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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the October 27, 2022 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is Brendan Sullivan, and I am Chair for tonight's meeting.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on Cambridge television Channel 22.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers, and at the discretion of the Chair.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board
members attendance and verify that all members are audible.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Present.
(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First case I'll call tonight is Case No. 163881 -- 130 Cushing Street. Anybody present from the petitioner wishing to speak on this matter? We are in receipt of correspondence from Greg McCarthy, dated Tuesday, October 25.
"Thank you for taking my call today, Olivia. As discussed, we would like to continue our BZA hearing for 130 Cushing Street. Apologies on our end for any extra coordination that this required. We will be sure to have everything properly situated for the next meeting.
"I will be handling things from here on out, as Bob has sold the property to me."

For the Board's information: This was not going to go forward tonight, because the posting sign was never displaced, and as such the petitioner was notified and hence the letter.

I will make a motion, then, to continue this
matter until December 15, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner obtain and maintain the posting sign at least 14 days prior to the December 15 meeting, reflecting the new date of December 15, 2022, and the time of 6:00 p.m. That any new submittals regarding this proposal be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to December 15, 2022 and that -- we do have a waiver -- I believe it is in the file from previous continuations. So that is in order. On the motion, then, to continue this matter to until December 15, 2022? Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson? WENDY LEISERSON: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall? JASON MARSHALL: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams? MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes. [All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, this matter is continued to December 15, 2022.
(6:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next matter is Case No.
177941 -- 286 Broadway. Is the petitioner, Adam Glassman, present to speak?
[Pause]
Hearing none, we are in receipt of correspondence from Adam Glassman, dated October 24, 2022.
"To Maria Pacheco, we would like to withdraw this case and close the application. We will not be moving forward with this application for zoning relief.

Thank you,
Adam."

On the motion, then, to accept the withdrawal from
the -- request by the petitioner? And let's see, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: In favor of the withdrawal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes to
accept the request for the withdrawal.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; this
matter is withdrawn as per the petitioner's request.
(6:05 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Next case we will hear is
178804 -- 201 Charles Street. Sitting on this is myself, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Slater Anderson, and Jason Marshall.

Mr. vanBeuzekom? Edrick?
EDRICK VANBEUZEKOM: Yes, thank you. I'm Edrick vanBeuzekom, I'm the architect for this project. Michelle Goldman and Jeff Hodess.

We, if you recall at our previous hearing, there were issues around having mislabeled the side yard setback on the left-hand side of the house.

We corrected that to be basically when you do the formula it comes out to 7'11", and we shifted the addition to be within that dimension from the lot line to be 7'11" from the lot line.

And the other change we made was to take the bulkhead entrance out that we had shown on that side of the
addition and moved that -- I'll talk about that in a moment.
But we also -- instead of doing a full foundation
under the addition on that side, we're just doing a single, concrete Sonotube support. So we've tried to eliminate as much of the earth work on that side of the project, so as not to disturb the neighboring property.

Otherwise, things remain as they were. We also submitted shadow studies, which hopefully Board members have had a chance to take a look at, and you'll see pretty minimal impacts from the shadows of the house -- of the additions.

And I believe we're also in receipt of a letter of support now from the neighbor who was previously objecting. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Okay. Any questions by members of the Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: None at this time. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Charles Hinds?
CHARLES HINDS: Thank you, Chair. Based on the new plans, my brother and I are now happy to lend our support to this project and ask the BZA to approve the zoning relief requested. We'd like to thank our neighbors, Jeff, and Michelle Hodess for all the work they and their architect have put in to revising the plans to accommodate our needs.

And we are glad that they have expanded their property to accommodate their growing family and stay in East Cambridge instead of moving to the suburbs, as many young families here do.

That's it. I just wanted to make that statement. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, thank you for calling in and for your comments. And I think it's gratifying for the Board. I think that the system works. And in this particular case, I think that a good result has come from it. So thank you, Mr. Hinds.

And that is the sum and substance of any call-ins. We are in receipt of Mr. Hinds' letter, which he summarized, and also there was other previous letters of support. I will close the public comment part.

Edrick, any final comments before we take it to the Board at all, or --

EDRICK VANBEUZEKOM: No, I think we are all set. You know, we've tried to do our best to minimize the impact on neighbors, and I think the scale of what we're proposing is appropriate for the neighborhood. I'm excited to hopefully see this move forward.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by any members of the Board of the petitioner?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hearing none, take it to a vote. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the application, and the supporting statements and the new dimensional forms, which were submitted October 20 and initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial
hardship to the petitioner.
The Board finds that such hardship is related to the shape of the lot, the location of the structure on the lot, which predates current ordinances -- that the siting is a corner lot, and so, the ordinance governing such corner lots is far more restrictive than any other lots in the immediate area, having two front yards. And as such, it is encumbered by the restrictive setback requirement.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. The Board notes the willingness of the petitioner to scale down somewhat the petition in response to adjoining property owners' concerns.

The Board notes the letter of support from the adjoining property, and that -- the Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance to provide housing for all income groups to allow families -established families -- to remain in their home, which is a benefit to the city, adds to the fabric of the city and contributes greatly to the city.

And any other comments by members of the Board to add to the motion? No?

Okay. So on the motion, then, to grant the variance as per the application. Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the variance as per the application is granted. Good luck with it.

EDRICK VANBEUZEKOM: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now we have to wait until 6:30.
(6:30 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Returning to the regular Agenda, the Board will hear Case No. 195222 -- 80 Sherman Street. Mr. Braillard?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Attorney Braillard.

ADAM BRAILLARD: That's fine.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Give you due respect.
ADAM BRAILLARD: Of course. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. Adam Braillard of Prince Lobel Tye. We're at One International Place in Boston, here on behalf of the applicant, T-Mobile.

We are here in connection with a special permit before the Board to modify an existing wireless communications facility which is located on the rooftop of the building at 80 Sherman Street. That's located in the Industrial A Zoning District.

The existing facility consists of nine panel
antennas located inside a faux chimney which is finished to the color and texture of the building. The ancillary equipment is located inside the building.

So the existing facility is effectively concealed or camouflaged from view; the proposed facility is also going to be camouflaged from view.

