BATES: Well, the area in

1 general, sir. Like I said, there are several 2 establishments right concentrated right 3 But the trouble spot there would be there. 4 the OM. 5 ROBERT HAAS: So you believe the OM 6 restaurant is the one --7 PATROLMAN BATES: Sorry, sir. 8 ROBERT HAAS: Do you believe the OM 9 Restaurant is creating the problems based on 10 your experience? 11 That's been my PATROLMAN BATES: 12 experience, sir. 13 MICHAEL GARDNER: I would ask any of 14 the officers the question as to if what --15 whether or not you agree with Officer Bates's 16 assessment, and also for all three of you if 17 you have any, from your professional police 18 experience, any opinions as to sort of what 19 it is which has led to this assessment of OM 20 being the trouble spot, either overcrowding, 21 over serving, the nature of the clientele,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

anything at all that you might attribute it to? I ask the other two officers if you agree with assessment that OM has been in fact a particular source of difficulty in recent months?

SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: The times I've been there always appear to be very, And I don't know about over very crowded. serving, but I've definitely seen several intoxicated people come out of there. don't know if it was brought up or if you even want to get into the earlier case with the girl that got hit with the glass. Later that night an officer was assaulted after the club let out, and apparently there was some people who were involved in the initial People maybe not involved with the fight, but with the two groups that were fighting.

MICHAEL GARDNER: My belief is that we are going to talk about that because we

1	have several incidents; right?
2	ROBERT HAAS: Yes, but I don't think
3	I've included I think Sergeant Carney is
4	going back to the August 31st date.
5	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Yeah,
6	ri ght.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: No, I understand,
8	but we hadn't dealt with what happened later
9	that night because
10	ELIZABETH LINT: No, because we
11	don't have that. We didn't have information
12	about that.
13	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I put it
14	i n.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: So we'll ask you
16	to volunteer what information you do have
17	about that.
18	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Okay. I
19	was on another call at the time. I did hear
20	it on the radio. Apparently after closing
21	time when the crowd was coming out, again, it

1 was different scuffles that were happening. 2 They tried to put someone in protective 3 custody. He was resistant, and when they 4 were trying to get him onto the wagon, 5 another party came up and punched the officer 6 and that person was placed under arrest. 7 ROBERT HAAS: Sergeant Carney, when 8 you say they were placing him into protective 9 custody, the reason you put somebody into 10 protective custody is why? 11 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Because he 12 was intoxicated. 13 ROBERT HAAS: And where do you 14 believe he consumed the alcohol to become 15 intoxicated? 16 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: I believe 17 out of the OM club. 18 ROBERT HAAS: And so when the 19 officer was trying to deal with that 20 gentleman, he was assaulted by other patrons, 21 his friends?

1 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: From the 2 guy who was getting placed into protective 3 custody, punched Officer Callanan. 4 was placed under arrest. 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Officer Brooks. 6 did you reach any conclusions yourself as to 7 whether or not Officer Bates's assessment of 8 the OM is a particularly troublesome space 9 within the last six months is correct? 10 OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Due to my 11 capacity currently within the Cambridge 12 Police Department, I'm not privy to a lot of 13 the goings-on in Central, Harvard, and the 14 squares because I'm not really around that 15 much. I have no comment on that. I haven't 16 been working the squares. 17 ROBERT HAAS: Officer Brooks has 18 been assigned to the academy so he wouldn't 19 be able to make those observations. 20 Unless you've been MI CHAEL GARDNER: 21 doing a detail?

2

detail.

OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: I was on a

3

4

5

MICHAEL GARDNER: How about when you've been doing details, have you made any observations?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: No, because I enjoy the music that they're playing. think people are just coming out to have a good time. I find that when I'm doing these details, I kind of make that put it right out to people when they first come in that hey, I'm here, and this is not the place to come and start anything. I've never had any problems except for that one night I worked There used to be a detail that OM, details. Charlie's -- Tommy Doyle's used to have in that area, and I used to do it. Last summer I did that detail a lot of times and they've al ways had crowds and never had any problems It's just that this seems to be this one time I had detail and there was a fight

20

21

2

and the incident occurred. Other than that I have no other knowledge of anything else.

3

4

the experience you had last summer where

there were officers hired to work for a

So in your view given

5

6

variety of establishments versus working for

7

a single establishment, which was the better

8

arrangement in your estimation?

ROBERT HAAS:

9

OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: I think they were all the same. It's just that all the

10

11

other times there were no problems. Just

12

that this time there was a fight that

13

occurred that brought this whole thing.

14

think the problem this time was that I think

15

that it might have been rival groups because

16

of the two different parties going on within

17

the same establishment, one up and one down.

18

And to go to the restrooms you had to come

19

downstairs and to pass. And it could have

20

been -- and the downstairs they had a lot of

21

females. It was a girl's birthday party.

And maybe upstairs was a gentleman's party.
And I think that there was just some kind of
between the girls, and that's what started
the whole thing. In my experience there were
more females at this establishment that night
than there were males.
ROBERT HAAS: Who was the manager
that night, do you know?
OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: That night?
ROBERT HAAS: Yes.
OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Edgar. I
have it in my report.
ROBERT HAAS: Edgar Artie?
OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: Yes.
ROBERT HAAS: And this took place on
September 30th. And we had gotten testimony
earlier that he was dismissed as a result
from the August 30th incident.
MICHAEL GARDNER: Yes, I'm not
exactly sure it was directly linked the
testimony was directly linked to August 31st.

1	Why don't any other questions for the
2	officers at this point?
3	ROBERT HAAS: So all we've dealt
4	with so far is September 30th?
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes, right.
6	ROBERT HAAS: Okay.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: Right. Right.
8	Well, I asked you to sort of take the lead on
9	thi s.
10	ROBERT HAAS: Okay.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: So I'll leave it
12	to you as to whether you want to deal with
13	all of them.
14	ROBERT HAAS: No, I think we'll give
15	Mr. Chowdhury a chance to respond to this one
16	i nci dent.
17	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Um, Edgar was the
18	manager that night. I was working in Central
19	Square, but as soon as I heard that there was
20	an incident, I left Central Square to go to
21	Harvard Square. And same thing, I did

1 interview every single security person that 2 we had, and everybody said that we were at 3 There was a lot of people outside crowd. 4 that they made sure that -- we usually have 5 one person at the door, one person at the 6 stairs, but at that time we actually put two 7 people at the door because we wanted to make 8 sure that nobody else comes in that's waiting 9 outside because there was a lot of people. 10 So they did make sure that there was, we are 11 at capacity in terms of the capacity issue. 12 If you're claiming that there was 400 people 13 outside, and we did have about, according to 14 him, about 150 or 100 people on each line 15 that's waiting outside, and if our capacity 16 is about 200, that's -- at the end of the 17 night that's total of about three to four 18 hundred people outside. 19 ROBERT HAAS: What's your closing 20 time again?

21

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We close Thursday

1 to -- weekends two o'clock. Weeknights one. 2 ROBERT HAAS: And at one o'clock you 3 have a line of 150 people still trying to get 4 in? 5 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Apparently that 6 night we had a couple of big birthday parties 7 that a lot of people just showed up. And we 8 did have a famous DJ that night that brought 9 in a lot more people. And this is all, to be 10 honest with you, all Cambridge people that 11 came in. It wasn't anybody from outside that 12 came in that night. It was mostly the 13 Cambridge crowd. 14 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: They had a 15 tour bus that took a large group, a big 16 Greyhound type bus that took -- do you 17 remember where they were going? 18 PATROLMAN BATES: No. But we were 19 trying to move them along. And a whole bunch 20 of them said they were waiting for a bus. 21 And, what bus? And this big motor coach came

1 in. 2 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: Big motor 3 coach type bus came and picked them up. 4 PATROLMAN BATES: And that wasn't a 5 bus that came from Cambridge. 6 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I didn't hear 7 about any bus or anything. We do stay away 8 from parties that comes in busses because, 9 you know, they also serve --10 SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: These 11 people definitely came in a bus. 12 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We do stay away 13 from it because they do serve alcohol on the 14 bus, you know, for us to have them in our 15 establishment, it doesn't benefit us because 16 they're already drinking all night. 17 not going to be able to sell them anything. 18 ROBERT HAAS: So how do you account 19 for the instance at least in one night we're 20 talking about that they had a person that was 21 so intoxicated that had to be placed in

1	protecti ve custody?
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I'm not sure if
3	that person came from I talked to all the
4	security and they said we didn't have anybody
5	that's over served. All of our guys are
6	takes the 21-Proof class. We do that once
7	every six month for both of our
8	establishments.
9	ROBERT HAAS: Who was the crowd
10	manager that night?
11	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: That night I
12	believe Johnny was the crowd manager.
13	ROBERT HAAS: Officer Brooks, are
14	you familiar with Johnny?
15	OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: I'm not sure
16	who Johnny is.
17	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: He's one of
18	the Edgar was the manager. Edgar was also
19	there, but he's also the he's also crowd
20	control certified. That's one of the thing I
21	make sure that they're all crowd control

So, I believe when

1

certi fi ed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

you were -- appeared before us relatively early in my tenure with the Commission and about opening a place in Central Square, you gave us every assurance that you would be able to, in fact, manage the two places without any difficulty. And I think you've heard the officers, at least Officer Bates and to some extent corroborated by the Sergeant tell us that their perception is that OM is the source of trouble on a street which is already very crowded, and to some people's view an eyesore in the community of vomit and whatever we have heard before. a location which has involved a substantial challenges to the police department and others in terms of trying to manage it.

MI CHAEL GARDNER:

You've also heard the opinion that there appear to be substantially more people on the first floor than the license allows,

1 and that there were intoxicated persons 2 coming out of the building. And some 3 testimony that the way the space is 4 structured, typically with respect to where 5 the bathrooms are, that if there are rival 6 groups or different groups or competing 7 groups or people who know each other or don't 8 like each other, it's a general source for 9 friction. I guess I'm wondering both when 10 you let Edgar go -- I think I take it you 11 haven't hired a replacement yet? What are 12 you doing about that? And might it be that 13 you really are spread too thin? 14 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: If I may, OM has 15 been open for it's over eight years now. 16 we, we ran OM very nicely for the longest 17 time with very little incident. 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Well, you had 19 disciplinary decisions against you at least 20 on a couple of occasions. 21 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Once.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Not twice? One
2	held in abeyance.
3	ELIZABETH LINT: Twice.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well that's
5	disturbing that you don't remember how many
6	times you've been before us for discipline l
7	must say.
8	ELIZABETH LINT: I can there was
9	a three-day suspension of the alcohol and
10	entertainment license for being overcapacity
11	and serving to minors in 2008.
12	A three-day suspension held in abeyance
13	for six months in 2009 for being
14	overcapaci ty.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: Ring a bell?
16	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right, but that
17	was the same incident, but it just got by
18	the time that the suspension took place, it
19	was just in 2009.
20	ELIZABETH LINT: I don't I would
21	have to review that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, we can review that. Let's go back to what's going on now.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. So other than that incident, I might be wrong, but I pretty sure that I think that was the only incident that we had.

But since we open the new place in Central Square, I thought I hired the right manager for OM, and that's when I think some of this incident started happening. I spend a lot of time still there, but it's -- he's, he's not doing his job. Then, you know, I did replace him. But I don't think I'm spread too thin. It just -- he was the manager. You know, he was running the nightlife promotions and he was probably bringing in the wrong crowd that, you know, we didn't have two years, three years ago. Or even last year. He didn't came on board about six months ago.

1	ROBERT HAAS: So is he a promoter or
2	is he a manager of record?
3	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: He's basically a
4	manager he's not manager of record. He's
5	basically the manager for the restaurant for
6	the promotes the nightlife and stuff.
7	ROBERT HAAS: Who's the manager of
8	record?
9	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: My busi ness
10	partner Bi k.
11	ROBERT HAAS: Where is he that
12	ni ght?
13	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: He wasn't there.
14	But I was in Central Square, but I went over
15	there.
16	ROBERT HAAS: So you weren't present
17	ei ther?
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: The Commissioner's
19	question was where was he? Which I didn't
20	thi nk you answered.
21	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: The manager

1	ROBERT HAAS: Your partner.
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. He wasn't
3	there that night.
4	ROBERT HAAS: He just was off or was
5	he someplace else?
6	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: He was off. He
7	had the night off.
8	ROBERT HAAS: So you left the
9	establishment in control of your promoter is
10	what you're telling us.
11	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, no, no. He's
12	the manager of the restaurant. Not the
13	manager of record for the license, but you
14	know, we have three, four managers in the
15	restaurant.
16	ROBERT HAAS: Who does, who brings
17	the DJ's in and the entertainment for the
18	night? Mr. Artie or
19	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, Artie.
20	ROBERT HAAS: Or somebody else? So
21	he's doing both jobs, then. You're telling

1 us he's the manager of the establishment, the 2 restaurant, and he's also your promoter? 3 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Ri ght. He's in 4 charge of basically booking the stuff for the 5 nightlife. 6 ROBERT HAAS: Is he here tonight? 7 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, he's no 8 longer with us. I had to let him go. 9 ROBERT HAAS: So tell us when you 10 Let him go. Because now I'm confused. 11 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I let him go 12 about beginning of this week because I did 13 some homework. I had some people go over 14 there and, you know, I was there checking up 15 on things, and I did talk to some of the 16 other employees, the securities, and, you 17 know, things that happened there that, you 18 know, he didn't, he didn't have OM as his 19 best interest. But he was doing other stuff 20 that, you know, led me to believe that he's 21 not the right fit for the restaurant so we

1	let him go.
2	ROBERT HAAS: So if he's not there
3	and you're looking for a replacement, is your
4	partner now there
5	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yeah.
6	ROBERT HAAS: every night that the
7	restaurant's open?
8	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, he's not
9	there every night. He can't be there seven
10	days a week.
11	ROBERT HAAS: Well, who's running it
12	when he's not there?
13	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I have two other
14	managers. Dej ay Boston, she's that's her
15	last name. She's also there. And Johnny.
16	He's also there. There's two other managers.
17	I interviewed
18	ROBERT HAAS: What's Johnny's last
19	name?
20	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I'm having a
21	bl ank.

1	ROBERT HAAS: But he's your manager?
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Jonathan Hi ro
3	(phonetic). I'm sorry, I just I always
4	call him Johnny. He's been working me for
5	six years now.
6	ROBERT HAAS: So tell me about your
7	management arrangements. So you have manager
8	for the restaurant. You have crowd control
9	when you have large events. Or is that one
10	in the same person?
11	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, I mean, I
12	have all our securities that work in the
13	restaurant.
14	ROBERT HAAS: So who does your crowd
15	control manager?
16	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We have all
17	our securities are crowd control certified.
18	ROBERT HAAS: Yes, but you need to
19	have one person designated as your crowd
20	control manager. Who is that?
21	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: So we basi cally

1	the team Leader, whoever the security team
2	leader for the night is the crowd control
3	manager.
4	GERALD REARDON: And that person's
5	going to have a valid certificate?
6	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yes.
7	GERALD REARDON: And valid
8	certificate means he's gone to training,
9	he'll have a valid certificate. And we'll
10	look their name up and find out that they're
11	certified in crowd control?
12	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yeah.
13	ROBERT HAAS: So Johnny that ni ght
14	was the crowd control manager on the night of
15	this event; right?
16	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: I wonder if it
18	might be reasonable, Ms. Boyer, to ask you to
19	make an on-site inspection and obtain copies
20	of the records, the certifications as to who
21	the crowd control managers were going back to

1	the middle of August?
2	ANDREA BOYER: Yes. And I do
3	believe under the crowd control manager and
4	maybe you can help me, Chief, is I thought
5	that it's a test you have to take online. I
6	don't know if
7	GERALD REARDON: Right.
8	ANDREA BOYER: I don't know if I
9	know some establishments have they usually
10	have, you know, their manager or the owner
11	take it. And I've not known for five or six
12	people to take it for an establishment. So
13	I'd like to definitely see the names on the
14	list.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So that's not a
16	problem for you
17	ANDREA BOYER: It's dated.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: to go back and
19	to check day by day?
20	ANDREA BOYER: Yes, I can do that.
21	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Even at Naga we

1 have all of our security, everybody before 2 they even start working they have to take the 3 It takes about 45 minutes. online course. 4 Print the certificate right away and we have 5 it on file. 6 Your security your MI CHAEL GARDNER: 7 actual employees or do you use a third-party 8 contractor? 9 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Some of them are 10 actual employees, some of them are third 11 party. 12 MICHAEL GARDNER: You find it makes 13 any difference? 14 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Even though if 15 it's third party, we interview them before we 16 have them come in and, you know, it has to come from a reliable source. But most of 17 18 them are our regular employees. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you deny any 20 overcrowding. You deny any over serving. 21 Your sense about what happened is that the

manager/promoter that you've recently fired was promoting sort of the wrong kind of nightlife and you got the, I think wrong crowd or the wrong people coming as patrons, is that -- have I fairly summarized your position?