We're proposing to replace the nine panel antennas with nine light kind, but a little wider panel antennas, which requires us to replace the faux chimney with a slightly larger long chimney and a second chimney, so that we can install -- we can fit the antennas and still conceal all the antennas.

We're also going to place the battery backup system that's inside the building, and also a radio (indiscernible) for $T$-Mobile. Those will also be in the view.

So when we're completed with the proposal or the installation, the difference will be the addition of one faux chimney.

So we believe that pursuant to the Section 4.32.G, Footnote 49 of the Ordinance that we comply with those requirements and that -- T-Mobile's obviously FCC licensed
-- we believe is a minimal impact -- visual impact to the building, and the building is located in the Industrial Zoning District, so it's not in the residential district, where we would need to provide some additional or approve additional relief.

However, we do feel that -- and just in general, the proposal is not inconsistent with the character that does prevail in the surrounding neighborhood area.

We also believe that this application conforms with the requirements set forth in Section 6409 of the Middle Class Tax Relief Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

And we're here to answer any questions that the Board may have.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any questions from any members of the Board at this time?
[Pause]
Hearing none, $I$ will open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in and we are -- no correspondence sent to the Board regarding this matter. I will close public comment. Anything else to add?

Notice that the applicant proposes to modify the existing facility by replacing the existing nine with nine new, and by replacing the existing faux chimney with two new faux chimneys measuring 7'6" x 7'6" x "10'.

Similar to the existing facility, all of the antennas associated with the facility will be concealed within faux chimneys and out of view from the public.

So any questions by the Board, or should we go to a motion?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Under 4.32.G.1, and Section 4.40, Footnote 49, in reviewing the special permit application for mobile communication facilities -- in particular, the Board shall consider the following in reaching its determination: The scope or limitation imposed by any license secured by any state of federal agency having jurisdiction over such matters.

And the submittals by the petitioner state that there are no limitations imposed on their license.

The extent to which the visual impact of the various elements of the proposed facility is minimized, and the photo simulations show that the faux chimneys blend in fairly nicely, even with the addition to the existing building and the elements of the building as such.

And that through the use of materials and texture and color blend with the materials to which the facilities are attached, as previously noted.

Adam, what are the -- is it fiberglass basically, the faux chimneys?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Right. They have to be pervious to the radiofrequency signal. So they are fiberglass, with steel framing.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay. And it is not in a residential zone, so consequently that finding does not have to be made.

So after discussion, the Board moves that the Board make the following findings, based upon the application material submitted, and the evidence before the Board and based upon the findings that the Board grant the
relief requested and the petitioner submitted materials.

That the Board finds that the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the grant of the special permit.

That the Board finds that the traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board notes the existence of the telecommunication facility on the structure, and that there has not been any negative feedback to the Board regarding such installations in the past.

The Board is confident that going forward, the install will blend in seamlessly to the neighborhood and not be any -- not create any hazard or substantial change.

The Board finds that the relief requested is merely to update the telecommunication impact, so that whatever impact has occurred was not going to be increased, but rather would be ameliorated by what was proposed, and would be a public benefit.

The Board finds that the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by what was
proposed. In fact, it would be enhanced and a benefit to the public.

That the Board finds that the neighborhood has had experience with the telecommunication antennas on these buildings, and that it has worked seamlessly in the past.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the City.

The Board finds that the application would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining districts, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to provide updated telecommunication facilities to the citizens of the City.

The Board finds that the modification of the existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner would not substantially change the physical dimension of the existing wireless tower, except with the addition of the new faux chimney, which is a necessary component of the application.

And that such facility complies with the meaning of Section 6409 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job

Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.
The Board also finds -- further moves that based upon the information presented to the Board that the Board grant the requested relief as described in the submitted materials, and the evidence before the Board on the following conditions:

That the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, as initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

That the petitioner at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

That should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it was located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practical.

That the petitioner continue to comply with the conditions imposed by the Board with respect to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

Further, that in as much as the health effect of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emitting from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the
site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable details that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraph a) or b) above. Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may
not be filed.
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner of geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit will comply with all federal safety rules and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the
special permit is granted.
ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thanks, Adam.
JASON MARSHALL: I'm going to hop off, Mr. Chair,
for the next case and thank you Slater, for jumping in.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Jason.
JASON MARSHALL: No problem.
(6:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Slater Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 189522 -- 14 Rice Street. Mr. Lodge?

JOHN LODGE: Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JOHN LODGE: Good evening. I think --
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Somehow you froze, John.
[Pause]
Okay, are you on?
JOHN LODGE: Sorry, can you hear?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We can hear you now, yep.
[Pause]
Can't hear you now. Cannot, rather.
JOHN LODGE: -- start. So we're before the Board
tonight seeking a special permit to turn an existing carriage house into an accessory dwelling unit.

The homeowners are long-term Cambridge residents.

They have two children in the school system, and they both have aging parents.

And while they currently use the carriage house as studio space, office space, they're interested in adding a kitchenette and a bathroom as much to provide space for their -- for each of their aging parents on sort of extended visits, as well as anything else.

I think, Brendan, when you visited the site last week, you expressed a concern about using the carriage house as a short-term rental facility.

I talked to Anthony Tuccinardi who I think is the sort of short-term rental tsar, and he said, "Given that it's a detached building, it wouldn't be up to the Airbnb housing in any event." So I don't think we have to worry about that.

But the proposed changes to the building are basically all on the interior of the existing carriage house.

We're not going to change any windows, any doors. Basically, the only thing we would be doing would be adding a plumbing pipe into the existing house -- or actually, I guess, enlarging the existing plumbing pipe into the
existing house.
The two items I believe we need relief for are first the lot size is small enough that we do not have the required 2500 square feet per dwelling unit, and there are two existing tandem parking spaces, which service the house fine now, but they don't conform with -- you know, with the -- they don't meet the regulations for conforming parking spaces.

I think generally speaking the neighborhood is a mix of one-, two-, three-family houses. There's a few apartment buildings.

So I think adding a small one-bedroom or an efficiency -- I'm not sure how we would characterize this -would fit in well with the neighborhood, and hopefully add a little bit more flexibility in terms of some of the housing that the city so badly needed.

All right, $I$-- if Jon has anything he'd like to add, I'm just not sure if he's -- if he can hear us or not.

JONATHAN LEWIS: Hi John, I'm here.
JOHN LODGE: Oh, there you are. Great. Okay.
JONATHAN LEWIS: If it would be helpful -- if I could just -- yeah, add a few thoughts, just to build on
what you've said already.
JOHN LODGE: Sure, sure.
JONATHAN LEWIS: Good evening, everyone. Thanks so much for taking up this matter. My name is Jonathan Lewis. I'm here with my wife, Alison Goldberg. We've lived in Cambridge for 22 years now. We've been in our current home here at 14 Rice Street since 2010.

We continue -- we expect to continue living here for quite a while. We have two kids, as John mentioned: A ninth grader at CRLS and a seventh grader at Rindge Avenue Upper School. And Alison's mother also lives in the city.

So as John mentioned, our home is a carriage house in the back yard. We've used it for a bunch of different purposes over the years.

Alison and $I$ have mainly used it as office space, especially during the last couple years. And on the weekends, the kids and their friends used it as a hang out space, art space, play space.

I think we'll continue to use it for those purposes, but we also want to make it into something that works better as a guest suite for family and friends, especially for our parents. As John mentioned, we want the
carriage house to be a place where our parents can stay for short spells or long spells as they age.

The carriage house is already plumbed for water and heat. We'd like to add a small bathroom and a shower unit, and perhaps an electric range by the existing sink. We hadn't given much thought to if and how we would evenly use it as a rental unit prior to last weekend, when Mr. Sullivan stopped by and related these concerns about units like ours being used for Airbnb for short-term rentals.

If we were even going to rent out the space, I'm certain we would be looking for long-term tenants -- people who planned to be tenants for at least nine months or a year.

I can't imagine us having any interest in shortterm rentals, and basically from what we've heard, it sounds like there's a City ordinance that would prohibit units like ours from being used for short-term rentals -- which, again, is fine by us so.

We think the renovation we have in mind will be helpful in supporting the evolving needs of our family. We've spoken to our neighbors about the project, and no one
raised any objections. I think you have some letters of support in the record signifying that.

And we appreciate your consideration of our application. Thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by any
members of the Board? Jim? Any --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Just one question. As I
understand your -- you have no interest in short-term rentals, and as I also understand, the current wording of the Regulation may not even apply anyway.

But would you have any objection to their being a condition about -- of approving with conditions regarding future uses or short-term rental by prior owners, or your next owners?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it -- and I have no questions or comments at this time -- let me open it
to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of communication.
"To members of the Board of Zoning Appeal, we are neighbors of Jon Lewis and Alison Goldberg, who live at 14 Rice Street. We understand that they're renovating their house to accommodate the changing needs of their extended family. We have reviewed the design plans for the proposed renovations, and we fully support them. We feel that the proposed renovations complement the existing house and are in character with the neighborhood. We hope the Board of Zoning Appeal will grant them the Special Permit they are seeking to keep them in the neighborhood for a long time."

And it's from Ariane Agnew, 24 Rice Street.
We are in receipt of similar correspondence from Jennifer Peace at 8 Rice Street. And that is the sum and
substance of any correspondence. I will now close the public comment part. John, any further comments you'd like to make, or -- Lewis?

JONATHAN LEWIS: Not unless you have any more questions. No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No? Any other questions from members of the Board at all?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Well --
WENDY LEISERSON: Sorry my voice was going out before, but my comment was actually a question, which was does the owner have any objection if there were to be condition imposed --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- on short-term rentals?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Make a motion, then, without conditions, Wendy? Accepted as per the application? WENDY LEISERSON: Brendan, I'm sorry, I didn't understand what you were just proposing?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Shall I make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested without any condition? WENDY LEISERSON: Well, I was asking --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, with the conditions.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- if there was an interest in a
condition?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Yes. So what would that
be?
WENDY LEISERSON: I'm responding to your concern about short-term rentals.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh.

WENDY LEISERSON: That's what $I$ was responding to.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
WENDY LEISERSON: And in the event that the rules
change to allow think of thing, I don't know. But anyway, it's just a suggestion that if that is a concern of yours, Mr. Chair, that -- and there's no objection to their being an attached condition from the homeowner, that we could do that. That was the proposal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. John or Jonathan, if the Board were to impose (sic) that we would grant the special permit for the use of the structure as a residence. That the Board would not favor short-term rental in the
structure, would that be amenable?
JOHN LODGE: I defer to you, John.
JONATHAN LEWIS: Yeah. We're amenable to that.
It sounds like the City has a policy, and it seems like this is a policy question. So there's a policy that governs the issue?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I think you would have to comply with the City Ordinance regarding that. But it is a detached structure. So I think that it probably forestalls any, like, Airbnb type of operation anyhow, as you stated talking to Inspector Tuccinardi.

And it is your intent right now to not use it for short term? If you were to rent it to nonfamily members, it would be sort of traditional longer-term rentals?

JONATHAN LEWIS: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay. So that would be your presentation. Wendy, does that -- or shall I put that in as a condition that it is the sense of the Board not to allow short-term rentals?

WENDY LEISERSON: I defer to you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me just go through the ordinance here, 4.22:

That the purpose of the ordinance is to allow for the creation of accessory apartments in all districts. Any large existing single- or two-family dwellings or other accessory buildings on their lots are underutilized.

Alteration of these buildings to provide one additional dwelling unit on the lot would be prohibited in most cases due to the floor area ratio, lot per dwelling unit requirement under 5.31 and other zoning limitations.

Given contemporary life style housing needs and energy and maintenance costs, it would be beneficial to the City to allow greater flexibility in the use of such a buildings to add new dwelling units without substantially altering the environmental quality of their surrounding neighborhoods.

Subsection 4.22 gives the Board of Zoning Appeal the authority to relax such requirements, in certain instances, as enumerated below. And it appears that the application does comply with those requirements and the Ordinance.

So let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested under 8.22 -- special permit -- in all districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or
enlargement of a pre-existing dimensionally non-conforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a pre-existing, nonconforming use.