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yeah. It's, it's -- I realize that having Edgar over there, the crowd kind of turned more into urban crowd. Nothing against it, but, you know, it seems like nobody likes them, so that's what happened. And there is -- we didn't have that type of crowd before.

GERALD REARDON: So did you change the format of the music and so forth with Edgar? I mean, generally there's a correlation to the type of venue that's there and the type of crowd you get, and some of the levels of problems you get, and it's like a risk management deal. You kind of know in certain venues they're more risky than

others.

that night.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We mostly, most of the time we play similar type of music which is Top 40's and commercial music that everybody listens to. But it's, it's, you know, who is inviting them I guess is the difference.

GERALD REARDON: I've never Officer
Brooks listen to Top 40's before.

ROBERT HAAS: So the other thing I would just point out is something that, the whole notion of the bus, and you're telling us it's a Cambridge crowd. I'm trying to figure out what that's all about. And --

MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, what it's about is you weren't there; isn't that right?

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, I came over there right away. I mean, I'm only like seven minutes away from Central Square. As soon as -- as a matter of fact, we had detail

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: So but did you
2	know about the bus before he said it?
3	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No. But every
4	time I meet with our marketing team and
5	everybody, we I always make sure that even
6	at Naga, you know, we had this companies that
7	came in approached us to have these special
8	deals with them, and right away I decline
9	that because
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: But I take it you
11	don't have a capacity to refuse somebody
12	entrance because they got off a bus or do
13	you?
14	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, we don't.
15	But
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: So that night you
17	told us that it was a Cambridge crowd, and
18	then we heard that they had a hard time
19	clearing the streets because they had 80 or
20	so people saying I'm waiting for the bus, and
21	it was a big Greyhound Peter Pan which was

1	not delivering peop
2	Cambridge. And you
3	you, you didn't kna
4	SOLMON CHO
5	my statement, when
6	bus. We do beca
7	Square, we do have
8	private parties tha
9	Lesley College and
10	their group in a b
11	know, they'll book
12	They'll come in and
13	that, you know, we
14	what I meant was w
15	bus because they a
16	in their bus, that
17	other establishmen
18	have any financial
19	MI CHAEL GA
20	promotional deals v

not delivering people to neighborhoods in Cambridge. And you're saying that's news to you, you didn't know about the bus.

OWDHURY: If I may correct I meant a bus, is a party ause we are in Harvard parties that -- we book nat we book with Bentley or stuff. They will send all ous to come in and, you out the whole place. nd party. When I meant was don't deal with any -*i*e don't deal with the party are al ready serving al cohol if they are at OM or any nt, then we don't really benefit.

MICHAEL GARDNER: You don't have any promotional deals with them?

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right, yeah,

1	exactl y.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: I got that. And
3	I'm still trying to understand and he said
4	that lots of people that were there that
5	night were waiting to get on a Peter Pan bus,
6	and I think I've heard you say whether it's a
7	Bentley bus or a Springfield, Mass., bus or a
8	Springfield, Ohio, bus, you didn't know about
9	any bus that night?
10	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. I didn't
11	know anything about that bus that night.
12	ROBERT HAAS: So that night, where
13	was the I guess you wouldn't know where
14	the parties were booked from.
15	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: It was just two
16	bi rthday parti es.
17	ROBERT HAAS: Yes. But where were
18	they I mean it looks like at least one of
19	them was using a bus to get back and forth.
20	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. I didn't
21	know anything about a bus. And even when I

1	went there did I talk to you?
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm going to not
3	ask you to do that.
4	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
5	But when I went there, I was there
6	probably at like 1:15, you know, and I was
7	there until 2:30, 3:00. And I rarely see
8	any
9	ROBERT HAAS: So from your
10	perspective as an owner of OM Restaurant, do
11	you think it's acceptable to have 20 officers
12	tied up for two hours to try to manage your
13	crowds coming out of your business?
14	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Absolutely not.
15	ROBERT HAAS: So what are you going
16	to do to make sure that that doesn't happen
17	agai n?
18	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I will be there.
19	I mean I hired someone else who and to
20	oversee this also, and I'm going to go ahead
21	and apply for change of manager also. We'll

make sure that we don't have the similar
parti es booki ng anymore.
ROBERT HAAS: So if you're there,
how are you going to manage the other
property in Central Square?
SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, I have
manager there we hired, we've been training
also. So I will be in both places back and
forth. I'm here six, seven days a week.
Seven nights a week.
MICHAEL GARDNER: Should we move to
the other incidents now?
ROBERT HAAS: Yes, why don't we move
to the next night? So we're going to look at
October 4th and 5th are connected, right?
ANDREA BOYER: It's October 4th into
the 5th. So that's Officer Bates's case.
ROBERT HAAS: You're done?
OFFICER DEVON BROOKS: I'm done.
Thank you.
Thank you.

1	much for your patience this evening.
2	SERGEANT PATRICK CARNEY: That's my
3	shi ft.
4	ROBERT HAAS: You're all done?
5	Officer Bates, were you involved in the
6	October 4th?
7	PATROLMAN BATES: The last half of
8	the 4th into the 5th, sir.
9	ROBERT HAAS: Okay. So you're
10	involved in this as well.
11	And Ms. Boyer?
12	ANDREA BOYER: I'm not, no. I am on
13	the 5th.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: So the 5th is
15	different from the 4th, it's not the 4th/5th?
16	ANDREA BOYER: It's 4th/5th and I'm
17	actually
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: There's the
19	4th/5th and then the 5th?
20	ANDREA BOYER: He's Thursday into
21	Friday morning, and I'm Friday evening.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay, well, why 2 don't we do 4/5. 3 ROBERT HAAS: So you're 4/5; right? 4 PATROLMAN BATES: Yes, sir. 5 ROBERT HAAS: Okay. 6 PATROLMAN BATES: So that night I 7 was working my regular assignment which was 8 the Harvard Square walking route, and this 9 was coming on the heels of the other incident that I had spoken about. And I actually had 10 11 recently, on a prior shift, went in and 12 looked at their license and their occupancy. 13 It was, it was the morning after one of our 14 officers was assaulted there. I wanted to 15 know what the occupancy was. 16 actually -- at the point of this incident I 17 was familiar with it. That night Sergeant 18 Dave Brown was the supervisor and we could 19 see early on that OM was pretty hopping, and 20 given the recent circumstances I had 21 mentioned, Sergeant Brown directed me to go

by a few times during the night and keep an eye on it.

At 1:30 I did a walkthrough inspection to look for occupancy and kind of get a gauge of it. I noted that the premises was at or near or at capacity. What I put down is that the first floor was packed literally shoulder to shoulder with patrons at that time. So at closing time which was two a.m. that night, Sergeant Brown and I actually both self-initiated calling off in the area. We call it an 86, which is a directed patrol, and they have one in there specifically for bar closings.

So OM began to empty out, and the crowd within a couple of minutes filled Winthrop

Street wall to wall halfway up the street.

So it was a pretty large crowd. And within a couple of minutes the fight started breaking out. I was standing at JFK speaking to

Sergeant Brown and he said, well, here we go.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Here's the first one. So I ran into the crowd. The fight kind of broke up on its own, and the people, again, it's -- it's hard to describe here in this atmosphere, but being surrounded by several hundred people when it's kind of boisterous and chaotic and you're vastly outnumbered.

And enclosed?

MI CHAEL GARDNER:

PATROLMAN BATES: Well, this was outside, sir. I only went inside about a half hour before closing time. This was out in the street. So the combatants kind of melted into the crowd. Sergeant Brown radioed for additional units and numerous other officers, and another police supervisor We were all tied up there came to the scene. for an extended time. I noted further that all the combatants kind of stayed in the area and they kept mouthing off to each other and almost fighting. So some strong presence was necessary just to keep fights from happening.

I noted during this time I had to separate people several times, and numerous other officers were trying to get people to leave. The street actually reopens as a public way at two a.m. for --

MI CHAEL GARDNER: At two?

PATROLMAN BATES: Yes, sir. It's open from two a.m. to eleven a.m. It's a public way. It's actually open for deliveries and so forth. It's a closed pedestrian arcade from eleven a.m. to closing time.

So none of them would clear the street.

I mean, we were driving cruisers up and down with the lights and siren and ordering people to leave. After about maybe about 20 minutes — or actually when I noted on here is by 2:15 about, I'd say probably a little less than half of the crowd had cleared. There were still approximately 100 patrons in the street that were making the area very loud.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So all of us were still there trying to move them along. And for whatever reason this crowd just seems to like to loiter for an extended time after closing. I'm not sure why. I heard a disturbance a distance up the street, and I looked up and I saw Officer Tim Laughton of the Cambridge Police was involved in some sort of fight or altercation with a group of about 10 people. I was on foot so I ran across the square over to him, and there was these two groups facing off, and Officer Laughton was in the middle. Again, just trying to get them separated. I think a couple of girls had been fighting. And while he -- and then I actually called -- we called off that. I brought in a separate -- there's an incident report I authored based on the This is the CAD report for the cl osi ng. fight call which is sort of concurrent with a separate incident because it was down the So then when he was trying to do street.

21

that, another girl tried to jump him from I had to kind of pull her off. behind. then we managed to get some of them into the car, and then one got out, and then a girl literally we were standing in front of her, actually she sort of jumped on me to try to get to another girl. This is how much they don't care about the police presence with me standing in front of her. She jumped on me over my shoulders trying to get to the other girl. I had to bodily pick her up and kind of just broom her out of there. And then we finally got them to leave after a few minutes. And then as I was a walking route, I actually hopped in an officer's car because Sergeant Brown directed me to author this report and so I said let me just do it now so I'm going to go sit in the car. As I'm typing this report up, I can still hear and see multiple other groups around the area carrying on and making it loud. And I

20

21

completed this report here and still stayed in the area. And I actually ended up calling another CAD call for JFK and Mt. Auburn. the time of this call was 2:39 a.m., that there was a group of about six or eight people screaming crossing the street and then three of them decided to just stop and stand in the street and scream for some reason that made sense to them. To the point that I was standing there and get out of the street, and they didn't want to move. And I got into a little bit of a -- not an altercation, but it was a bit of an exchange with them. explaining to them maybe that the fact that it's a public street wouldn't carry much weight with the judge of them standing in the middle of the street screaming at three a.m. And I cleared this call -- actually another officer jumped on this call with me because I was by myself. Again, so this call was cleared at 2:49 a.m. And within a few

1 minutes after that, so by about three is what 2 it took to really quiet the square down. 3 so that was -- this was the incident from the 4 last half or the midnight shift starting at 5 eleven p.m. on the 4th into the morning. So 6 this incident was the wee hours of the 7 morning of the 5th from basically between two 8 and three a.m. 9 ROBERT HAAS: So, Officer Bates, you 10 indicated you walked through the 11 establishments to get a sense of how many 12 people were there. Did you identify who the 13 manager was? 14 PATROLMAN BATES: No. 15 ROBERT HAAS: Did anybody identify 16 themselves as the crowd control manager while 17 you were there? 18 PATROLMAN BATES: No. 19 ROBERT HAAS: When you talked about 20 several units responding, how many officers 21 responded that night, do you recall?

1 PATROLMAN BATES: Usually for 2 something like this, sir, when it's a fight 3 call, again, knowing that it's OM, it's going 4 to be hundreds of people, it's usually just 5 about every northern unit which would be one 6 or two, two officer sector cars. As I said, 7 two police supervisors came. Offi cer 8 Laughton was and Officer Cresto was on this 9 call with me, and he was or was it the other 10 one? Officer Cresto was 10R. He was on that 11 So Officer Laughton was another R with me. 12 car. 13 ROBERT HAAS: So how many would you 14 estimate? 15 PATROLMAN BATES: I'm sure there's 16 at least a dozen of us there, probably were 17 Because where Harvard Square is some more. 18 kind of in the middle, some of the lower 19 units would come up, too. 20 ROBERT HAAS: Yes, sure. 21 So how long do you think the officers

1	were tied up on this incident, this first
2	incident besides the other two fights that
3	were going on? This first incident when
4	people were coming out from OM?
5	PATROLMAN BATES: It was ongoing.
6	It was kind of concurrent, sir. There were
7	still officers there on Winthrop Street when
8	I ran up to Officer Laughton. And, you know,
9	probably the better part of the hour anyways.
10	I think we this was started a little
11	before two.
12	ROBERT HAAS: In your view all these
13	groups that you were dealing with all during
14	the course of the night, were they all people
15	coming out of OM or was it a mixture of other
16	people coming from the other restaurants?
17	PATROLMAN BATES: No, it was all
18	from OM, sir.
19	ROBERT HAAS: How could you tell
20	that?
21	PATROLMAN BATES: They were just all

1	they were coming from that direction.
2	They all seemed to know each other. They
3	were all the same kind of party type, party
4	type outfits. And it was just, it was
5	obvi ous.
6	ROBERT HAAS: Okay.
7	PATROLMAN BATES: Plus it's all
8	within arm's reach of OM as well.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You say you work
10	about, I think if I got this right, you work
11	about 50 percent of your tours in the Harvard
12	Square area?
13	PATROLMAN BATES: Yes, sir, yeah.
14	Half of my work nights are scheduled to work
15	Harvard.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Right. So that
17	would include other days of the week besides
18	Thursday, Fri day, Saturday?
19	PATROLMAN BATES: Yes. We work a
20	rotating schedule, sir.
21	MICHAEL GARDNER: Right. So do you

1 have an impression as to whether there's 2 either a difference in atmosphere, a 3 difference in public safety, a difference in 4 police demand on the nights that the 5 establishment closes at one? 6 PATROLMAN BATES: It's much 7 different, sir. The other nights, the other 8 nights of the week when this type of crowd 9 isn't there, it's very quiet or just 10 non-exi stent. 11 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you. 12 Is there any reason we shouldn't move 13 your license back to one a.m. for all nights 14 of the week? 15 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: It's gonna hurt 16 our business tremendously. It's -- we --17 it's the weekend is where we make most of our 18 businesses. And on Winthrop Street maybe we 19 can go -- we do call in for detail every 20 Friday and Saturday. If it gets filled or 21 not, I'm not -- you know.

MICHAEL GARDNER: You don't really know that because you're down at Naga?

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, no, it's -we call it in all the time. I call it in for

Naga and for OM every weekend.

ROBERT HAAS: I can tell you, though, from my experience officers finding that detail is less appealing because of the situation that Officer Bates has described continually over the weekend nights. Just calling in for a detail is not going to solve your problem. And, again, it goes back to the next question, is it acceptable for 12 officers to be tied up for a greater part of a half hour trying to deal with your clients and patrons coming out of your restaurant?

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. But at two o'clock there is about a thousand people on Winthrop Street. So we have 200 people and if you include our patio and our garden, that's 60 people. Then Tommy Doyle's, I

 think their capacity is another 250. I'm not exactly sure. And then Charlie's Kitchen, including their beer garden, there's another three, four hundred people.

ROBERT HAAS: Well, you have two incidents here where people are clearing your restaurant and then there's a series of fights that break out on Winthrop Street, your patrons; right, that requires officers to call for assistance to try to break up that group on two separate instances now, on two instances and possibly three if we count August 31st or not. I'm just trying to figure out why it's taking you so long that whatever is going on there in your opinion is not acceptable, but you've not done anything to correct the situation.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. I think most of this started maybe about a month, month and a half ago as our --

ROBERT HAAS: I've heard officers

1	say it's been going on for six months now.
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: But we didn't
3	really, maybe we were busy but we didn't
4	really have any incident, but we started
5	having incident like maybe a month and a
6	half, two months ago. Before we I don't
7	think we ever had any incidents. So it's
8	probably certain crowd that's started coming
9	in lately.
10	ROBERT HAAS: What do you account
11	for that to be?
12	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I'm assuming it's
13	the promoter that or, you know.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: It's Edgar's
15	fault? It's Edgar's fault?
16	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: So, I mean I
17	al ready fi xed that problem. We, you know,
18	when I'm doing the hiring and, you know,
19	between OM and Naga I have about 80
20	employees. I do my best not only do they
21	have to go to interview with me, my business

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

partner, but also the second manager or third manager. We doing our best to hire the right fit, but once in a while I guess, you know, this wasn't the right fit. He didn't have OM or our best interest in his mind. Like I can assure you --

ROBERT HAAS: A bad fit is potentially disastrous. I mean, you hear officers potentially fighting with people out in the street trying to clear people because they're attracted by your promoter who is basically the manager of the establishment. Public safety is put into jeopardy and basically it turns into a melee. And you've had now at least three instances we talked about where we've had a reoccurring theme where people come out of your restaurant, it's turned into a series of fights. number of officers have to respond to try to bake break it up.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I took the first

1	step to fix that problem in getting rid of
2	Edgar and, you know, just have to cancel that
3	parties and make sure that it's not the crowd
4	that creates that type of atmosphere.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Officer Bates, do
6	I understand you to believe that you thought
7	that on the first floor they were at or near
8	capacity, but you did not contrary to the
9	earlier incident you did not see them as
10	clearly overcrowded.
11	PATROLMAN BATES: Yes, sir.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: Is that a fair
13	summary of what you said?
14	PATROLMAN BATES: Yeah, it felt a
15	little uncomfortably close, but I didn't feel
16	on the morning of the 5th that they were
17	over. I felt that they were right up there.
18	GERALD REARDON: Officer Bates, when
19	you went in and made those couple of quick
20	looks earlier in the evening, did you notice
21	anyone at the door with a counter or any

1	security at the door counting heads that you
2	were aware of?
3	PATROLMAN BATES: On this night
4	there was actually someone there with the
5	cl i cker.
6	GERALD REARDON: There was, okay.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Want to deal
8	with
9	ROBERT HAAS: And this is around one
10	o' cl ock agai n; ri ght?
11	PATROLMAN BATES: This was at 1:30
12	time, sir.
13	ROBERT HAAS: Were there people
14	waiting outside to get in?
15	PATROLMAN BATES: There were some.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: Want to deal with
17	the 5th?
18	ROBERT HAAS: Ready.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: It's been so long
20	perhaps we've all forgotten maybe you should
21	state your name for the record again.

1	ANDREA BOYER: Andrea Boyer,
2	investigator for the City of Cambridge
3	Li cense Commi ssi on, B-o-y-e-r.
4	PATROLMAN BATES: Are you all set
5	with me, sir?
6	ROBERT HAAS: Do you need him for
7	this one?
8	ANDREA BOYER: I don't think so.
9	PATROLMAN BATES: Do you want the
10	reports?
11	ANDREA BOYER: We did an
12	investigation on Thursday that evening
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very
14	much.
15	ANDREA BOYER: pri or to. I'd
16	like to indicate we did a capacity check
17	prior to that incident transpiring so it was
18	in the same evening that we just did an
19	i nspecti on.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: The evening of the
21	4th?

1	ANDREA BOYER: Correct.
2	GERALD REARDON: Was that task
3	force?
4	ANDREA BOYER: No, that was actually
5	the report I'm going to give, sir.
6	So based on advice oh, do you want
7	to introduce yourself?
8	DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: Kevin
9	Donofrio. I'm a detective with the Cambridge
10	Police. D-o-n-o-f-r-i-o.
11	ANDREA BOYER: Based on police
12	reports submitted to our office and alleged
13	fights and alleged overcapacity issues, an
14	investigation of OM Restaurant Located at 92
15	Winthrop Street was performed.
16	The investigation took place on October
17	4th, 5th, and 6th, 2012. On October 4th and
18	5th I worked with Kevin Donofrio and
19	Detective Hussy. And on October 6th just
20	Detective Donofrio and I worked together.
21	On Thursday, October 4, 2012, we

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

bypassed the line that was at the door to enter OM Restaurant at approximately 12:30 a.m. to count the number of patrons to see if they were in compliance with the allowable capacity number which are as follows: first floor is 118 patrons, and the second It was difficult to floor 76 patrons. navigate through the establishment. We were able to count the patrons. This showed there were 110 patrons on the first floor and 68 on the second floor. The detectives and I also entered the kitchen area to see if food was I noted that the last item avai I abl e. ordered through the kitchen remote printer was 9:11 p.m.

Based on being close to the capacity, allowable capacity, we asked to speak with a manager to address our concerns of becoming overcapacity. The manager, Mr. Edgar Artie met us at the top of the stairs and I asked to speak with him outside. As we exited, we

noticed that the door staff still had a line of patrons waiting to enter the establishment. I explained to the manager that they are close to full capacity, and Mr. Artie stated that they are only allowing people in as patrons exit.

Detective Donofrio inquired of Mr.

Artie as to how they maintained the correct capacity on each floor, and Mr. Artie explained that they have a staff member at the bottom of the stairs and one at the top to keep count. We reiterated the importance of watching the patron capacity and numbers and left. So that was the 4th.

On Friday, October 5, 2012, Detective Donofrio informed me that an alleged incident at OM Restaurant had transpired after we had finished or investigation the evening before. Based on the police report which indicated the alleged incident took place closer to the closing hour, we decided to check the

establishment later in the evening. Excuse me.

21

We arrived at OM Restaurant at approximately 1:15 a.m. As we approached the establishment, Detective Hussy noted that the upstairs seemed very busy based on the number of customers pressed against the upstairs window glass. When we entered the establishment, the downstairs did not have many customer so we left to go upstairs. stayed by the stairs to count how many people left the upstairs to be able to adjust the count that Detective Donofrio and Detective Hussy performed. While waiting, the upstairs security gentleman asked me if I was counting the capacity. I informed him that we were. He asked me if I knew what the capacity was and I told him I did. And in return I asked him if he knew what the allowable capacity is and he shrugged. I asked him a second time and when he didn't answer, I told him that he

should know so that he doesn't allow too many people upstairs.

When Detective Hussy and Detective

Donofrio finished their count, the patron

total on the second floor was 119 patrons.

While I was standing with the security man,

five people went downstairs so the adjusted

total patrons on the second floor totalled

114 patrons.

When we went outside, the owner Solmon Chowdhury was present and he explained -- and we explained that the second floor was overcapacity. He stated that he had just arrived, and it was then the manager Mr. Edgar Artie joined us and explained after hearing of the overcapacity that there was a song that was played and the patrons rushed from the first floor to the second floor to hear and dance to the song. I stated that their allowable capacity is 76 on the second floor, and if over 30 people stampeded to the

second floor to hear a song, they should have been stopped by the security staff. I explained that the incident would be written up and given to the Executive Director for review.

On Saturday, October 6th Detective

Donofrio and I checked the OM establishment
at 12:40 a.m. It was noted that there were
minimal patrons on premise and that they were
not overcapacity. It was a slow night you
could tell.

interested in how long a time period it was in your estimate of either of you from the time that you were outside and noticed or -- you thought that the upstairs might have been crowded because you saw all the people pushed against the window, and the time that the count was actually completed.

ANDREA BOYER: Probably within -- DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: Maybe

1	five minutes. We went up immediately. You	
2	could see bodies physically pressed against	
3	the glass, the back sides of them pressed	
4	agai nst the glass.	
5	ROBERT HAAS: That's when you first	
6	arri ved there?	
7	DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: When we	
8	first arrived.	
9	ROBERT HAAS: All right, so you	
10	couldn't tell how long that condition had	
11	been like that?	
12	DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: No. But	
13	I would note that the windows up there were	
14	heavily fogged over on the second floor while	
15	we observed that.	
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you form any	
17	impressions about a particularly popular	
18	dance tune being played?	
19	ANDREA BOYER: Actually, you could,	
20	you could hear the music that was downstairs.	
21	And also when you were upstairs when you	
	1	

1 were downstairs, you couldn't hear whether --2 to my impression I couldn't hear what the 3 song was upstairs. So when they had given me 4 that as an explanation, it didn't quite make 5 sense to me that people from downstairs heard 6 the song, because there was a DJ downstairs 7 So it wasn't as if there was al so. 8 downstairs it was quiet and you hear a song 9 and then you run upstairs because it's the 10 song you wanted to hear. So it just seemed 11 it wasn't a possible explanation at the time. 12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And were you 13 persuaded that the security guard you spoke 14 to did know what the capacity of the upstairs 15 was? 16 ANDREA BOYER: That is true. I did 17 not think he knew what it was. 18 GERALD REARDON: Mr. Chowdhury, is 19 that place a hundred percent sprinklered? 20 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yes, uh-huh. 21 So you, I just missed ROBERT HAAS:

1	it. Did you say there was over 100 people on
2	the second floor when you got there?
3	ANDREA BOYER: Yes, there were.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: 114 adj usted
5	count.
6	ANDREA BOYER: Correct.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And capacity 79.
8	ANDREA BOYER: 76.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: 76.
10	ROBERT HAAS: And Mr. Artie was the
11	manager?
12	ANDREA BOYER: He was.
13	ROBERT HAAS: Do you know who the
14	crowd control manager was?
15	ANDREA BOYER: I do not.
16	ROBERT HAAS: Mr. Chowdhury, who was
17	the crowd control manager at that night, do
18	you know?
19	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I believe Edgar
20	was the crowd control manager.
21	ROBERT HAAS: So he was the manager,

1	the crowd control manager, and the promoter?
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right, he was
3	there that night.
4	ROBERT HAAS: Okay.
5	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I don't, I might
6	be wrong on this, but you maybe you can
7	correct me, do you need to have as long as
8	one of the manager is crowd control certified
9	or do you
10	GERALD REARDON: But that person,
11	that individual's job
12	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
13	GERALD REARDON: is to make sure
14	that they know that they're not overcrowded
15	at any time. Whether that's the person
16	responsible for the actual enforcement and
17	the counts.
18	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
19	GERALD REARDON: If they need five
20	other people to effect that count, then
21	that's what they need to do.

1 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Ri ght. 2 But you should be GERALD REARDON: 3 able to go to that crowd manager and ask what 4 the capacity is and they should be able to 5 tell you within reason. Obviously if it's 76 6 and you may have 77, 78, I mean we understand 7 a couple here and there could have gotten by. 8 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Ri ght. 9 GERALD REARDON: But to be off by a 10 vast number -- and the other thing, you're Lucky our code enforcements weren't there, 11 12 because they could write tickets on the spot 13 at a thousand dollars a shot. And after 14 three of them we take your license. 15 MI CHAEL GARDNER: My calculator says 16 that if your capacity was 76 and you had 114, 17 that's an overcapacity of 38 which is an 18 overcapacity of 50 percent of your stated 19 capaci ty. 20 Ri ght. SOLMON CHOWDHURY: 21 50 percent. MI CHAEL GARDNER: You

How

1 were 50 percent over stated capacity. 2 could that happen? 3 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I, I just went 4 over there. I was in Central Square and then 5 I went over there and I was just walking in 6 and I saw her outside. 7 GERALD REARDON: With all due 8 respect, this is getting old. All right? 9 This is getting very old with me right now. 10 It's not a good path to go down. Someone has 11 to accept the responsibility or I'll take 12 care of the responsibility. Please don't 13 give me the same song that, you know, you're 14 down at the -- you can't have any -- you 15 can't be the owner and be responsible and 16 then claim you're not there so you're not 17 responsible and nothing happened. I mean, 18 this is inconsistent with common sense. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER:

20

21

I guess I would ask again how could it happen that you could be 50 percent overcapacity? Doesn't the

staff know what they're supposed to do? If you're there or not there. I mean, you're not there a lot of the time, so how, so that's the given, that's the norm. So how could it happen that they could be 50 percent overcapacity?

solmon CHOWDHURY: I, I pulled everybody aside that night right away. As soon as she left, I took everybody, I had conversation with everyone individually. One of the excuse they had is they weren't busy, our capacity is 200. But we only had 130 people in the whole place. So they basically said that they weren't as careful because we weren't at full capacity so they didn't have anybody waiting outside.

MICHAEL GARDNER: What's your understanding of the reasons, including any public safety reasons, why the place you own and are responsible for has in fact two separate capacities on two floors? Why do we

1	have that arrangement in your understanding?
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right, if I may
3	expl ai n.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: No, no, I just ask
5	you to explain my question. Answer my
6	questi on.
7	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: To make sure that
8	we are not overcapacity and public health is
9	not at risk. Publics not at risk.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, why don't we
11	just have a single capacity of 114 plus 76 if
12	I remember the numbers, or what is that 190?
13	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: 193.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: Yes. So I mean
15	why, why did we or why did you propose and we
16	agreed to have a two tier capacity? What's
17	the public safety or health reason why that
18	was done? If you know.
19	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, may l
20	expl ai n
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: -- what happened

2 actually?

MICHAEL GARDNER: No. I want to know about why it's set up that way. I don't want to know about that night right now. I want to know why it was set up that way in the first place.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Exactly. The reason why this was done, set up that way, our square footage on the second floor is 1140 square foot total. The reason our capacity is 76 on the second floor is I don't know if you remember, first when we purchased our liquor license, there was a seating cap We purchased our liquor license seati ng. from TGI Fri day that had 93 seats. Then we came back about a year or two years later, we purchased additional 100 seats from Z-Square and then we increased our capacity.

And so then our capacity on the first floor is 118 with allowable space of 590. On

17

18

19

20

21

1	the other hand, our capacity on the second
2	floor is 76 with the allowable space of about
3	1200 square foot.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: So the short
5	answer is that the second floor has such a
6	much smaller floor space?
7	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No. Much bi gger
8	space but much less capacity.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And the reason for
10	that is?
11	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Is we didn't
12	there wasn't any more seats available for us
13	to purchase back then.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: Soit's really got
15	no public safety purpose at all. It's just a
16	function of your license. Of how your seats
17	got set up. Nobody here said it's better to
18	have fewer people on the second floor than
19	the first floor?
20	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: At the beginning
21	when we got our license, it was set up that

1 If you follow our licenses, we started way. 2 with 93 seats because that was the seating 3 capacity on our license. Then we purchased, 4 you know, we were told that you can increase 5 your capacity as long as you purchase bank 6 seats from other establishment. So we went 7 out and bought 100 bank seats from Z-Square 8 and then we increased our capacity to 193. 9 And we tried to purchase other seats from 10 other establishment and I negotiated with 11 Cafe of India to purchase 50 more seats from 12 them, but they wouldn't sell it to us. 13 also negotiated with the restaurant on 14 Winthrop Street, Tamarind Bay also to purchase some seats from that --15 16 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 17 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: -- to increase 18 our capacity. But that didn't happen. 19 back then every seats were selling for a 20 thousand dollar. 21 So is it -- I mean MI CHAEL GARDNER:

1 I think you told us that while the people you 2 had in charge of the place didn't really 3 think it was a very big deal in terms of you 4 were under total capacity so it didn't matter 5 that you overcapacity on the license from 6 upstairs from downstairs. And there's really 7 no public safety rhyme or reason to those 8 numbers, those numbers are there because that 9 happened to be the history of your purchases? 10 Did I explain that right? 11 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: In term of --12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: No public safety 13 reason to have fewer people upstairs? 14 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I think our 15 seating capacity was set up according to what 16 our license would allow, not what public 17 safety or what -- how many people we can have 18 in there. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. So the 20 License Commission split your numbers in ways 21 that don't even follow the tracking of your

1	
٠	

purposes. The License Commission put the bottom at 114 and the top at -- I'm sorry, 118 and the top at 76?

4

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, it's our architect who did the design according to how many seats we had on our license, not according to how big our space was. had -- first when we started the restaurant, was 93 seats. So we had 45 people downstairs, 45 people upstairs. Or I might be confusing with the numbers, but we started with 93 seats. We bought 100 seats. redid the drawing. The architect redid the drawing saying you could have 118 people downstairs, and because you only limited to 200, we'll put the remaining 76 on the second But if I were able to buy 100 more seats or 50 more seats from somebody else, then they could have gone into the second floor by increasing the seating capacity.

MI CHAEL GARDNER:

Okay.