And that the prevalent use is conforming; was granted a building permit -- I think it was back in 2002. It's some 10 years ago.

The Board finds that the alteration of the structure would not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood. In fact, it would be -- bring it more in line with the residential character in the neighborhood, and that the alteration and enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

The Board finds that under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board notes that there would not be any exterior enlargement or alteration, other than those necessary to accommodate the residents -- potentially a
change in the window or something.
The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be affected by the nature of the proposed use, converting it from existing use into a residence.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the City.

That the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. And the Board reflects back on the requirement of 4.22, the conversion of an accessory building into an accessory apartment, and that the application conforms with that requirement.

And that it is the sense of the Board that the petitioner has agreed to -- and as a condition that the structure be not turned into a short-term rental, and that the -- what is being granted tonight is for a traditional eventual rental or of the structure going forward or the use of a family member.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall? I'm sorry,
Slater. Sorry. Let me read my notes here.
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sorry, Slater. Wendy
Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted. Thank you.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
SLATER ANDERSON: Thanks, everybody. Have a good night.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight, thank you, Slater. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bye.

WENDY LEISERSON: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, before you call the next case --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
WENDY LEISERSON: I am hearing a little ringing on
the line. I wonder if anybody else is. It sounds almost like someone's wearing a bracelet or something?
[Pause]
I see. Okay. Yes. I don't know what the source may be, but I -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. WENDY LEISERSON: -- wondered if -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't know. You think this is too loud? Okay. This? So it's like an echo? I wasn't aware of that. Okay. All right. Let's see if we can correct that. Thank you, Wendy.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
(7:03 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 194179 -- 8 Winter Street. Mr. Anderson?

DAN ANDERSON: Mr. Chair, and members of the Board, good evening. Dan Anderson, Partner at Anderson Porter Design. Also a Cambridge resident. I'm here this evening. I believe that Trina Murphy, Representative of the owners of 8 Winter Street, LLC is here as well -- I don't know if she wants to take a second to say hello? If she's not immediately joined, I'm happy to give a little bit of a preamble.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

DAN ANDERSON: All right. So we're here tonight to present a project at 8 Winter Street, which is at the corner of Winter and Third Street, currently the location of the Dunkin' Donuts, which you may be familiar with.

We're seeking a variance for violations of yard
setbacks, which I'll talk a little bit more about, as well
as a special permit to extend a 45-foot pipe allowance into a more restricted district.

So this is a Business A Zone predominantly, with a slice of it that cuts through the $C-1$. Both are subject to the East Cambridge Housing Overlay, and so, therefore have fairly high FAR and lot area per dwelling unit allowance.

I believe that it's 300 square feet per dwelling unit under the ECO, and because they're two separate zoning districts, the bump in $B A$ that would take it to an FAR limit of 2 is slightly reduced because of the lower allowance for FAR in $\mathrm{C}-1$.

So cumulatively, in aggregate it's a 1.75 FAR allowable.

The proposal from the development is not to maximize the lot area per dwelling unit, which would I believe yield about 22 units, or maximize the FAR; we're looking at a 1.2 I believe FAR and a fairly generous lot area per dwelling unit that, if $I$ remember here correctly, is -- anyway, I'll come back to it.

The proposal is instead for 3 two-family dwellings intended for family homeownership, which was feeling to be more in keeping with the neighborhood.

And this has been in conversation with immediate abutters and the East Cambridge community for a while. We've had numerous meetings with neighbors and abutters, as well as presenting twice to the Planning Board just for review, and twice to the East Cambridge Planning Team for their comments as well.

There's been numerous adjustments made to the project responding to the variety of comments that were presented, and what you have in front of you is the most recent iteration of that.

The lot is -- in addition to being subdivided by two different zoning districts -- is effectively bordered on four sides by either public ways or private ways. So we have multiple frontages -- essentially four front yards and two side yards, as the zoning applies.

And we've reviewed this in-depth I think twice, with Commissioner Ranjit Singanayagam and feel pretty confident that the requests that we're putting forward reflect both the appropriate relief that we're seeking, as well as responding to the comments and feedback in particular from direct abutters.

So this property, as you know, was a Dunkin'

Donuts currently and has existed as pretty much a parking lot with a fairly free-for-all lack of curb cut along Third Street that allows -- requires parking spaces to back out into traffic.

So there are a lot of concerns of abutters about how to manage traffic there, as well as maintaining an appropriately wide travel distance -- two-lane travel distance for a good portion of the private known as Linehan Court.

So we are conforming in all other respects to open space, off-street parking spaces. We are lower than the required height limit.

So where we're asking for the special permit, we're asking to extend an average building height of 41.8 feet into the $C-1$ District, which would otherwise be 35 feet, but BA, of course, allows 45 feet.

So these are -- again 3 two-family structures. There are 3 three-bedroom dwellings, and there are 3 fourbedroom dwellings.

Happy to address any questions, but I believe we have a number of written letters of support entered into the file, which is much appreciated. It's been a long series of
conversations.

I think the one piece that has been kind of open in the ownership is making a lot of concessions to it in terms of maintenance and upkeep of that private way.

I think that some of the concerns about how that private way is used and maintained are probably not in the purview of the Board. But anyway, happy at this point to take any questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Dan, just going back through the relief that you're requesting, so building the structures violates the yard setbacks.

DAN ANDERSON: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Then are you still requesting the special permit for the extension of the height into the C-1 District?

DAN ANDERSON: That is correct. Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
DAN ANDERSON: So the building shown as Building 3 sits into the $C-1$ District. I think that if Olivia would possibly share that, or if you refer to the Sheet Z1.1, you can see where the capped area in the building labeled
"Building 3" which is the uppermost structure, we're
extending about 16 feet into $\mathrm{C}-1$ with the fourth story. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And -- okay, I guess maybe where I'm going with this is why can you not comply with that requirement of -- it's 35 feet, is it not, near the C$1 ?$

DAN ANDERSON: It's 35 feet in the C-1, yes. And so, we're -- we're -- let me say we're partially compliant. So the fourth floor in all these structures is a partial floor. So there's a bedroom, a laundry, a bathroom, and a small sitting/office area that then open out onto a deck. And so, the -- even the fourth-floor height is not contiguous with the entire footprint of the building. So we're -- we're encroaching into that area not even the 17.5 feet. It's illustrated there, but only a partial portion of that.