So how is

1 it that your staff felt that it didn't matter 2 since you were under 200 you could have all 3 those people upstairs? 4 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: They, they are 5 not as knowledgeable as I am or the manager 6 was, so the security guy that was on the 7 stairs, he automatically assumed that, you 8 know, it's okay as long as we're not over 200 9 He just, you know. people. 10 MICHAEL GARDNER: And how long has 11 he provided services to you? 12 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: The gentleman on 13 the stairs he's actually just came on board 14 recently. He wasn't there for that long. 15 MI CHAEL GARDNER: On October the 16 5th? 17 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. I believe 18 he started maybe a month before that. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you never saw 20 it as part of your responsibility as the 21 holder of the license to make sure that the

1	person who was responsible for counting the
2	people who went upstairs and controlling
3	access to upstairs understood what the number
4	he was supposed to be limiting his people to?
5	You didn't see you didn't make sure he
6	knew that in a month's time of working for
7	you?
8	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, I did. And
9	that's, that's his responsibility as being on
10	the stairs, to make sure that we keep the
11	crowd, crowd in control.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you made sure,
13	did you think that he just forgot and didn't
14	do it that night and then couldn't tell
15	Ms. Boyer what the number was?
16	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I'm assuming
17	that's what happened. He just thought maybe
18	because we don't have too many people, he
19	didn't have to check the crowd maybe.
20	But
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You're assuming

1	that?
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: That's what I'm
3	assumi ng.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: You didn't
5	investigate that when you found out about
6	this 50 percent overcrowd?
7	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. That's
8	what he basically told me that night that I
9	talked to him. I thought we don't have too
10	many people in the restaurant, so that's what
11	basically he told me.
12	I have the floor plan that was done
13	previously, and if you can see on the second
14	floor we have close to 1200 square foot with
15	76 seating capacity.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: So you use it as a
17	dance floor? You use the extra space as a
18	dance floor; right?
19	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No. I mean, we
20	don't use it as a dance floor, but it's the
21	space is there. We use most of the

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes, and people 2 dance there. 3 The space we use SOLMON CHOWDHURY: 4 mostly for dancing is downstairs. Upstairs 5 we use mostly for lounging and we have a DJ. 6 We don't have the music too loud upstairs. 7 But we do have a DJ. And I mean if they want 8 to get up and dance, they can. But it's most 9 of the dancing is done on the first floor. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 11 ROBERT HAAS: Do you remember, let's 12 go back. When we had this conversation about 13 having a dual design; right? 14 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Ri aht. 15 ROBERT HAAS: And we really 16 struggled about it. It was an attractive 17 conversation, and you gave us assurances that 18 you could manage that configuration because 19 we had some doubts and skepticism that you 20 could maintain two separate operations. 21 you continued to reassure us that you could

1 do that. Do you remember that? 2 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Yes, I do. 3 ROBERT HAAS: All right. 4 So I'm just trying to figure out what 5 happened? Because it looks like --6 everything we were concerned about back then 7 when we gave you this license hasn't hold true; right? I mean, we've now had four 8 9 instances where there's been some variation 10 of violations with respect to the capacity, 11 with respect to who's coming and going out of 12 the restaurant with respect to the volatility 13 of the crowd that's coming and going from 14 your restaurant. So I'm just trying to 15 figure why all of a sudden did everything 16 fall apart? Is it because you're going to 17 venture in Central Square, you're not paying 18 attention to this one or what's the story? 19 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I don't think --20 as you remember this was approved by about 21 four years ago.

1 ROBERT HAAS: Yes, I was here. 2 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Exactly. 3 haven't had any incident -- we haven't had 4 any of this situation --5 ROBERT HAAS: Do you remember we had 6 a lot of concerns about it, though; right? 7 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Exactly. And it 8 hasn't happened. It just all of a sudden it 9 happened like in the last two, three weeks. 10 Four years ago, MI CHAEL GARDNER: 11 four years ago is 2008. And we know you had 12 an incident in 2008. You may or may not have 13 had a different incident in 2009. And now 14 you have this sort of horrific public safety 15 nightmare sort of over and over again. 16 no? 17 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Most of this 18 incident happened within month and a half 19 period, but since our capacity was increased, 20 we had to alternate floor plan, we haven't 21 had any other issue until now.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ROBERT HAAS: Can you describe what it looked like up on the second floor when you got there? When you talk about people pressed up against the window, can you just describe what they were doing and what it looked like and what they were doing when you got up there?

DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: There was a large group, probably 50 or 60 people in front of the DJ dancing, and then there was another group completely surrounding them, There was, as you approached the watchi ng. DJ, there was probably 20 or 30 people dancing in that group before you got to the main dance area. It was, it was noticeably overcrowded and dangerous up there from our inspection the night before. So it took quite a bit for us to -- we kind of split the room in half. It took a little bit of effort for me to get through that room to midway point just to count farther in. Just, it was

1 an overwhelming crowd up there. 2 ROBERT HAAS: So in your estimation 3 it was very clear to somebody that the 4 situation there was really to a point of 5 being overcrowded? 6 DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: Wel I 7 having been there less than 24 hours before 8 when they were almost at capacity on the 9 second floor, and there were two people below 10 capacity, I think the night before on the 11 second floor. And then having gone up there 12 then and seeing the difference, it was 13 overwhelming the amount of people there. 14 GERALD REARDON: So you think if the 15 licensee was able to get another 100 liquor 16 seats he could put them on the second floor? 17 DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: 18 Absolutely not. 19 MICHAEL GARDNER: So I'm interested 20 in, and I think it was Ms. Boyer's comment, 21 that when they checked the kitchen receipts

1	the last ticket was at 9:20 p.m. I don't
2	know, can you tell us when you typically
3	close the kitchen and why you would be almost
4	more than four and a half hours from closing
5	without selling any food?
6	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We keep our full
7	menu available until eleven o'clock. Then we
8	have a lounge menu that's available until
9	twel ve.
10	ROBERT HAAS: And nobody's taking
11	advantage of it?
12	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Exactly.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: So tell us about
14	your business flow. I mean is this a place
15	to drink and dance after nine o'clock? No
16	matter what you say your kitchen's open to.
17	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We haven't had a
18	lot of diners at late night.
19	MI CHAEL GARDNER: What do you define
20	as late night?
21	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Between 12 to 2.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, that's when the lounge menu's open. So you're, you're running -- generally you're running quite a bit of restaurant business up until midnight?

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Our business is extremely slow. Restaurant, our restaurant business is extremely slow right now.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay. So I asked you a question and it sounds to me like you told me something that at least might lead me to believe completely opposite of what you just said. When I pressed you, you said well, the business is slow, but that was not at all the impression I got from your first answer. And, you know, we can read the transcript back to see what was it was, but I'm concerned that I'm getting a full and accurate accounting.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We used to do about \$4 million in sales. Right now we're doing one and a half million in sales. We do

1	have our price
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Total sales?
3	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Total sales.
4	Less than one and a half million in sales.
5	So we do have the menu available. Full
6	dinner menu until eleven o'clock. Then we
7	have a lounge menu which consists of a lot of
8	appetizer and stuff until midnight that
9	people can order until midnight and they can
10	have it until 12:30, 1:00.
11	ROBERT HAAS: So would you describe
12	your business model as late in the evening,
13	is it still a restaurant or has it now become
14	something different?
15	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: It is a
16	restaurant.
17	ROBERT HAAS: But you're not serving
18	any food.
19	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, we are
20	servi ng food.
21	ROBERT HAAS: You're making food

1	avai I abl e.
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
3	ROBERT HAAS: Are you selling food
4	late in the evening? You just got finished
5	saying no, not typically.
6	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We're selling a
7	lot more food until 10:30, but we haven't
8	been selling a lot of food late night.
9	ROBERT HAAS: So would you describe
10	your late night business as more of a
11	ni ghtcl ub than a restaurant?
12	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: On the first
13	floor we have more of a lot more of a
14	nightclub scene, bar scene, but on the second
15	floor it's more of a loungey that people can
16	sit at the table
17	ROBERT HAAS: I don't know how
18	people are eating if you've got a hundred
19	some odd people on the second floor.
20	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: We do have 1200
21	square foot on the second floor.

2

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ROBERT HAAS: I don't think anybody was eating that night, were they, based on what you saw?

DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: Not that I saw, no.

GERALD REARDON: It would seem as though your food business is down and that your dance atmosphere is up. And that sometimes, one would logically conclude that the reason that some of these patrons are becoming intoxicated is because they're drinking and not eating anything, which is a completely different dynamic than people who are eating food and drinking. Not that that let's them overdrink at that point. But if they're not eating food and just drinking and dancing and stuff, that lends it to that type of crowd. And depending on what your venue is, it probably has enhanced the type of crowd and atmosphere you have. So I mean whether you blame Edgar or not, it seems some

of these are self-inflicted wounds here to keep the place populated with the restaurant business being down.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Our food sale kind of went down also recently. So it's when we have our patio -- last -- since actually about a month, whenever we have our patio open, there's a lot more people sitting outside and having food. Upstairs, also, people sitting and having food. Since the weather kind of cooled down, there's not a lot of people in Harvard Square also later on to have food, but we do have the food available if anybody orders it.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: I wonder if

Ms. Boyer or Officer Donofrio have anything
else they want to add, any other information
they think we should know?

ANDREA BOYER: Not in these particular incidents, no. I wonder if Edgar is no longer there, are they just going to

get another promoter in would be a concern of mine. That, you know, that same thing could happen depending on who is the new hire. So I would be....

MICHAEL GARDNER: Just I was going to offer if there are any members of the public here who either have any specific information about this incident or have comments, please come forward.

DENISE JILLSON: Thank you. Denise

Jillson with the Harvard Square Business

Association. So, boy, where do I start?

This is so distressing because this has not been for six weeks. The issues have been going on for a couple of years. And we actually worked very closely last year with Commissioner Haas, with Solmon, with other people on Winthrop Street because the concern that the neighborhood has around what -- just the activity, the general activity on

Winthrop Street. So, you know, over a year

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ago we met and met with all of the owners from Upstairs on the Square, Grendel's Den, Tommy Doyle's, and Charlie's Kitchen as well the Red Kitchen which is Paul Overgaag. And the Commissioner was very concerned that the number of people on Winthrop Street when all of the businesses were letting out was, you know, rising, and now we have another restaurant coming very soon Oh, Sushi, and just, you know, again increasing the For a little while the Bombay Club capaci ty. was closed. Maharaja is up there now. know, the intensity and the vibrancy is just it's increasing all of the time.

So last year we had an agreement with the owners on Winthrop Street that they would contribute to a fund and hire a detail police officer. And I think Officer Brooks spoke to that a little bit earlier this evening.

Because we were getting many complaints from, you know, people that live on Winthrop

1 There's actually a condominium Street. 2 across the street from OM and people are 3 living there. And they have to put up with 4 this every single night with the noise and, 5 you know, and people vomiting and just 6 misbehaving in general. So we put this 7 together and it worked pretty effectively 8 last summer. When we approached the owners 9 on Winthrop Street, the property, the 10 restauranteurs on Winthrop Street earlier 11 this year and said we would like to do it 12 again, that it was highly recommended and 13 that it was something that the Police 14 Commissioner thought was pretty effective. 15 It was found that, you know, OM and Tommy 16 Doyle's in particular that, you know, that we 17 had trouble getting funds from them to 18 conti nue. Since that time we've had numerous 19 complaints not only from the residents but 20 from other businesses with the noise level 21 coming from OM in particular. The noi se

1011

8

9

13

14

12

15

1617

18

19

20

21

bleeding out from the restaurant to the other So what in turn the other patios pati os. have done is, you know, they've -- in particular the Red House, they had music so their music went louder. You know, it's like the whole volume has just increased. business, this business over the passed six weeks is beyond, beyond just understanding. It's simply outrageous. When you think that we have 90 eateries in Harvard Square, the number of people that come to dine there, and you know, when you have one incident, it puts a black mark. When you have two, it's like, you know, people just -- the whole buzz is like what the hell is going on over there? It's out of control and it needs to be, it needs to be stopped because we cannot tolerate it. Everybody is working so hard to keep the square safe, to have public safety as the primary concern. You know, we spend our days, every single, you know, restaurant

and every retailer and service organization we spend, you know, every part of their day making sure that the standard is high. We have a tremendous infrastructure to support, and people will just stay away. So, I, you know, we're intolerant of this. We won't accept it, and we're glad that we're here this evening talking about it because it's been a concern and we want it addressed.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.

DENI SE JI LLSON: Thank you.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Are there any other members of the public who would like to be heard? I would ask you to again, state and your spell your name for the record.

ALEX PEREZ: Sure. My name's Alex Perez, A-I-e-x P-e-r-e-z. I'm a good friend of Solmon. I also help out with his new establishment. What I can say is something that he didn't mention was that Edgar was on his way out regardless of this incident. He

was just investigating a little further, had to find the right thing to kind of let him go. I've noticed on multiple occasions where he had side deals with promoters that were promoting the events. I'd go in and just see things that didn't make sense, report back to Solmon. And Solmon said okay, we'll let's keep investigating, and because, you know, I need a reason to let him go. And that's the path that he was on. And along with this just made it even more of a reason to let him go. But that's something that he didn't mention that I wanted to.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Did any of these issues that you were investigating involve illegal drug sales?

ALEX PEREZ: I didn't notice any illegal drug sales personally. What I noticed is him taking a cut from the promoters, their agreement with collecting funds at the door. So I, you know, in my

opinion that was double dipping because he's getting paid from him and then he's also getting paid a side, you know, a side commission from the promoters. And I didn't think that was something -- I didn't think that he would have his, the business's best interest if he's doing things like that. So other things outside of that, it could be or it could not be, but that's something that I'm not privy to.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Although

Mr. Chowdhury represented he let Edgar go

because of dissatisfaction, revolving out of
these incidents, in fact, there were other
things going on.

ALEX PEREZ: It was something that was going to happen regardless of this situation, so it wasn't like Solmon noticed -- didn't notice that his business is taking a decline.

GERALD REARDON: So if I could ask

1 you, it would be in Edgar's best interest to 2 put more people in that place because he was 3 getting a take? ALEX PEREZ: Yes, with the promoters 4 5 that he was bringing in, yes. Absolutely. 6 He didn't have OM's best interest. He had 7 his own. 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Are there any 9 other members of the public who would like to 10 be heard on this matter? 11 (No Response.) 12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Is there anything 13 else you'd like to say, Mr. Chowdhury? 14 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I run a really 15 great business in Harvard Square for a long 16 It's, it's a lot of things happened in time. 17 that location. I have a very aggressive 18 landlord that I haven't been able to work 19 with him. I spend \$400,000 in Legal fees in 20 like last five years trying to protect my 21 I'm doing my best to make sure if I l ease.

1 He won't even let me get out the can stay. 2 Lease but then, you know, he wants to take 3 over the license basically because end of the 4 day that's all he wants is control of liquor 5 One of the main reason why I have 6 to spend \$400,000 in legal fees, my liquor 7 license is tied with my lease and which I have a note on the liquor license. It's just 8 9 a big mess and it's taking a lot of my energy 10 and effort just trying to fight a legal 11 battle with him. But in eight years, I 12 believe I run a great restaurant over there. 13 If you recall OM was named top 25 restaurant 14 in the world. And so far nobody got that. 15 There's not another restaurant in 16 Massachusetts that ever got that type of 17 claim. Nobody did. So we run a great 18 busi ness. We have a great model. And just 19 that a lot of things happen in like last 20 three, four years of purchasing more seats, 21 that cost me more money. Trying to defend my

lease, that cost -- you know, \$400,000 is in legal fees because my license is tied with my lease. I can't even take the license and put somewhere else. He's not letting me do that. Then, you know, I thought I did my part, you know, when I interviewed Edgar, but he didn't have the best interest of OM in his mind but, you know, I'm fixing all those issues.

ROBERT HAAS: It strikes me that given your relatively recent complications you've changed your business model to a point now where you are trying to survive. I get that. But at what cost? And it sounds to me that it's a very significant cost if you listen to these last couple of incidences we've had. And you're just lucky that it didn't get worse. I mean one of the things that Ms. Jillson points out is that if something happens at your establishment, it impacts every one of those businesses around there because people are going to be afraid

1 to come there. And we can't afford to have 2 that happen. So, and it sounds like you were 3 trying to get this guy out of there, but you 4 weren't there. And your partner wasn't 5 there. So I'm trying to figure out who's 6 watching him if you already had concerns 7 about him. SOLMON CHOWDHURY: I'm -- I am there 8 9 a lot actually, more than everybody thinks. 10 But just that --11 ROBERT HAAS: But every time you had 12 an incident you weren't there; right? 13 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, I think the 14 night previous to that when you went 15 upstairs, I was already upstairs. 16 following the night, you know, I wasn't 17 there, but I was just there, like, I could 18 have taken a coffee break. 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you were just 20 there on the 5th? If I'm following it, 21 you're talking about the next night would

1	have within the 5th? You were just there?
2	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: No, the night
3	they went there that we were at capacity I
4	was there.
5	ANDREA BOYER: You arrived.
6	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. And then
7	the following night, that was the night
8	somebody ran over the outside in the patio
9	and over the table.
10	ANDREA BOYER: The first night, yes.
11	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: You were there the
13	first night 5/6.
14	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm sorry, 4/5.
16	Not the evening of the 5th.
17	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right.
18	So two days in a row I was there.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, you weren't
20	there on the 5th.
21	ANDREA BOYER: He arrived at the

1	end.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: After.
3	ANDREA BOYER: Yeah.
4	GERALD REARDON: Someone called him.
5	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Right. So
6	basically I was on my way there, but I was
7	there.
8	ROBERT HAAS: But you had over 100
9	people up on the second floor when you got
10	there.
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Let the record
12	indicate that Mr. Chowdhury nodded his head
13	yes. Is that fair?
14	SOLMON CHOWDHURY: That was the
15	count on upstairs was a hundred people. The
16	security that was on the stairs that didn't
17	do his job that he was paid to do.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Let's see,
19	pleasure of the Commission? We can consider
20	this at our decision hearing which is
21	scheduled for when? 29th? Or no, it's a

1	December date, isn't it?
2	ELIZABETH LINT: I don't seem to
3	have it.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
5	ELIZABETH LINT: I think that it's
6	November 29th.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
8	ELIZABETH LINT: Do you have it?
9	GERALD REARDON: No.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Or we can deal
11	with it this evening.
12	ROBERT HAAS: I have it on the 29th.
13	ELI ZABETH LI NT: Okay.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: We'll say that my
15	inclination is to issue a suspension of some
16	amount larger than the three days in the
17	five, ten area, and to move the closing time
18	for all evenings back to one o'clock. I'm
19	not sure that I know if there's anything that
20	should be done with respect to the
21	configuration between the second floor and

the first floor because I have gotten conflicting information on impressions with respect to just how many people it is safe to have on the second floor. But for those of you who are much more familiar with that conversation than I am, since you were on the Commission when the decision to split the license to two levels was made, I would defer to your thinking of that.