So we were asking for the special permit relief that would allow essentially that top-floor unit for that building to remain as that -- as a four-bedroom unit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So basically, you just want to continue the element of the building?

DAN ANDERSON: That's correct. So we can take a look at the elevations and perspective use that illustrate
that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm just -- I guess the other question $I$ would ask is did you try in the initial analysis to come up with an as of right development? In other words, not having to come down before the BZA to --

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- seek relief?

DAN ANDERSON: I appreciate that. We were not able to come up with an as of right solution that addressed this building type. In other words, you can see pretty much from the -- well, we could certainly have that Building 3 as a three-story building that would not require the special permit.

But the negotiations around this really revolved around the ability to create safe, vehicular ingress and egress from Linehan Court to Third Street, which was a primary concern of the abutters.

And the program that $I$ was given was to create this as a development for -- initially for four freestanding, two-family structures. And obviously with the constraints of parking, of building separation distance to make all those pieces work, there was not an as-of-right
solution.

We did have buildings closer to Linehan Court, which was not the preference of the abutters on Gore Street.

And we pushed some over, and we also relocated Building 1, which is essentially continuing the zero-lot line setback that the Dunkin' Donuts currently has, while we've detached from the abutter 10 Winter Street to allow for -- although it's not a conforming side yard setback, it's no longer a zero-yard setback. And those changes were made at the request of the Planning Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And it appears, obviously, that the site is very challenging. The shape of the lot, obviously, is encumbered by almost all front yard setback requirements and all -- well anyhow.

Any questions by any members of the Board? Jim, any questions at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. If I start with your sheet Z1.1, could you just point out where you need relief from your various setbacks where you're not compliant? How many instances, please?

DAN ANDERSON: Absolutely. So the first is on Building 1, so starting with the right-hand side of the
sheet.
We are asking to continue the effectively zero lot
line setback and are looking also for relief for the side yard setback -- the separation between 10 Winter Street and the structure.

This is ameliorated a little bit because of the park and green space that happens at the intersection of Winter Street and --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DAN ANDERSON: -- McGrath Highway but --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Understood.
DAN ANDERSON: -- yep, but requires relief. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

DAN ANDERSON: Building \#2, the short face that faces Third Street, also conforms with the center line of the Street requirement but is less than the 10 -foot minimum. I believe that it's at three and a half feet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
DAN ANDERSON: The front yard setbacks along Linehan Court are all more than the minimum requirement. And that's to provide an additional 10-foot driveway in addition to Linehan Court's private way and allow for
parallel parking spaces along the building face. JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.

DAN ANDERSON: So those conform. And then
Building \#3 also has a nonconforming front yard setback at the private way, and then has -- is -- so about five and a half feet off of the property line, which would otherwise require 16 by calculation.

And then there is an encroachment of an upper balcony, which takes that down to -closer to I think it's 1.5 -- 1.3 feet. It may read as 0.3 there, but 16.2 is the calculated distance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
DAN ANDERSON: So you can see if we -- if I might just add -- if we applied the required setbacks to all these buildings, there would be -- we wouldn't be able to comply. I think maybe we could fit one. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So it seems that each of the three buildings requests relief of some type -- relative to setbacks.

DAN ANDERSON: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?
DAN ANDERSON: Yes. That is indeed correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And then if -- in your Sheet
L0.1, the site plan -- do you have parking within five feet of the buildings, the parallel parking?

DAN ANDERSON: Parallel parking is adjacent to the buildings.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. I guess that would -- that -- my apologies; that -- I think that there's a nuance to the parking requirement for one- and two-family structures.

I believe it says that it may be nearer than five feet. I'd have to look at the language there, but I don't think that we need relief for that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you have operable windows along those faces?

DAN ANDERSON: We have some operable windows along those faces, but I think that we can make them inoperable if that becomes an issue. But certainly that proximity is challenging.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And beside all your -- the issues with the required setbacks, can you just talk about the substantial hardship here?

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. So I appreciate it. The
hardship I think, which was already alluded to, is a very challenging site that the literal enforcement of the -- of all of the required even minimum setbacks, not just calculated setbacks -- and building separation distances would really prohibit the effective development of this, even at a fairly low density.

I think we were also looking -- well, I think from a hardship standpoint is really just that it's an unusual lot with a condition that's atypical of the zoning district, with four front yards and challenging geometries, and an irregularly shaped lot.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. That's all the questions I have now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, any questions of the petitioner at this time or comments?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No, not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, any comments, or questions?

JASON MARSHALL: You asked my question, Mr. Chair, about as of right use. I look forward to public comment. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy Leiserson, any
questions, or comments at this time?
WENDY LEISERSON: I think I share the question about the as of right development, and also on the hardship piece I'm having trouble understanding the hardship.

I mean, I do understand that this is an interesting lot to try to develop, but there -- you know, as a lot, there are many -- unless there's something the petitioner wants to explain more to me, what's making it difficult is to develop this lot with the program that you were asked to develop, as opposed to -- like there are other kinds of developments or options that wouldn't require this relief.

So I'm still trying to understand why this is a hardship.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. That's my only comment. In furtherance of that, it seems like there's an awful lot going okay. And, you know, is it maximizing the site?

And I know that it said that the bay zoning will allow for more units, but I'm not sure of that. You'd really have to do a whole analysis of the -- you know, number of units per square foot.

That's one measure, but then once you come into --
you know, height restrictions, setback restrictions and so on and so forth, I'm not sure if that base zoning number holds up. But at any rate, let me open it to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: "Mom"?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Momchil? Momchil?
MOMCHIL TOMOV: Yes. Momchil, yes. Hi.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. So I'm calling on behalf of -- so I'm one of the Trustees of one of the -- in one of the properties that's abutting --

THE REPORTER: Could you give your name for the record, please, your name and address?

MOMCHIL TOMOV: Yes, it's Momchil Tomov. The address is 25 Third Street, and I'm representing the HOA of 25-19 Third Street, so the two buildings. We're abutting the new development.

We actually just found out about this a few -couple weeks ago maybe -- from a neighbor. So apologies that, you know, some of the issues that I'm bringing up have already been discussed during those meetings. We were just not part of them.

So the concern is that -- about Linehan Court, that two-way -- that two-way sort of street that's meant to allow the neighbors on our street to access their parking spaces and their back yards. That -- for it to be a two-way street, this means we will have to do two things.

So one is that our trash bins right now are lined. We put them against the back wall of our building, which is right essentially on Linehan Court.

And right now, that's fine because there's enough space for cars to drive through the parking lot, essentially. But after this development, there will not be enough space essentially for a two-way traffic, and also for us to store our trash bins there.

And the issue is that really there's no other place where we can store the -- where we can keep Cityprovided trash bins.

And then the second issue is that this also is an
easement for our fire escape. And this has been an issue before where actually trucks, you know, or larger vehicles going through that -- through Linehan Court -- have hit the fire escape and have damaged it before.

So this has been an issue before, and we actually currently are actively looking to figure out how to prevent this. And I feel like essentially because -- restricting traffic to go right where a fire escape is is going to create more of an issue there so.

Yeah, those are our concerns, essentially.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Betty Saccoccio?
BETTY SACCOCCIO: I have some issues with them, with the presentation when it says that they have --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you could -- if you could introduce yourself for the record.

BETTY SACCOCCIO: Oh, I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Your name and address, please.
BETTY SACCOCCIO: Sure. My name is Betty
Saccoccio, and I live at 55 Otis Street in Cambridge. And I have some issues with the presenter asking about the private way as Linehan Court, and what a private way really is, and
how it has been used in the past.
This has not been used as a right of way for other people, other than the people on Gore Street. So my issue is basically that, and the fact that the buildings are in such a way that they're creating a fluster almost that in order to get to them, and where the parking is designed, makes it hard to reach. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Audrey Cunningham?
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Hi, can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Okay. First of all, I just wanted to ask if you received our letter from the abutters. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: You did?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: As a matter of fact it's in front of me. It was sent in today at 11:21.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Oh, right. Yeah. Well, yeah. It's -- yes, yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: It says all that we support the development with all those conditions. You see the
conditions we wrote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well --
THE REPORTER: Can you give your address, please?
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm at 49 Gore
Street, Cambridge.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let's see. Came in today
October 27, 11:21.
"Dear Members of the Board, this letter is in regard to the e-mail we forwarded to you about a commercial parking lot adjacent to the proposed --

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: No, that's the second one about the parking lot. Did you not get our original one? I sent it a couple of days ago.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the twenty-fourth? We have that one, yes.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: So the one --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: -- that details all the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That as the petitioner has
verbally promised and indicated --
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Correct. That's the one.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, okay. So if -- do you
want to speak to that, or do you want me to read it?
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: No, that's -- you can read it
if you like. I'm just -- you know, we just -- our main concern is that we have -- you know, all of those conditions are met, and that we have at least 20 feet.

Because, as it says in the letter, I believe that -- you know, for years we've been using more than 35 feet -I mean, I've been here 75 years and my mother before me -and we've been able to use that area so we can access our back yards. Because we can't -- we need to -- and that is why the developer agreed to give us at least 20 feet, so we could get into our back yards -- you know, swing our car around and back into our back yards.

So -- but you can read each condition if you like.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I will read it into the record.
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Great.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Toward the end of public comment, yeah.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Yes.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jean Spera?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Who was it?

OLIVIA RATAY: Jean.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jean? You may be on mute.
Maybe we'll go to somebody else?
Somebody wants to speak? Yeah.
OLIVIA RATAY: Marie Saccoccio?
MARIE SACCOCCIO: Good evening. Marie Saccoccio, 55 Otis Street in Cambridge. I'm an attorney, and I actually have looked into this project and consulted with Audrey Cunningham and a few others.

This truly -- Linehan Court was truly intrical to this entire block. 18 Winter Street, 18 to whatever, 20 something, they were all housing. And they would be three [Zoom glitch] deep. That's where that -- the present parking lot is.

That was fenced off permanently probably 50 years ago.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mm-hm.
MARIE SACCOCCIO: And probably can dig out some photos to prove that. The fence was continuous -- in fact, it had three rows of barbed wire above the chain-link fence. So it truly was meant so that no one would be passing through either way.

So whatever easement rights that particularly property owner seems to claim, it was abandoned at least 50 years ago.

As far as my memory is, there was a building once on the corner of Winter and Third. It was probably a threestory brick. The ground level was a bar. It had a bocci yard in the back. Why I say that is Linehan Court was pretty much wide open.

So it was easy access for whoever, you know, had easement rights there. It was easy access and safe access.

And what $I$ was most concerned about with this particular project is $I$ understand it's probably better, because it doesn't have curb cuts surrounding the entire corner. But Building Number 2 is pretty close to the sidewalk so the visibility getting in and out of Linehan Court is not great. I think there's a blind spot there. And that really did concern me.

I understand why -- I mean, I understand why they've positioned the different buildings and -- you know, people do want parking today. So I understand that.

But I also went over Audrey's conditions, and I believe that the present owner has agreed that they would be
essentially caretakers of the easement for snow removal and
-- you know, upkeep.
If this is approved tonight, I would like that to
be memorialized so that if there's a new owner next year, that that follows with the deed and with the special permit. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jean Spera?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's it?
OLIVIA RATAY: I guess so.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's the sum and substance of the call-ins.