ROBERT HAAS: I would defer to Ms. Boyer. She's been in there.

ANDREA BOYER: It's an alternate floor plan where before ten o'clock there's seating and then after ten o'clock they remove the seats to make it larger space. I would have to refer to certificate of occupancy from Inspectional Services to see what the safe level that's given to them.

ROBERT HAAS: I'm just wondering, and I appreciate the fact that you're not getting into food sales late in the evening

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and you need to go to this nightclub atmosphere. I'm just trying to figure out if we want to continue that kind of operation given the kind of activity we've been seeing as a result. That's my concern about that dual configuration. Because it really changes the configuration. What was a restaurant now becomes a lounge or a nightclub.

Well, that helps MI CHAEL GARDNER: I'll offer the motion because I think it me. is worth deciding rather than waiting and I'll see if I get a second or if I get any But I will make the motion for a support. ten day suspension, five of which will be held abeyance for two years. If there were no further problems within the two years, that additional five days would be determined to be served. If there were additional complaints that the License Commission felt were justified, the additional five days

1	would be implemented immediately. Plus any
2	other action that the License Commission at
3	that point deemed fit.
4	I would also make the motion that
5	closing time for all nights be one o'clock in
6	the morning. That the closing time from
7	Thursday through Saturday could be reviewed
8	in six months to see whether that closing
9	should continue or should be liberalized, and
10	I would make the recommendation that the
11	seating on the second floor not change. That
12	the pre-ten p.m. or whatever the change time
13	you said was, would continue through closing
14	and that we not open it up as a lounge
15	ni ghtcl ub.
16	ROBERT HAAS: So it would remain as
17	a restaurant?
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: Remain as a
19	ROBERT HAAS: A restaurant
20	arrangement?
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Right. Whatever

21

the closing is until one o'clock. That's my I don't think that there's been any motion. demonstration that the owner of the establishment has dealt with the continuing problems quickly or decisively. A statement that it's paraphrasing, really it's been Edgar's fault and I dealt with that problem, and we find out the security guard didn't know the rules. That might partly be Edgar's fault, but it's not at all entirely Edgar's fault, and it's the owner's fault. And then we find out that Edgar was let go for a more complicated set of reasons than the analysis of what was presented to us. This guy was bringing in the wrong crowds and I got rid of him. I'm very disappointed in the explanation and the performance of the license holder over the last -- during the time that these incidents, at least and also very concerned of the impressions of people who are truly our eyes and ears; police

1 officers and committed citizens like 2 Ms. Jillson advising us as to what's going 3 I think we've dealt with -- when we've 4 had problems with establishments in the past, 5 we have dealt with them by in part on 6 occasions changing the hours. I think that 7 it sounds like there are too many places closing at two o'clock on the weekends, for 8 9 common sense or public safety, and in terms 10 of who should be asked or told to roll back, 11 this is the establishment which has been 12 identified as a trouble spot both by 13 impressions of police officers and also by 14 the record that's before us this evening. 15 I broach my fellow Commissioners to support 16 this motion. 17 GERALD REARDON: Second. 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: The motion's been 19 made and seconded, and we'll now open it up 20 to discussion for Ms. Boyer. 21 Thank you very much. ANDREA BOYER:

I just wanted to point out that I just wanted to double check. I think when you say -- I just wanted to see what, the patrons on the second floor is actually 76 before ten p.m. and after ten p.m. So it won't change at all.

ROBERT HAAS: No, but I think what we're saying is we don't want the chairs to be moved off and then become a dance floor again. We're saying we want it to be a restaurant configuration during the entire time it's open.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Yes, that's the sense of my motion. That was my understanding of what the alternative floor plan idea meant.

ANDREA BOYER: Some alternative floor plans change the capacity. I just wanted to check to see what that one is. As in like the first floor is 99 before they take the tables and chairs out, then it's

1	118. The second floor stays at 76 whether
2	the chairs are there or not.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Oh. So there's an
4	alternative floor plan for the first floor as
5	well?
6	ELIZABETH LINT: Only the first
7	floor.
8	ANDREA BOYER: Well, it's just the
9	way it was written. That's the whole thing
10	that happened the few years ago, too.
11	GERALD REARDON: Is that the patio
12	or inside?
13	ANDREA BOYER: The patio is
14	irrelevant now because he bought more seats
15	so it gave him more seats.
16	ROBERT HAAS: So your question is?
17	ANDREA BOYER: I'm just making a
18	statement that the second floor remains with
19	seats, it stays the same in some ways. It's
20	76. I don't know if it would it may
21	change the dynamic that's going on.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: It keeps it a restaurant doesn't it or does it not? 2 3 ANDREA BOYER: If he serves food it 4 will, yes. Hopefully. I haven't really been 5 able to witness the seating part of OM 6 upstairs to make sure that it would make a 7 change. 8 ROBERT HAAS: It sounds to me you 9 wouldn't be able to get a hundred some odd 10 people upstairs if you had tables and chairs 11 there on the floor; right? 12 ANDREA BOYER: Exactly. 13 Motion having been MI CHAEL GARDNER: 14 made and seconded -- Mr. Chowdhury, I'll give 15 you an opportunity to react if you want. 16 We actually have SOLMON CHOWDHURY: 17 a lot of fixed banquettes and booths that we 18 can't actually move on the second floor. 19 Which we never had an alternate floor plan 20 for the second floor. Second floor always 21 stayed the same. It always just again,

because of the amount of seat that we purchased, it only allowed us to do alternative seating plan just on the first floor and the patio. And one of the main reason why we did that is Harvard Square, the rent is extremely high and we have to have different revenue stream to justify that rent.

And if you roll back my hours by one hour not only it hurts, it's going to hurt our business extremely. It's almost same as saying just taking my license away. Also not only -- it also decreases the value of my license which is, I'm into that license for \$450,000. And I had it on the market trying to sell it for even 300. Nobody would even make an offer.

ROBERT HAAS: I think the Chairman's motion, though, is offering you an opportunity to demonstrate to us that we -- after a period of time we would reconsider

that one o'clock rollback. But it's up to you whether to not you can get to a place where we're confident enough that it's worth rolling it back to two o'clock.

So, you know, I think there's been enough evidence to suggest that the late night crowd is the one that's problematic and I think you have an obligation to show to us that you can manage these things effectively which you hadn't been able to do with these last couple of instances.

SOLMON CHOWDHURY: If we can keep the two o'clock license and give me a six-month maybe trial to see how things goes and maybe can have another hearing in six month. But rolling back our license for -- by an hour, it affects -- it's almost just taking away my license. It's -- I won't have any business at all even later at night, but --

MICHAEL GARDNER: Even with one of

1 the 25 best restaurants in the world? 2 SOLMON CHOWDHURY: Well, we got that 3 in 2006/2007. And since then, you know, a lot of things happened. My landlord tried to 4 5 sell the restaurant to somebody using that 6 You know, he signed an agreement for name. 7 \$1.4 million to sell the restaurant. 8 you know, a lot of things happens and 9 happened, it's eight years later. I am 10 capable of -- I demonstrated that before, and I am capable of running a very high end 11 12 restaurant, but it's --13 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you. 14 The motion's having been made and 15 seconded, including rollback of the hours and 16 including what may perhaps may be puric 17 action with respect to the alternative floor 18 plan arrangement, nonetheless I would press 19 the motion for a vote. 20 Any further discussion? 21 ROBERT HAAS: No.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in favor
2	si gni fy by sayi ng "Aye."
3	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
4	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
6	Those opposed?
7	(No Response.)
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: None. So the
9	motion passes.
10	I don't think I said that, so I'll make
11	a subsequent motion that the period of the
12	suspension be worked out with the staff, but
13	that the change in hours take effect on
14	November the 29th.
15	ELIZABETH LINT: Mr. Chair, actually
16	I was just thinking about that. He has
17	appeal rights to Superior Court within 60
18	days.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay. I'm sure he
20	can appeal. I would say my motion is that we
21	make the change in hours in three weeks,

1	Thursday, November the 29th. That's my
2	moti on.
3	Is there a second.
4	ROBERT HAAS: Second.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: Motion having been
6	made and seconded, all those in favor signify
7	by saying "Aye."
8	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
9	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
11	None opposed.
12	We'll put this in after the
13	Thanksgi vi ng weekend and gi ve you three weeks
14	to decide what actions you want to take and
15	make your arrangements. Sorry that this
16	happened.
17	I will only say one other concern I
18	have is when you came for the Central Square
19	restaurant, Commissioner Haas asked you very
20	specifically if you intended to keep OM open
21	and you gave us every assurance that you did.

Very shortly thereafter we learned that you were trying to sell the license. And, you know, I understand things can change, but the time interval was so short, I really didn't think we got an honest answer.

chance to clarify the purchase sale of the license? That was my -- I been trying to do that because my license is tied with the lease. So my goal was to sell the license, and I actually came by and had a couple of conversation with Ms. Lint about how to make that happen. One of the reason was I was going to try to sell that license and purchase another license and come in there so I'm not controlled by the landlord.

ROBERT HAAS: Why didn't you say that I asked the question? When I asked you the question whether or not you intend to sell the OM Restaurant, and you said no, I'm going to keep it. Why didn't you just tell

1 us that I'm having these financial 2 difficulties, it's my intent to sell the 3 restaurant? 4 ELI ZABETH LI NT: No, the intent was 5 to just try to sell the license to get out of 6 the problems with the landlord and then 7 obtain another license to continue to operate 8 The intent was always to the restaurant. 9 continue -- we've had numerous discussions 10 about it. There was no intent to try to get 11 out of the restaurant. 12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Well, I'm sorry to 13 have raised it, but it had no impact on my 14 thinking this evening and I would stand by 15 the decision. 16 Thank you very much. 17 ELIZABETH LINT: And I do have an 18 unpaid personal property tax statement from 19 the Assessor, so you might want to take this 20 and go see them tomorrow. 21 Okay. I'll take SOLMON CHOWDHURY:

1	care of it right away.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very
3	much. Officer.
4	DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: Thank
5	you.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: Ms. Boyer, all of
7	you who stayed and participated in this, we
8	appreciate your time. We don't have anything
9	else with Officer Donofrio, do we?
10	ANDREA BOYER: No, we don't.
11	DETECTIVE KEVIN DONOFRIO: Thank
12	you.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Good.
14	ELIZABETH LINT: Informational,
15	National Tire and Battery, 2501 Mass. Avenue,
16	due to report from Chief Licensing
17	Investigator, Andrea Boyer, alleging that NTB
18	is in violation with the City of Cambridge
19	Noi se Ordi nance while performing work with
20	the garage bay doors open.
21	MICHAEL GARDNER: Again, my

1 apologies for the late hour. We may set a 2 record this evening. And I would just ask 3 each of you to, for the record, to state your 4 names and if you could spell yours for the 5 stenographer, sir. 6 RI CHARD PLANTE: Sure. My name is 7 Richard Plante, R-i-c-h-a-r-d P-l-a-n-t-e. 8 I'm the area director for National Tire and 9 Battery for New England. 10 MICHAEL GARDNER: And Ms. Boyer's 11 here. 12 ANDREA BOYER: Yes, Boyer, 13 B-o-y-e-r. So this is an informational 14 hearing to discuss National Tire and Battery 15 hours of operations and the noise problems 16 they' ve been having. 17 In a letter sent to the License 18 Commission office from a resident in 19 Cambridge regarding the issues they've been 20 having with NTB. That letter was sent to you 21 along with the packet that was sent in

1 October 17th. The resident's main concern 2 are the hours of operation and the days that 3 the business is open. Allegedly the previous 4 business, Midas Corporation was not open 5 passed seven p.m. and was not open on 6 Sundays. Based on said information, I 7 started an investigation on the Midas Corp. 8 license transfer to see if the business hours 9 were discussed. We actually -- the transfer 10 of the license, I apologize, was not 11 something that was discussed at the License 12 Commission hearing. We also tried to find 13 any of the information that was on the tape 14 that would have been from the transcript, but 15 we didn't have the transcript tape for that 16 particular hearing. I attempted to reach the 17 Midas Corp. by using contact information that 18 was in the dead file. I left a message for 19 the corporate office but did not receive a 20 call back. I checked the Inspectional 21 Services file to see if there was any

1 information that would assist me. In the 2 interim I contacted NTB and spoke with 3 Mr. Ken Korizinski (phonetic). Do you know 4 how he pronounces his name? 5 RICHARD PLANTE: I think that's Ken 6 Kori zi nski . 7 ANDREA BOYER: Ken Kori zi nski? 8 RI CHARD PLANTE: Yeah. 9 ANDREA BOYER: I've explained to him 10 my role at the License Commission that I had 11 been receiving complaints regarding the noise 12 due to the bay doors being open at the NTB 13 store located at 2501 Mass. Ave... 14 Mr. Korizinski stated that he would call me 15 with representative contact info to discuss 16 the issue further. I continued to try to and 17 find information regarding the hours of the 18 Mi das Corp. I located a number and left a 19 message specifically asking for someone if 20 they had information regarding the Midas at 21 the address and the hours of operation.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

Mr. Korizinski called me back and said they owned Midas and the message got routed back to him and that he would be the NTB contact.

On June 6, 2012, I e-mailed Mr. Korizinski information regarding the complaints I received, and he stated he would forward the e-mail to the proper person. That was also an e-mail that I sent to you.

Mr. Korizinski forwarded the information to the senior director of facilities operation, Mr. Ted Barker. Mr. Barker stated that he would keep the service doors down whenever possible to mitigate the noise level. The only times the doors would be open is for the transfer of the vehicles. I informed the complainant of the agreement.

On June 27, 2012, I received a call from the complainant stating the doors were being left open continuously while work is being performed. I went to the location on

June 28, 2012. That e-mail is also attached. And noted that the bay doors were being left work while no work was being performed and the compressor drill was audible across Mass. Avenue where the complainant is located.

I informed Mr. Korizinski that a hearing would be held to discuss the problems if the doors did not remain closed.

On October 3, 2012, I received a call from the complainant stating that there were some incidents regarding NTB. He stated for a few nights that the bay doors had been open until eleven p.m. and work on motorcycles were being done. The complainant went to the store and explained the business should not be open this late, and even when open, the bay doors were to be closed when working. The employee on premise replied to the complainant that it was not business but personal motorcycles being worked on.

I informed Mr. Korizinski that based on

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

. •

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the complaints, concerns, and based on the history of the case, a hearing would be held to discuss to schedule and discuss the problems.

MICHAEL GARDNER: So I'm not sure that I understand what the staff means by an informational hearing.

ANDREA BOYER: We have so many -it's not disciplinary per se. So we either have disciplinary or informational hearings to collect the information to discuss in front of the Board to try to find solutions sometimes when establishments, because we don't have the hours of operation that were ever solidified on the license, it was transferred from the Midas to NTB. Seemi ngl y NTB has nationwide stores that are open on Sundays, but the previous license was not open on Midas. So I'm not sure if the residents had a chance to actually come forward and discuss any future problems that

1	NTB may have. So these are the problems that
2	we're having based on NTB being there.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you. That's
4	helpful to me.
5	ANDREA BOYER: Okay.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you take any
7	sound level readings?
8	ANDREA BOYER: It's not based on
9	that. It's 50-foot rule. So as long as it
10	plainly audible from a distance of 50 feet,
11	it's a violation of the Cambridge Noise
12	Ordi nance which it was that day.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: So the sound of
14	the compressor was with the doors open, was
15	in violation?
16	ANDREA BOYER: Yes. Plainly
17	audi bl e.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: And I thought I
19	understood you to say there was no work being
20	done but the compressor was on.
21	ANDREA BOYER: No. There was

there was not all --

in the bays.

2

3

MI CHAEL GARDNER: This is when you were there?

4

5

6

7

8

ANDREA BOYER: Yes, three of the doors or whatever I have in my report. Three doors that were open didn't have cars in those bays. So there were two other cars that were being worked on. So it showed to me that bay doors are being left opened even when there were no cars were in the stalls or

9 10

11

12

MI CHAEL GARDNER: I wonder, sir, is this an air-conditioned facility?

14

15

16

13

RI CHARD PLANTE: No. Since the initial contact back in June we've actually put \$50,000 worth of cool mist sprayers in the facility because it's shaped like an L,

17 18

so it's quite large. So we got them in each

19

corner. I just inherited the group I want to

20

say in August, and I would like to say since

21

then the complaints have dropped. I did have

4

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

an issue at the motorcycles at night. investigate it, and there was obviously a disciplinary actions that took place on my end just because I wasn't even notified that the store was even open that late. I guess what happened is they had a big spill on the ground, they stayed late and cleaned it up. One of the gentlemen had his motorcycle parked in front of the park. There's a little park right next-door with the condo association or whatever that is there. he went to go leave at the end of the night, there was a bunch of bird droppings all over He supposedly walked it over to the it. facility because he didn't want to sit on it, and it will obviously take the paint off. was a nice bike. And he dumped it going up the incline. The store manager supposedly went over and helped him get it up. brought it into the shop. They did clean it up because they already had the hose and

20

21

cleaning stuff already out. The work that was getting done, he was trying to put the side-view mirror back on and the plastics back, and also had to bend back the shifter because it bent when it dropped. Since then I haven't had any problem. I've been calling the Guardian, Guardian Protection. I get alarm reports. I make sure it's been closed. The latest it gets closed around ten because obviously we close at nine. And let's be real, if one of us had our car there, we'd want to make sure we got our car by the end of the night. You know, sometimes the work does go a little longer. But I am making sure those doors are being closed. recently we actually had a door fall off the hinge and so I've been there a lot more because of that, and we're getting some maintenance done going on the facility. We are keeping doors closed. We did have a problem during the summer, it was hot. We

21

didn't have any AC in there at all. And I've been in that store. It's like a sauna. you know, I feel bad for those guys and I feel bad for the town because I understand the noises. I've been in shops for 18 years. It's all I've ever done. It is noisy and loud in there. I do have new management in there and they're trying to take, you know, better precautions on making sure that they're a good neighbor in the, you know, in the town. I'm sorry that, you know, I even have to be here for something like this and that this is happening. But rest assured we are taking the right action to make sure it doesn't happen.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Has there been any outreach to the particular complainant in this case that Ms. Boyer's been dealing with?

RICHARD PLANTE: I don't know. It's not been subjected to me so I couldn't do anything about that. I just know about the

1 claim. 2 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 3 ANDREA BOYER: It was not that you 4 could have. It was part of the packet that 5 was sent to NTB representatives, they have 6 that. 7 And so could you MI CHAEL GARDNER: 8 review for us what your understanding of the 9 hours of operation and the days of week are? 10 RI CHARD PLANET: Sure. All my 11 stores in New England open from seven in the 12 morning, a.m., Monday through Friday to nine 13 p.m. and night. Saturday and Sunday we're 14 open from seven to seven. And Sundays nine 15 to six. And we're located all through 16 Massachusetts. All through the state. 17 MICHAEL GARDNER: And what's the 18 work that typically is done there? 19 RI CHARD PLANTE: Automotive repair, 20 tires, mounting, balancing, you know, brakes, 21 struts. You name it. We're a one stop shop.

1 We bought Midas out so we're the largest 2 independent tire retailer in the world right 3 now. 4 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you do tires, 5 you do mufflers, you do other kinds of 6 general repairs to vehicles? 7 RICHARD PLANET: We're a one stop 8 Whatever you need we're here for you. shop. 9 Do you understand MI CHAEL GARDNER: 10 what Ms. Boyer said that a compressor running 11 with a couple of bays open and some other 12 bays closed still is a violation of our Noise 13 Ordinance in terms of the Loudness being 14 heard from 50 --15 ANDREA BOYER: 50. At least 50 feet 16 away, correct. 17 MI CHAEL GARDNER: 50. Which means 18 you sort of have a structural problem doing 19 business in that location in the city 20 whatever the internal acoustics are, and the 21 lack of baffles or whatever there might be on

1 the equipment you're using at least if the 2 doors are opened. 3 Do you have an impression, Ms. Boyer, 4 if the doors are closed, do they have a 5 problem? 6 ANDREA BOYER: If the doors are 7 closed, there are no problems. 8 MICHAEL GARDNER: So the solution so 9 far is the cool misting? 10 RI CHARD PLANTE: Correct. Which we 11 invested the money in that and they're there. 12 And they do use them obviously. I don't even 13 know this they could stand even being in 14 there if they didn't use them. 15 I guess my question was these 16 compressors are the same compressors Midas 17 had when we bought them. We haven't done 18 anything with the building but change the 19 sign. So, was it an issue then or is it just 20 an issue now because maybe the prime 21 management had a few altercations with some

1	people in the neighborhood and we have some
2	bad bl ood?
3	ANDREA BOYER: I can't answer that
4	obviously to its full extent. I'm not sure
5	if the residents are here. They said that
6	they wanted to come to the hearing. Maybe
7	they can explain that better.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right.
9	ANDREA BOYER: If not, I do have a
10	sense of what they had said to me previously
11	about Midas comparative to NTB.
12	GERALD REARDON: Is this actually
13	the compressor or the air hammers or the air
14	wrenches.
15	ANDREA BOYER: Yes, I mean it's the
16	(audi bl e gesture). You know.
17	Did you get that?
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: These are
19	compressors turning tools that like
20	ROBERT HAAS: Hydraulics.
21	MICHAEL GARDNER: Open lug nuts.

1 RI CHARD PLANTE: Yeah, to take off 2 the lug nuts make sure we're safe, exactly. 3 MICHAEL GARDNER: Are there members of the public who would like to be heard on 4 5 this matter? 6 (No Response.) 7 MI CHAEL GARDNER: At 10:38 I guess 8 not. 9 ANDREA BOYER: I would like to 10 address what they had said to me, why they 11 brought this to my attention. It's different 12 hours. I it think with Midas they were kind 13 of -- they worked during most of that time 14 It was seven to seven. You know, so frame. 15 that's what they explained, because I did ask 16 that obviously. It was there, you know, and 17 what was the prior thing. And then so one of 18 the issues, and I know you said nine. 19 think they're under the impression that you 20 may be there until 9:30. So there's a 21 difference in hours. So when they get home,

1 they're still there and they're still hearing 2 the work, and so I think that bothersome. 3 And also Sundays from nine a.m. to six p.m. 4 and Mi das was not open on Sundays. 5 think what has -- it's just become a little 6 bit more in their house when it wasn't there 7 previously. They said that they kind of knew 8 that was happening, that's what was 9 allowable, but the extra hours on the Sundays 10 is what's most bothersome. 11 Thank you for the MI CHAEL GARDNER: 12 expl anati on. 13 RICHARD PLANTE: And if I may, the 14 extra hours is what makes us who we are. 15 That's why we are the best and the biggest 16 because we're there when somebody needs us 17 When somebody breaks down, that mother most. 18 and daughter stuck on the side of the road at 19 8:30, 9:00 at night we're there to get it 20 fi xed. 21 If that's your MI CHAEL GARDNER:

1	business model, then you need to make doubly
2	sure that you're not making noise beyond the
3	limitations of our Ordinance because
4	RI CHARD PLANTE: You' re absolutely
5	ri ght.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: to be a good
7	nei ghbor.
8	RICHARD PLANTE: Correct. And we're
9	doing our best at it. I don't have an issue
10	anywhere in New England with that. Just this
11	is the only the only town that I have an
12	issue with. And because I just inherited
13	this store. I didn't have this part of the
14	city, I'm on it.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you have other
16	stores in Cambridge?
17	RI CHARD PLANTE: We have one.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Just one.
19	RICHARD PLANTE: The next one would
20	be Allston. Allston/Brighton and they just
21	go across the city all the way down.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay, thank you.
2	Any questions?
3	ROBERT HAAS: No questions.
4	GERALD REARDON: No questions.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: PI easure of the
6	Commi ssi on?
7	ROBERT HAAS: So I do have one
8	question. So you initially said you
9	qualified your answer by the complaints have
10	going down. Have they been zero or are you
11	just getting fewer complaints?
12	RICHARD PLANTE: I haven't had any
13	low complaints at all with the location
14	ROBERT HAAS: Since you've taken
15	over?
16	RI CHARD PLANTE: Correct. Because,
17	you know, people have this 1-800 number for
18	them to call in and complain if there's an
19	issue. We have our NTB hotline that you can
20	also call, instead of the corporate line. We
21	also have the NTB website you can put in a

2

3

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

complaint. There's TBC cares survey that you can go on. There are many ways for a customer -- you know, you can go on the NTB website, you know, and you can make a complaint about anybody even if you weren't there. You can go on Yelp. You can go on Google and all that stuff.

MICHAEL GARDNER: So, it seems to be my habit tonight, I'll make a motion, see if I get a second, which is that the matter be placed on file. But that Ms. Boyer be directed to periodically do noise assessments. And that those assessments continue both in the upcoming season, but also in warmer weather. And that November, so in six months Ms. Boyer could submit a report to the Commission on the status of things. Obviously if there are violations, we would expect to hear about those in any event. But in six months we'll get some assessment from Ms. Boyer and then we'll make

1	a decision as to whether or not we'd like to
2	ask you to come back in.
3	RI CHARD PLANTE: Sure.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right? So
5	that's not exactly framed in my usual crisp
6	motion style but that's the motion.
7	GERALD REARDON: Second.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Di scussi on?
9	(No Response.)
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Hearing none, all
11	those in favor signify by saying "Aye."
12	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
13	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: So the motion
15	carri es three to nothi ng. And thank you for
16	coming in. We're very encouraged by the
17	misting and I guess that's the thing to do.
18	I don't know. Whether that's better than AC
19	or not, I don't know.
20	RICHARD PLANTE: It's like going to
21	Disney World and the mist, it's like one of

1	those
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: But, okay, just
3	for us to reemphasize that it's very
4	important whatever your command and control
5	system is, you make sure those doors stay
6	cl osed.
7	RICHARD PLANTE: Correct. And I
8	think Ms. Boyer probably knows most of the
9	problems were in the summer when it was the
10	most hot.
11	ANDREA BOYER: Winter is going to be
12	fi ne.
13	RI CHARD PLANTE: Those doors aren't
14	opening. We'll make sure we're doing
15	everything in our power to make sure we're
16	never in this situation again.
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Use your
18	discretion as to when to go.
19	ANDREA BOYER: I am. Thank you.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very
21	much.

1	ELIZABETH LINT: Application,
2	A. A. M. , Incorporated doing business as
3	Beauty's Pizza, Nader Michael, Manager, hold
4	of a common victualer license at 228A
5	should be Cambridge Street? Broadway. Has
6	applied for a wine and malt beverages as a
7	restaurant license at said address. Hours
8	and capacity are staying the same.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Good evening.
10	State and spell your names for the record.
11	NECHETT MI CHAEL: Nechett Mi chael,
12	N-e-c-h-e-t-t.
13	NADER MICHAEL: Nader Michael,
14	N-a-d-e-r.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, again, our
16	apologies for the late hour. If you could
17	describe what the business has been so far.
18	How long you've been in it, and what leads
19	you to make this request for a change.
20	NADER MICHAEL: Okay. We came to
21	Cambridge in February 2008. We took over

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

Beauty's Pizza. We change a lot. We had -it was only mainly delivery and takeout. We now have nice dining room. We have nice customer, nice crowd in there. We don't have any complaint. We came in front of you to be here, and we had seating capacity, and we've been running very nice and smooth business. We won best of WBZ in the first year we took over, and we been adding a few spaces, a few items for the people work and the company that owned us; MIT, the residents, and we've been having good time with the customer in the area, and the neighbor in Cambridge and we very happy we did this decision coming to Cambridge. And lately we've been having a lot of requests for beer and wine from the We wish to have it so we can sit customer. down like lunch and have a couple of beer after we work and have a good beer and we have all of the customer.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you have a

1	current menu that you could show us?
2	NADER MI CHAEL: Yes.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And what are your
4	current hours of operation?
5	NADER MI CHAEL: We are there at 9:30
6	in the morning until 10:00 everyday, Monday
7	through Saturday. Sunday we open five to
8	ten.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: Five p.m. to ten
10	p. m.
11	ROBERT HAAS: So you said ten
12	o'clock to
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: 9: 30.
14	ROBERT HAAS: Oh, 9:30? Okay.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: When did you want
16	to start selling beer and wine?
17	NADER MI CHAEL: Whatever according
18	to your regulation. We don't want to change
19	anything. According to your regulation like
20	lunchtime, eleven o'clock would be fine when
21	we have the lunch and for during the week.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Have you had any
2	experience in operating a business that sells
3	al cohol ?
4	NADER MI CHAEL: Yes. I used to
5	manage, that was long time ago, Pizzeria
6	Regina in Harvard Square.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Regi na Pi zza?
8	NADER MI CHAEL: Yeah. I used to
9	manage that store for about a year. And I
10	left the company. I worked for Pizza Hut but
11	we didn't beer and wine.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did Regina's have
13	beer and wine?
14	NADER MI CHAEL: Yeah. We had beer
15	and wine. We had a bar upstairs, and the
16	kitchen and the dining room was downstairs.
17	When you come into the store, it was a bar,
18	and the kitchen behind the bar, and you go
19	downstairs and we had the dining room.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: Was it just beer
21	and wine?

1	NADER MI CHAEL: Just beer and wine.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: Not all alcohol?
3	NADER MI CHAEL: No, no. Beer and
4	wine. We looking for beer and wine. Plus l
5	have a degree in hotel management. And of
6	course we can be taking courses necessary and
7	to comply with the regulation for the
8	license.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you said that
10	you you're open, I thought I said 9:30
11	a.m
12	NADER MI CHAEL: We are there.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You' re there doi ng
14	the work.
15	NADER MI CHAEL: Yeah.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You open for
17	customers
18	NADER MI CHAEL: Yeah, between
19	el even.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: at eleven. I
21	kind of thought that's what it was.

1	
1	NADER MICHAEL: Eleven o'clock we
2	are
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So your menu
4	correctly states your hours that you're open
5	to the public?
6	NADER MI CHAEL: Yes.
7	ROBERT HAAS: What's your seating
8	capaci ty?
9	NADER MICHAEL: We have about 45
10	seats.
11	ROBERT HAAS: Is it? So what is the
12	seating capacity? You should know.
13	NADER MI CHAEL: 45.
14	ROBERT HAAS: 45?
15	NADER MI CHAEL: Yeah.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: No patio?
17	NADER MICHAEL: No patios, no.
18	ROBERT HAAS: Do you plan on opening
19	a bar associated with this beer and wine
20	license or is it strictly going to be table
21	servi ce?

1	NADER MICHAEL: We looking to have a
2	bar, not to sit on, but we would have a bar
3	and we'd have like the display of wine,
4	whatever wine we have select.
5	ROBERT HAAS: So would you serve bar
6	at that
7	NADER MICHAEL: But I'm not going to
8	have people sitting at the bar, no.
9	ROBERT HAAS: It's table service?
10	NADER MI CHAEL: Tabl e servi ce, yes.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: So what's the
12	function of the bar to place orders?
13	NECHETT MI CHAEL: Di spl ay.
14	NADER MICHAEL: Display and to let
15	people know that we have it. And we're doing
16	the takeout and taking over the counter
17	itself. But we would have display. Just as
18	a di spl ay.
19	NECHETT MICHAEL: Lined the counter
20	and the cooler with cold beer and behind the
21	counter at the request of the customer.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So did L 2 understand you to say something about 3 specialty beers? Or perhaps I misunderstood. 4 NADER MI CHAEL: No. Just beer and 5 We talk with or customer and we said 6 if we get approved, we'll have a selection 7 for you to see what you guys like to be 8 served. And most of my customer are likely 9 repeated customer. I don't get like a lot of 10 That my everyday customer is new customer. 11 he comes everyday. So I said well, if we get 12 it, we use what you like and we have it for 13 you. 14 MI CHAEL GARDNER: This would be a no 15 value, non-transferable? 16 ELI ZABETH LI NT: Yes. 17 MICHAEL GARDNER: You understand if 18 we grant a license, it wouldn't have any 19 value, you couldn't sell it, you couldn't 20 sell it as part of the business. 21 couldn't pledge it or for a loan. It has no

1	value. You understand that?
2	NADER MI CHAEL: Yeah, okay.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Other questions?
4	NADER MICHAEL: One question. But
5	if I, if I move my store, like, from one
6	because there's a lot of building coming in
7	Cambridge, and if I like to move from like
8	one place to another, maybe a bigger
9	NECHETT MI CHAEL: Location.
10	NADER MICHAEL: Location. Can we
11	transfer to my new location? It could be
12	like attached to my company? If I move from
13	one location to another location?
14	ROBERT HAAS: So it would go with
15	the busi ness.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: Yes, if you move
17	the location and it's still the same
18	business, you would keep it. But you keep
19	the some number of seats unless you came to
20	us and asked for more.
21	NADER MICHAEL: Yes, that's fair.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: Did I say that
2	right, Ms. Lint?
3	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.
4	ROBERT HAAS: If you open a
5	subsequent business, you'd have to get
6	another license; right?
7	NADER MI CHAEL: Okay.
8	ROBERT HAAS: So if you open a
9	second site, let's say you keep this one and
10	you open another one, you have to still come
11	back and get another license. If you want to
12	serve beer and alcohol at that location.
13	NADER MICHAEL: We'll see how it's
14	working here.
15	ELIZABETH LINT: The Disabilities
16	Commission went down to view the location and
17	they came up with recommended readily
18	achi evabl e accommodati ons. The ramp was
19	longer than six feet so there needs to be
20	handrails on the ramp and there's no
21	accessi bl e there's no handi cap parki ng
	1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

spot. There needs to be a handi cap parking spot there.