Let me go back to Audrey Cunningham's letter dated October 24.
"We, the resident abutters support the petitioner's submission under the following conditions, and we request that the Board approve or be contingent on the following conditions in accordance with the site plan and landscape plans included in the application.
"First condition, that as the petitioner has verbally promised and indicated in the current plan dated $07 / 21 / 22$ and given that for more than 70 years, the resident
owners who abut the proposed development have freely used the currently existing open space of approximately 35 feet: There will remain at a minimum a 20 -foot easement in order for abutters to easily access their driveways located at the rear of the property.
"Number 2, that the petitioner be fully responsible for all upkeep -- snow and ice removal, trash and any other maintenance that may be necessary for the properties' appearance and safety.
"Any and all usage of the roadway for commercial purposes must be prohibited, except as is necessary for deliveries, trash removal relative to the convenience, necessity, and well-being of the residents who live in the buildings and all abutting resident owners.
"That documented approval by the City Fire, Police, Traffic and all other safety organizations be granted before commencement of any and all excavations and building activity.
"That during excavation and construction, the abutter be fully responsible for diligent loading control of the entire area.
"That during excavation and construction,
residents are allowed to freely enter their property without obstruction.
"That the petitioner be required to install and maintain a fence along the edge of the private way abutting the commercial parking lot on Winter Street in order to prevent vehicles from accessing the private way.
"That the petitioner will reasonably consider input from abutters regarding the aesthetics or the materials to be used on the outside of the buildings."

In response -- some of these are outside of the purview and jurisdiction of the Zoning Board -- that the approvals by the various agencies are proforma and require that before a building permit can be issued, that the maintaining of fences and rodent control and protection from the adjoining properties is all -- again -- part of Inspectional Services' jurisdiction.

The easement we will get into at some point.
There is correspondence from Joe Rose dated October 19.
"To the Board of Zoning Appeal, thank you for taking the time to view the neighborhood proposals. I continue to be excited to see that there has been progress
to develop the valuable parcel of land at the corner of Third and McGrath, as a gateway parcel to the East Cambridge neighborhood.
"As it currently stands, I am opposed to this petition.

Lack of Required Hardship: The petition does not show the required hardship in developing the parcel as is.

Detriment to the Public Good: The petition is in a designated business district (BA) and as such should contain some retail component to benefit the community and public good.

Excessive Heights: I was disappointed to see that the plans have done nothing to reduce the towering heights of this building in context with the abutting properties. If the developer decides to keep these as residences, then the heights should be lowered to meet the ordinance height restrictions of $35^{\prime}$ to better match the area. There is no need for those to be over 45' tall residences, aside from adding a single bedroom on the top floor. Inadequate Setbacks: The proposal does not meet nearly any of the setback requirements on all sides, and actually this revised plan further shrinks the distance for the 10 Winter

Street neighbor from the first proposed of 11'2" down to 8'0" (Required is 11'6").

Mechanicals: Sheet A2.1-A2.2 Proposed elevations don't include mechanicals, which as we have seen in the past could detrimentally add to the overall height.
"In a neighborhood tight with housing and lacking in needed retail, this large parcel represents an opportunity to add several units with retail, not simply six large scale homes. As it is currently presented, I remain opposed to this proposal.
"Joe Rose on Spring Street."
There is correspondence from -- oh, Audrey Cunningham, which I have previously read. There is correspondence from Virginia Balordi -- B-a-l-o-r-d-i.
"I live at 16 Third Street, directly across from Dunkin' Donuts parking lot. I would like to bring to your attention the problem that the traffic from the parking lot at 18 Winter has caused. There are cars constantly cutting through the parking lot, causing a lot of traffic on Third Street.
"Also, I park in the driveway adjacent to Dunkin' Donuts parking lot and pedestrians constantly walk in back
of my car as I'm backing into my parking space. I've come very close to hitting them as they cut through the lot without paying attention.
"I've lived here for over 50 years, and there's always been a fence separating the two lots. Taking down that fence has caused a big problem. Hopefully, this problem can be remedied.
"Sincerely,
Virginia Balordi
16 Third Street."

There is correspondence from Phil -- Mr. Phil Smith on behalf of 18 WS Property, LLC.
"Please accept this e-mail in and the attached letter of support for the proposed project at 8 Winter Street in East Cambridge. 18 WRS property LLC is an abutter and supports the proposed use and density."

And a letter from Phil Smith and Sharmil S. Modi -- S-h-a-r-m-i-l S. Modi, M-o-d-i.

And another correspondence from Audrey Cunningham referencing the earlier.

And Mr. Tomov has sent in correspondence, but he spoke to that.

That is the sum and substance of the correspondence. I will close the public comment part and send it back to the petitioner.

The only thing, Dan, you said you've had a number of neighborhood meetings. Also did the Planning Board review this also?

DAN ANDERSON: Yes, they did. And were generally supportive. I was hoping that they were -- when I met with them last and we made adjustments based on their comments and input, we were -- we included bicycle parking, which was not of a Band Aided requirement, but was seen as beneficial.

We added some fencing and privacy to block some of the view of the two internal parking spaces from Third Street.

And in that Planning meeting, also had extensive conversations with Traffic and Parking, who were very supportive of this and felt that the overall traffic patterns were beneficial.

They liked the location and widening of a -- or definition of a curb cut at Linehan Court.

They were looking for -- were appreciative of the width there, notwithstanding the fire escape. They felt
that that was adequate and sufficient for emergency vehicle egress to I think even the comment in one of the letters about the traffic background out with the -- you know, essentially long section of no curb with -- I think there was six or seven at least head-in parking spaces that have to back out into Third Street. The comments were generally very supportive.

So I don't know if there's any correspondence or -- since they would have put eyes on this before the hearing. But as is, we were in front of them twice -- in front of East Cambridge Planning Team twice.

The comment came -- did come up then about the inclusion of retail space. We had looked at that with the owner early on and it was difficult to, you know, add the additional parking.

I don't mean to make this all about parking; I know that we've got a recent change about parking requirements for these projects, but from the -- you know, from an alternative development proposal, which I don't have an as of right proposal to show you for a large multifamily building.

But it's pretty clear that that would -- could
very well be constrained by setbacks as well and would be a housing type that in all our discussions with the neighbors just added a lot of bodies and a lot of traffic, and not necessarily long-term residents. So we really --

OLIVIA RATAY: Mm-hm.
DAN ANDERSON: -- hopefully not myopically but
focused on this program with six ownership family-sized units.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I guess what troubles me to some extent is the lack of correspondence from the Planning Board, and also East Cambridge. And I -- you know, usually they're very active and proactive. And we also welcome and respect their comments.