There's spot in lot NADER MI CHAEL: we have, it's small, it's not small, but it is like that we share with the Dunkin' Donut, And we, we never have problem since and us. we went before the ZBA, they gave us like one year. And we came before the ZBA again and they extend it for another year. And last year we came before them for another hearing and they give it to us for permanently to -because we open for the dining room, and this parking lot, we had it like was like for shared between the other establishment with us and Dunkin' Donut and the restaurant.

NECHETT MICHAEL: And the handicap room. We all of us I mean we --

NADER MICHAEL: Us and we usually to help with customer -- usually we run either from us or we like we have like view of the whole parking lot from behind the counter so

19 20

21

1	usually to like is me or my brother or one of
2	the driver we learn, and the same thing we do
3	the Chinese Mulan Restaurant because they
4	coming for us so we try to do the whatever
5	necessity to accommodate the customer.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: Do you know if
7	Mulan has any sells any alcohol?
8	NADER MI CHAEL: Yes.
9	ELIZABETH LINT: I believe they do.
10	NECHETT MI CHAEL: Beer and wine.
11	ELIZABETH LINT: I would just they
12	recommend that perhaps they contact Mr. Muehe
13	and see if there's something reasonable that
14	can be done.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you have any
16	problems with putting in the rails for the
17	ramp? He's suggesting that for your handicap
18	ramp that you put in rails to assist a
19	mobility.
20	NADER MI CHAEL: Sure.
21	MICHAEL GARDNER: You don't have a

1	problem with that?
2	NADER MI CHAEL: No.
3	NECHETT MI CHAEL: No.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You think that you
5	just have some practical issues with being
6	able to designate the handicap spot?
7	NADER MI CHAEL: Yes, because the
8	landlord he already have his hand already to
9	be done even like for the handicap rail l
10	have to go back to him because he's the one.
11	And he wasn't happy to do it.
12	ELIZABETH LINT: And plus I have a
13	personal property tax bill so
14	NADER MI CHAEL: Yeah, thank you.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And any problems
16	or difficulties with Beauty's Pizza operating
17	so far?
18	ELIZABETH LINT: Not at all. In
19	fact, our office frequently orders lunch from
20	there.
21	NECHETT MICHAEL: It's a family

1	busi ness.
2	NADER MI CHAEL: And we're there
3	everyday. And even if one of us cannot be
4	there, he's the second one, he's there.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: Other questions?
6	GERALD REARDON: No slices.
7	NADER MICHAEL: If I know I'm going
8	to be that late.
9	GERALD REARDON: No, no questions.
10	NADER MI CHAEL: Thank you.
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Are there any
12	members of the public who would like to be
13	heard on this matter?
14	(No Response.)
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: If so, I'll never
16	get it right. Are you TIPS certified?
17	21-Proof. See, I won't ever get it right.
18	Are you 21-Proof certified yet? We have a
19	special system for
20	NADER MICHAEL: Not yet. Not yet.
21	I was waiting until I get

1 NECHETT MI CHAEL: I done it years 2 Like ten years ago when I used to work ago. 3 with the liquor store. 4 MI CHAEL GARDNER: You worked in a 5 liquor store? 6 NECHETT MI CHAEL: Beer and mine was 7 my cousin and big venue. So he teach me the 8 ID and everything. 9 NADER MI CHAEL: We were --10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So I'll make the 11 motion that we approve the wine and malt 12 beverages, no value license for Beauty's 13 Pizza with the same hours of operation. The 14 same capacity. Subject to the 21-Proof 15 training, the paying of any outstanding 16 obligations to the city, and an honest good 17 faith engagement with Mr. Muehe from the 18 Commission on persons with disabilities and 19 your landlord so see if you can achieve one 20 or both of the recommendations of Mr. Muehe. 21 NADER MI CHAEL: Thank you.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: That's my motion.
2	GERALD REARDON: Second.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: Motion having been
4	made and seconded, all those in favor signify
5	by saying "Aye."
6	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
7	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
9	None opposed.
10	Good Luck. Always glad to support
11	busi nesses which are contributing to the
12	community and we wish you well.
13	NADER MICHAEL: We appreciate it.
14	Thank you. We glad to be here.
15	NECHETT MI CHAEL: Good ni ght.
16	ELIZABETH LINT: Application,
17	Voltmeter, LLC, doing business as Voltage
18	Coffee and Art, Lucy Valena, Manager, holder
19	of a common victualer license at 295 Third
20	Street has applied for a new wine and malt
21	beverages as a restaurant at said address.

The proposed occupancy is 125 seats (75 seats inside, 30 standing, and 20 patio seats without alcohol service). The hours of operation would be seven a.m. to nine p.m. seven days per week with alcohol service starting at eight a.m. on Monday through Saturday, and ten a.m. on Sundays.

MICHAEL GARDNER: So again if you would state and spell your name for the record and identify your affiliation.

LUCY VALENA: Lucy Valena,

V-a-I-e-n-a. I'm the owner of Voltage Coffee

and Art. We've been in business for two

years now. And since we opened our doors

Kendall Square has become more and more

wonderful and vibrant community. I like to

think that we had a little bit to do with

that. We really -- we opened it was really

felt like kind of a shot in the dark, but

it's been a really amazing thing to see grow.

And I would really like to expand my business

1	to accommodate the growing neighborhood.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: So is this an
3	application to increase occupancy as well as
4	to add the beer and wine?
5	LUCY VALENA: It is.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: So just remind us
7	what the increase in occupancy proposal is.
8	LUCY VALENA: I would like to
9	increase my occupancy in seating so that
10	there are 75 seats.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: And I'm sorry, how
12	many are there now?
13	LUCY VALENA: 36.
14	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And how are you
15	going is to achieve that increase?
16	LUCY VALENA: I'm sorry?
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: How are you going
18	to be able to fit another, I can't do it, 39
19	seats in?
20	LUCY VALENA: I frankly had my
21	architect draw as many as he could in for the

1 amount that would be allowed with the 2 bathrooms that I have. So if -- I mean if 3 they don't, I don't expect necessarily to put 4 that many in. We're going to see what feels 5 comfortable. 6 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes. We like you 7 to -- and I understand it's a chicken and egg 8 problem and all that. 9 LUCY VALENA: Yeah, I know. 10 MICHAEL GARDNER: And I also 11 But I mean, we like you understand the hour. 12 to come to us with a business plan that's the 13 real plan that you're wanting to do. 14 LUCY VALENA: Okay. 15 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And because we 16 definitely don't want to issue a license for 17 more seats than you need intend to fill or 18 can actually fit. 19 LUCY VALENA: Okay. Well, if the 20 seating is an issue right now and we can 21 perhaps deal with all the other things that

1	I'm asking for, and I can we can just save
2	the seating for another time, that's fine
3	with me.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: What's your vision
5	of 30 standing?
6	LUCY VALENA: Sorry, this is my
7	I andI ord.
8	LINDA CUSTER: I'm Linda Custer with
9	Equi ty Resi denti al .
10	LUCY VALENA: I'm saying the wrong
11	things right now.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: I know you've been
13	here all night, too. I ask you to just spell
14	your name for the record.
15	LINDA CUSTER: Linda Custer,
16	C-u-s-t-e-r.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: And you're the
18	I andI ord?
19	LINDA CUSTER: Yes.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: So I'm not, I
21	don't understand what the purpose of 30

1	capacity standing is? What's your vision of
2	what 30 people are going to be doing standing
3	up.
4	LUCY VALENA: Well, if you came to
5	my place on a Thursday morning, you would see
6	that there's a group that meets, for example,
7	on Thursday mornings. It's an entrepreneurs
8	group VC, various peoples from the business
9	development community come and they do, they
10	mingle with each other in the morning.
11	There's also like a bar area in the front, or
12	a counter area I should say. There is a line
13	that forms so I mean there's there
14	definitely are people standing most of the
15	day.
16	LINDA CUSTER: You have a
17	certificate of occupancy?
18	LUCY VALENA: Yes.
19	LINDA CUSTER: And what's the count
20	on that?
21	LUCY VALENA: It's 105.

1	LINDA CUSTER: So 105. And you're
2	going higher than that?
3	LUCY VALENA: I think I screwed
4	something up.
5	LINDA CUSTER: Yeah, I don't think
6	you are. You aren't changing the number of
7	seats.
8	LUCY VALENA: No.
9	LI NDA CUSTER: You weren't changing
10	the number of occupants? You were just
11	changing your layout of the way the
12	restaurant, and you're adding additional
13	seats because before you had a lot of walking
14	around area.
15	LUCY VALENA: Right, and we are
16	al ways runni ng out of seats.
17	LINDA CUSTER: And did you submit a
18	plan with your seating plan with your
19	appl i cati on?
20	LUCY VALENA: Yes, I did. Sorry.
21	Cafe operator.
	1

MI CHAEL GARDNER: But your current seating is 36?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

LUCY VALENA: Yes. And then 10 outsi de.

LINDA CUSTER: And one of the things we think -- when Lucy first opened, like, I can maybe speak for her just as the landlord's rep. Her first business entrepreneur, start up, at Third Square. don't know if you guys are familiar with the building on Third Street, the residential building with the coffee shop, Abigail's and So that we're like almost one of the Xylem. first groups of retailers to open on that And I think what happened is in her street. non-experience when she filed for her first application, she didn't actually file the way she should have, which would have been to have the same amount of seats that she, that you know, the required amount of seats or say shy was allowed to have 75 seats by the

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

architect, because she was only able to afford 36 seats, I think she put 36 seats on her plan. The same thing in her lease, where she was allowed -- we also as a landlord we say we want you to be open a minimum amount Her Lease says seven to seven. of hours. So she filled out seven to seven in the application. That's just the minimum time we want her to be open. We're actually okay for her to be open to nine or ten o'clock at So what happened was she didn't show ni ght. her application to me until after. She said well, when I'm ready to go from beer and wine, we'll fix all of that. And I think what we're here to do today is to fix the occupancy and her layout of her store showing what she could actually have by law and what is on your certificate of occupancy, which is 105, right? And 75 seats, and so many standing and so many people working there. Just a different layout of where the seats

1	are.
2	And you have submitted that with your
3	application. So we're not really changing
4	the occupancy.
5	LUCY VALENA: Right.
6	GERALD REARDON: So does that sound
7	right, Mrs. Lint?
8	ELIZABETH LINT: That sounds right.
9	LUCY VALENA: Thank you very much.
10	GERALD REARDON: So it's 105
11	occupancy in this space as it exists
12	presentl y?
13	ELIZABETH LINT: And I have signoff
14	al so.
15	GERALD REARDON: Okay.
16	And what you're looking for really is a
17	change of premise design versus increase of
18	seats so to speak?
19	LINDA CUSTER: Correct.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, except
21	there's only you're only licensed for 36

1 seats. 2 Right. Well, I don't LINDA CUSTER: 3 know the difference legally on that end of 4 I'm not that experienced with that part. it. 5 LUCY VALENA: Putting more chairs 6 i n. 7 ELIZABETH LINT: It's an increase in 8 seats. 9 Yes, exactly. LINDA CUSTER: 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So tell us about 11 what happened on October 28, 2011. 12 We had an art opening LUCY VALENA: 13 and I had a confusion with the License 14 Commission as to what sort of paperwork I was 15 supposed to file with them when I was serving 16 beer and wine. There was a confusion that I 17 had that if we were simply giving the alcohol 18 away and didn't have to -- and weren't 19 selling it, that we didn't have to have a 20 Basically what I ended up finding l i cense. 21 after the fact was I was supposed to have for

that night was a letter saying I didn't have to have a license signed by Elizabeth Lint. That was what I had a couple of -- for a couple of openings after this. But then it was discovered that -- or what she said to me is that no, now we need to have this license for every time we're serving alcohol. And there was also a noise complaint.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Was this a 24-hour license or event license? I'm not sure I understand.

ELIZABETH LINT: Let's back up.

So, she has been doing some one day events serving beer and wine. The Commission's policy was always that if you're not selling it, that you didn't need a license. I very recently had conversation with Bill Kelly, the general counsel at the ABCC. His point of view is that whether you're selling it or giving it away, if it's advertised on social media, if it's open to

1 the public, if there's any invitations going 2 out, that regardless, sale or free, you have 3 to have a license. 4 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 5 ELI ZABETH LI NT: So now we issue 6 Li censes. 7 LUCY VALENA: And I've been getting 8 them ever since. 9 MICHAEL GARDNER: And in October of 10 2011 you didn't have -- you hadn't contacted 11 the License Commission to advise them of --12 that you were having the event? I'm just 13 trying to understand. 14 LUCY VALENA: Yeah. I, I was 15 confused and I didn't do the right thing. 16 And I respect that I did that. I thought 17 that because they kept saying you don't have 18 to have a license, that I didn't have to 19 contact them. And I should have contacted 20 them, but I didn't -- that night was -- I 21 mean, it was a beautiful opening. I mean it

1	was this amazing installation artist. It was
2	her first solo show, and there was some live
3	music that we had.
4	ROBERT HAAS: That you weren't
5	licensed for?
6	LUCY VALENA: We have a live
7	entertai nment li cense.
8	ROBERT HAAS: Not then, though.
9	LUCY VALENA: Yes, we did.
10	ROBERT HAAS: Why did they complain
11	about the noise then?
12	LUCY VALENA: Because we have a pair
13	of grumpy neighbors and it was Avant-Garde
14	saxophone music which they did not like. In
15	any case, that's what happened.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: So I see that
17	you're doing business as Voltage Coffee and
18	Art. So are art installations or art events
19	an inherent component of the business?
20	LUCY VALENA: We're a gallery.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

really important part of my business, is making good art available to the public seven days a week. You can't go to a gallery every day, but you can go to a cafe, and we've found a lot of really wonderful success with that aspect of the business. We've sold a good amount of art. A lot of times people who never bought art before because I think that it feels a little more possible in my place. The way that the space is laid out, the art it feels like a serious art space, not like a cafe that just has some stuff thrown up on the walls. But it does feel approachable.

MICHAEL GARDNER: And could you describe your menu a little to us?

LUCY VALENA: It's a fairly simple menu at this point. The emphasis is on the coffee for sure. We make all of our own craft syrups in-house. We have a full menu of different flavored lattes. Basically

1 we're trying to kind of invite the public in 2 to specialty coffee which is something that 3 could be very intimidating to people. instead of saying no, you can't have that 16 4 5 ounce skim sugar free vanilla latte, we say, 6 okay, you can have vanilla latte but it's 7 going to be the best one you ever had. 8 along with that we serve amazing expresso. 9 We serve a variety of single origin coffees 10 that we do manually one cup at a time. 11 a really -- the emphasis is on the coffee. 12 We do serve some breakfast foods. We serve 13 paninis at lunch. 14 With this these changes I would like to 15 move to having small plates in the afternoon 16 and evening and desserts as well. 17 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you're -- do 18 you prepare any food on-site? 19 LUCY VALENA: We do. 20 What do you MI CHAEL GARDNER: 21 prepare?

1 LUCY VALENA: Paninis for Lunch and 2 we do a granol a parfait, breakfast sandwiches 3 in the morning. I mean it's very simple 4 food. 5 MICHAEL GARDNER: What about in the 6 eveni ng? 7 We have some pastries. LUCY VALENA: 8 We serve sandwiches until they run out. We 9 prepare a certain amount everyday. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So at least it 11 sounds to me like now you're mostly a coffee 12 specialty shop with a very limited food 13 emphasis, and now you want to become not 14 really a restaurant but a place that sells 15 coffee and beer and wine? 16 LUCY VALENA: Well more of a 17 European style place. Cafes on the West 18 Coast is very common for beer and wine 19 license to be had. And what we would do is 20 we have a couple of different craft breweries 21 that we've talked to. We would have a very

1 limited menu, like, you know, two ore three 2 different beers, maybe four or five different 3 types of wine. It would be very limited and 4 wonderful. And we would expand the menu a 5 little bit so that we would have more food 6 offering in the evening, but we just want to 7 keep them talking. And what's your 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: 9 experience in managing or being involved with 10 a facility that sells alcohol? 11 I've had very small LUCY VALENA: 12 amount of bartending experience years ago. 13 I'm registered for a bartender training 14 class. I have taken one in the past as well, 15 but to get the TIPS Certification. 16 that's the extent. 17 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do we have any 18 letters of support or --19 ELIZABETH LINT: I have nothing 20 against. I have a letter of support from 21 Councillor Toomey who feels it's a reasonable

1	request that would allow Lucy to serve
2	patrons who may desire wine or beer with
3	their food or dessert. As Kendall Square
4	continues to grow new and existing
5	busi nesses, must adapt to the growing
6	competition in the area. He thinks it's a
7	reasonable request that will help Voltage
8	remain a vibrant Kendall Square Location.
9	ROBERT HAAS: So I'm just trying to
10	figure out, you'd sell beer and wine for
11	breakfast?
12	LUCY VALENA: No.
13	ROBERT HAAS: You said after seven
14	o'clock or eight o'clock.
15	LUCY VALENA: I said that in my
16	appl i cati on?
17	ROBERT HAAS: Starting after eight
18	o' cl ock.
19	LUCY VALENA: Beer for breakfast.
20	ROBERT HAAS: That's what I'm
21	reading. What you're asking for is alcohol

1	servi ce.
2	LUCY VALENA: Okay. I clearly, I
3	clearly that's not what's going to happen.
4	ROBERT HAAS: So when do you plan on
5	starting to serve beer or wine?
6	LUCY VALENA: Noon.
7	ROBERT HAAS: Noontime?
8	LUCY VALENA: Yeah, that's a
9	reasonable time.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm a little
11	concerned about your level of experience and
12	sort of lack of understanding of at least
13	what our needs are or and the, I guess the
14	general confusion here this evening. We
15	haven't talked about the 20 patio seats. Do
16	you have 10 patio seats, do I understand that
17	ri ght?
18	LUCY VALENA: Yes, I have 10 patio
19	seats.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: Are they on city
21	property or private property?

1	LINDA CUSTER: Private property.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Who, if anybody,
3	has indicated that there's room for 20?
4	LUCY VALENA: There aren't that many
5	tables outside. Anybody can come and look.
6	It's pretty.
7	LINDA CUSTER: You had a plan with
8	20 tables? Do you have a plan with 20
9	tabl es?
10	LUCY VALENA: The pl an?
11	LI NDA CUSTER: Yeah.
12	LUCY VALENA: Says 20 tabl es. 20
13	seats.
14	LINDA CUSTER: 20 seats.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: I assume not 20
16	tables, it's 20 seats.
17	LUCY VALENA: Yeah.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do I understand
19	the application that you do not intend to
20	serve alcohol on the patio?
21	LUCY VALENA: Absolutely not. No,

1	we won't do that.
2	LINDA CUSTER: Right, correct.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Any other
4	questions for the applicant?
5	GERALD REARDON: How Long have you
6	been at this location?
7	LUCY VALENA: Two years.
8	GERALD REARDON: Two years? Things
9	are going pretty well in terms of volume?
10	LUCY VALENA: Yeah. I mean, I just
11	love the ideas and the conversations that l
12	hear all day when I'm there. I hate kicking
13	them out at night. You know, they are like
14	having these wonderful dialogues and what l
15	would love to do is just give them a point
16	and let them continue, you know?
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: Do you kick them
18	out and seven p.m. now?
19	LUCY VALENA: Uh-huh, absol utel y.
20	ROBERT HAAS: How does it sit with
21	your neighbors that have already had concerns

1	about your operation?
2	LUCY VALENA: Well, I think the main
3	concern was the live music, and I haven't had
4	any at all for a while because I was so tired
5	of talking to them frankly. I would like to
6	keep my live music license, but I don't plan
7	on using it for a while.
8	ROBERT HAAS: But if you moved it
9	inside as opposed to having it outside?
10	LUCY VALENA: What did you say?
11	ROBERT HAAS: If you moved it inside
12	as opposed to having it outside?
13	LUCY VALENA: It is inside.
14	ROBERT HAAS: Moving it out on the
15	street or people on the
16	LUCY VALENA: That was the one crazy
17	time.
18	ROBERT HAAS: Oh, so you haven't had
19	it on the outside?
20	LUCY VALENA: No, no, no. That's
21	not a thing.

Yeah, that happened, I was talking to the officers, and the next thing I knew the saxophonist had moved across the street.

Sounds surreal, doesn't it? It was pretty surreal.

ROBERT HAAS: Okay.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Are there any members of the public who would like to be heard on this matter? Please come forward, state and spell your name for the record.

Schynder. J-a-i-m-e v-a-n S-c-h-y-n-d-e-l.

I'm the owner of Barismo and Dwelltime. So
I'm next. I've known Lucy for the last two
years. I actually have worked with her in
the community, and there's a lot of space, so
as far as Voltage expanding the seating, you
have to understand it's an art gallery that
has a tables that are spaced out quite a bit.
There's a large patio area out front. So,
you know, I'm fully in support of that and I

21

think that kind of makes a ton of sense for the space. As far as the -- I voice my support for the beer and wine. I know the staff there. They're competent. They' re capable. If you set down the terms that they have to live up to, I've trained a lot of those people. I worked with them on the coffee end of things. I think it's a reasonable risk. I don't, I would put my, you know, my personal, whatever weight that has. I know I've been in here a few times. But, you know, I would say that that's not an unreasonable risk. It is a model that you see more and more in places like Brooklyn where kind of these coffee shops are a little confusing in what we do, and it's hard to lay out into a neat package that fits into categories in such a coffee bar that's an art gallery. Yeah, so that's -- I am completely in support of that.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very

1	much.
2	When did you expect to complete your
3	bartender course?
4	LUCY VALENA: December 1st.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: When would you be
6	ready to change the business model and sell
7	the beer and wine and also change the
8	seati ng?
9	LUCY VALENA: I think New Year's
10	would be reasonable.
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
12	Pleasure of the Commission?
13	GERALD REARDON: I make a motion to
14	approve the Voltmeter, LLC, d/b/a Voltage
15	Coffee and Art, 295 Third Street for seats to
16	125.
17	ELIZABETH LINT: It should be 105.
18	GERALD REARDON: Excuse me 75 seats
19	inside, 30 standing, 20 patio seats without
20	al cohol servi ce.
21	Do we need to specify the hours of the
	•

1	al cohol?
2	ELI ZABETH LI NT: You can.
3	GERALD REARDON: Obviously I would
4	say, I would suggest it doesn't start before
5	el even a.m.
6	LINDA CUSTER: You want to say
7	el even?
8	LUCY VALENA: El even sounds great.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: I guess I would
10	ask you if you would be willing to include a
11	provision of I would think is it a
12	three-month review after opening because of
13	the what I think is the extreme
14	inexperience of the owner in this business,
15	and I encourage that you get some inspections
16	in before the three months is done. So that
17	would be my suggestion.
18	GERALD REARDON: That's fine.
19	ELIZABETH LINT: And 21-Proof
20	trai ni ng.
21	GERALD REARDON: 21-Proof training.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: And I would
2	suggest not to begin before January 1st just
3	in terms of what she said.
4	ELIZABETH LINT: We would likely not
5	have it back from the ABCC by then.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: So I'II second
7	that motion with those changes.
8	All those in favor signify by saying
9	"Aye. "
10	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
11	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
13	None opposed.
14	Good Luck with the new venture. Sounds
15	like you're doing a very nice job with the
16	model that you've got. But we are not in the
17	business of opening bars. We like to open
18	restaurants that serve alcohol. And I'm a
19	little concerned as the wear on that
20	continuum that you are, and we will
21	definitely be interested in looking at what
	1

1	you're in fact food offerings are.
2	LUCY VALENA: Okay.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Good Luck.
4	LUCY VALENA: Thank you so much.
5	LINDA CUSTER: I would just like to
6	say that Equity Residential will be also, you
7	know, as her landlord a reputable large
8	company, will be watching Lucy and helping
9	her and making sure that, you know, she's
10	following whatever rules are set out. And we
11	also have rules that she needs to follow,
12	too, as part of her lease. And, you know, we
13	take great interest in our tenants and our
14	stores and in our community and we'll be
15	there to help as well.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: Thank you. I'm
17	sorry I didn't give you the opportunity to
18	speak before.
19	LINDA CUSTER: That's okay. It's
20	easi er now.
21	Thank you.
	1

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: All right. Well. 2 good Luck. 3 Thank you. LUCY VALENA: 4 ELI ZABETH LI NT: Application, 5 Barismo, Incorporated, doing business as 6 Dwelltime, Jaime Van Schyndel, Manager, 7 holder of a common victualer license at 364 8 Broadway has applied for an increase of 9 seating from 20 to 40. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So I think you 11 have already stated and spelled your name for 12 the record, so I assume the stenographer is 13 okay with that. So tell us about the 14 business that you've got now and what leads 15 you to want to increase it by a hundred 16 percent. 17 Well, we're a JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: 18 I ot busier than we thought we were going to 19 And the biggest complaint is the lack of be. 20 I've had some customers compare it to seats. 21 a torture test of how much open space there

1 is in the place. But, yeah, we're just a lot 2 busier. We have a full-time dishwasher now, 3 and a lot of plate service. We're kind of a 4 weird business. It's a little bit hard to 5 explain just how much sit down --6 MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, tell us the 7 kinds of food you sell and your hours of 8 operati on. 9 JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: Sure. 10 MICHAEL GARDNER: Just a little bit 11 more background. 12 JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: Yeah, seven to 13 seven weekdays. Shorter hours on the 14 weekends. It's nine to -- sorry, eight to 15 six, nine to six on Saturday/Sunday. We got 16 a lunch program, we do a couple of soups, a 17 you know, six/seven sandwiches, a couple 18 It changes. It's kind of seasonal. sal ads. 19 You know, it's -- lunch is pretty good. 20 do have a lot of problems with seating at 21 We turn off the WI-FI. We get a Lunch.

1 little bit of a backlash for that, but in 2 generally speaking lunch numbers are still, 3 you know, growing. We're still, normally 4 seven, eight months in with that. Coffee's 5 the main part of the program. 6 pour-overs that range, or drip coffee we call 7 It ranges from \$3 a cup to it, you know. 8 about \$4 a cup for single stay coffees. We 9 have multiple expressos on tap that go 10 anywhere from 2.50 from a regular expresso to 11 3.50 for something with more of a story. 12 we take a lot more time to make a better 13 product and, you know, try to present it with 14 as much storing and as much craft as we can. 15 So we are a coffee bar that serves a lot of 16 lunch and everything tails off around 17 five-ish and we get out of there by seven. 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And how are you 19 going to fit these seats in? 20 JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: We have plenty 21 of square footage. You know, right now it

1 looks very vacant with the layout the way it 2 It can be kind of intimidating because 3 there's so much bar space. We're just going 4 to add a couple more tables and possibly, you 5 know, tossing around the idea -- we have a 6 standing bar around the counter area. And 7 we're thinking about putting a couple of 8 seats on that because on the weekends it's 9 used but during the weekdays people don't 10 really use it. So having something where 11 people can kind of sit at the counter and be 12 closer to the line and action of people 13 making drinks. 14 MICHAEL GARDNER: Drinks you mean 15 coffee? 16 Coffee. JAIME VAN SCHNYDER: Not 17 just for alcohol. 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do we need a more 19 definite seating plan? 20 I have Zoning ELI ZABETH LI NT: 21 signoff on it.

1	And do you have a floor plan?
2	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: I don't. I can
3	draft something up.
4	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes, we would need
5	a floor plan.
6	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: Sure. Yeah,
7	the I didn't bring all the stuff that we
8	had brought to Zoning. I know there's no
9	opposition as far as, as far as l'm aware.
10	And Ranjit was in support.
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And do you have a
12	servi ce contract?
13	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes, I do.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: Can we act without
15	a seating plan?
16	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.
17	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: I can bring
18	something, you know, and submit that.
19	GERALD REARDON: And what is your
20	certificate of occupancy list now?
21	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: At this hour, I

1	don't have it in front of me.
2	ELIZABETH LINT: Zoning signed off
3	for 40.
4	GERALD REARDON: They did. Okay.
5	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: Yeah. They
6	said it was one of the most complete
7	applications and presentations than they ever
8	received with many, many hundred signatures.
9	And a lot of people showed. Up and one of my
10	neighbors did not show up with pom-poms as he
11	had at the licensing hearing.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: So should we feel
13	slighted that all these people didn't stick
14	around and come to us?
15	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: No.
16	ELI ZABETH LI NT: Yeah.
17	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: Yeah. If this
18	is a record, I've been here for two that have
19	been quite, quite to the end, but no problem
20	with it. So, yeah, that's just been the
21	biggest complaint. We just get a lot of

1	negative reviews about what looks like where
2	there should be seats and there's just
3	literally potted plans covering the space.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Any other
5	questi ons?
6	ROBERT HAAS: No questions.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Are there any
8	members of the public who would like to be
9	heard on this matter briefly?
10	LUCY VALENA: I don't really,
11	Jaime's awesome and does he does wonderful
12	things and he does a great job. Everybody
13	loves Barismo and Dwelltime and loves what
14	they're doing and furthering the awesomeness
15	of Cambridge being awesome.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: If he's coffee and
17	you're coffee, aren't you competitors?
18	LUCY VALENA: No, I sell his coffee.
19	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: We have the
20	roastery, and I worked at a lot different
21	shops. It's kind of we're all a very

1	tight community.
2	LUCY VALENA: We're all in it
3	together. We're all against the big guys.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: Seeing no other
5	members of the public who'd like to be heard,
6	the pleasure of the Commission?
7	GERALD REARDON: Make a motion to
8	approve increase in seating from 20 to 40.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'll second the
10	moti on.
11	Any di scussi on?
12	(No Response.)
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: Hearing none, all
14	those in favor signify by saying "Aye."
15	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
16	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
18	None opposed. So I don't know if the
19	wait was worth it, but you're ready with
20	this. So good luck with the expanded
21	operati on.

1	JAIME VAN SCHYNDEL: Thank you.
2	ELI ZABETH LI NT: Rati fi cati ons.
3	Sale of 213, finance of 213, and
4	refi nance of 194, 188, 116, 144, 45, and 85.
5	Paperwork's all in order.
6	ROBERT HAAS: Motion to accept.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: There's a motion
8	to accept the ratifications approved by the
9	Executive Director. I'll second it.
10	Any di scussi on?
11	(No Response.)
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: Hearing none, all
13	those in favor signify by saying "Aye."
14	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
15	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
17	None opposed.
18	So thank you, Ms. Lint for that.
19	Any other business before us?
20	GERALD REARDON: Motion to adjourn.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Motion to adjourn.

1	I'll second.
2	All those in favor signify by saying
3	"Aye. "
4	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
5	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
7	And we're adjourned at approximately
8	11: 28.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1 ERRATA SHEET AND SIGNATURE INSTRUCTIONS 2 3 The original of the Errata Sheet has 4 been delivered to the License Commission. 5 When the Errata Sheet has been 6 completed and signed, a copy thereof should 7 be delivered to each party of record and the 8 ORIGINAL delivered to the License Commission 9 to whom the original transcript was 10 del i vered. 11 I NSTRUCTI ONS 12 After reading this volume of the transcript, indicate any corrections or 13 changes to the statements made and the reasons therefor on the Errata Sheet supplied 14 to you and sign it. DO NOT make marks or notations on the transcript volume itself. 15 16 REPLACE THIS PAGE OF THE TRANSCRIPT WITH THE 17 COMPLETED AND SIGNED ERRATA SHEET WHEN 18 RECEI VED. 19 20 21

1	ATTACH TO LICENSE COMMISSION GENERAL HEARING DATE: 11/8/12 REP: CAZ
_	NEI . OAZ
3	ERRATA SHEET
4	I NSTRUCTI ONS: After reading the transcript, note any change or correction to the
5	statements and the reason therefor on this
6	sheet. DO NOT make any marks or notations on the transcript volume itself. Sign and date
7	this errata sheet (before a Notary Public, if required). Refer to Page 363 of the
8	transcript for Errata Sheet distribution instructions.
9	PAGE LI NE
10	CHANGE: REASON:
11	CHANGE: REASON:
12	CHANGE: REASON:
13	CHANGE: REASON:
14	CHANGE: REASON:
15	CHANGE: REASON:
16	CHANGE:
	CHANGE:
17	REASON: CHANGE:
18	REASON:
19	I have read the foregoing transcript of the License Commission, and except for any
20	corrections or changes noted above, I hereby subscribe to the transcript as an accurate
21	record of the statements made.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRI STOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter, the undersi gned Notary Public, certi fy that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
8	this matter.
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 23rd day of November 2012.
12	my hand this 2014 day of November 2012.
13	Catheri ne L. Zel i nski
14	Notary Public Certified Shorthand Reporter
15	Li cense No. 147703
16	My Commission Expires: April 23, 2015
17	THE EODEOGLAG OFFIT FLOATION OF THE
18	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION
19	OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE
20	CERTI FYI NG REPORTER.
21	