And I would have liked to have seen something from the East Cambridge Planning Team correspondence, and some kind of guidance in -- you know, giving their thoughts, because we always respect their opinion.

DAN ANDERSON: Sure, I don't --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The other thing that concerns me is the use of Linehan Court, and also that private way and the historic use of that, and the ability of the adjoining properties to -- you know, to verse over that have
use of that. And now that is called into question.
And what is the legal status of the Court, and also the private way? Are there not easements granted to any of the adjoining properties or not?

DAN ANDERSON: So the -- they are not. It's very ambiguous. There's no documentation in any of the abutting deeds of -- and so in other words the property line does not extend to the center line of the private way. The actual deeds just grant use and access to those private ways.

And as you have in your file, the letters are all coming from the abutters and users of that private way. I think the only concern that was raised was the use of the -was it 25 or 20 -- that has trash cans there.

And I'm not sure that that's -- was ever intended as the use of that Linehan Court.

But what we ended up with in conversations with neighbors and looked at this with our own traffic studies and in conversation with Traffic and Parking was giving an additional 10 feet of travel, making that effectively two lane.

The, you know, the history of the site is one of parking lot that people basically freely traverse at -- you
know, at will. And this regulates that. It is the intention of the developer to put that maintenance and, you know, increasing width of Linehan Court into perpetuity.

So, you know, I think a lot of the conversations in this have really been about use and access, and traffic and safety. And I think, as I mentioned before, we went from a four-building scheme of eight units down to six, and I think the abutters were appreciative of that.

There is a lot of concern, as you heard from some of the public, about the use of the access of the parking lot to that private way. There was a fence that was removed, and traffic went through. I think this current proposal is accommodating. At the very least, it does not impinge on the use and access of the private ways that have been historically there to the extent that it's possible.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim Monteverde, any
comments?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. No further comments than the ones I made before. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Matina Williams, any comments?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Sorry. My mouse was not moving.

I don't have any comments at this time. I do agree with the comments that were made by the Board.

And I do have an issue with the -- not having the setbacks, especially coming out. I think one of the neighbors had said that the -- to come out, you're really going to have to come out far to see around the building. So that's a little concerning for me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
MATINA WILLIAMS: I understand, because the congestion in Cambridge and somebody zooming around the corner --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
MATINA WILLIAMS: -- and you're sticking out there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
MATINA WILLIAMS: That's it, though.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Yeah. Now, Jason
Marshall any comments?
JASON MARSHALL: Yes. Yeah, a few things, Mr.
Chair. And Dan, thanks for a thoughtful presentation. And it's clear that there's been a lot of advance work that went into this that we obviously haven't had visibility into.

But I agree with the Chair that it really would have been helpful to have something in the file from the Planning Board and East Cambridge Planning Team as well. So I'll start there.

But getting into the issue around the easements and rights of way, $I$ feel a lot of hesitance about waiting into wading into those issues. You know, as a Board, we're not equipped to verify who has what private rights over land or not.

I understand the request from neighbors to condition any approval on maintaining rights of way, and it's a completely understandable request. I'm just not sure that's really within the scope of authority of this Board to do that. Those really are issues between land -- property owners and other property owners.

And in my experience, those issues would be resolved privately and at times filed with the Registry, so that it can be tracked over time.

I don't know that we have -- it's really in our scope of authority to do that, and then moreover, as I noted, even if we could, it's -- it makes me uncomfortable to be somehow providing a municipal order that could
interfere with those kinds of rights.
Separately from that, in public comment there was a note about the height of the building and the need to increase the height. And that's something that I pause on as well. And also, like Wendy, I do have some questions about the hardship. And maybe she'll explore that more.

That's all I have for now.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Sort of maybe the devil's advocate on the use of Linehan Court and whatever, but I think you're exactly correct; that in the absence of any documents granting access or easement to adjoining properties, that it would exceed out authority to acquire it.

And that would be onerous, I think, and Draconian to the property rights of the owner of the proposal to impose that. And I think that would exceed our authority. So you're correct on that, Jason.

Wendy, what are your thoughts? And --
WENDY LEISERSON: I agree with a lot of what my fellow Board members have expressed. I was actually scouring the Registry of Deeds to see if I could come up with -- just to satisfy my own curiosity about the ownership
of Linehan Court -- and I did see some City documents and tax title documents.

I have not put it all together yet to -- and as Jason said, it's not really my role -- but it does look like there are some documents that might -- the owners might want to look at to see if they actually do have any existing rights to use of that private way, and whether that private way is actually one of the private ways owned by the City or owned actually privately, to settle this dispute.

With regard to hardship, again, I mean I think this is a -- you know, it comes down to the legal issue. And, you know, Dan, you've obviously put together a very thoughtful proposal.

You've obviously -- you know, spent a lot of time, you and the owners, meeting with neighbors and so I commend all of that. And I'm just bound by the question of has the legal requirement of hardship been satisfied?

And that requirement to me does not -- it's not interpreted as if $I$ want to build a building $X$ size, but can't because of the rules, there's a hardship, right? Like, that's not how it is. So it's about what does this parcel allow you to build?

And I'm not trying to be disrespectful of your -the aesthetics that you've put in here or, you know, of the economics either of the situation or anything. It just comes down to has that legal requirement been satisfied? And I still am struggling with that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I guess I don't know, I'm just troubled by -- that they've met the standard for the hardship as in the proposal, and I guess I would have liked to have seen an as of right solution, and why we can't build it to that as of right. And that we need some relief from the ordinance in order to make this a viable -- now "viable" obviously, what does that mean?

I guess it probably comes down to dollars and cents -- and so, you've got your acquisition costs, you've got all your other sort of costs -- engineering, architectural, legal -- yadayadayada, so on and so forth, and then you've got your hard costs, which are -- obviously -- construction and then at the end of the day, whatever's left over is whatever is worth our effort. And I guess that's sort of the big question mark is, you know, what makes this thing financially viable?

And, again, I'm troubled by the amount of
requested relief. And I agree it's a challenging site. The Dunkin' Donuts, which I've frequented often, is challenging getting in and out of there, and people coming up -- cars coming up McGrath Highway.

And it's just -- this here will obviously calm the entire area, the retail component of it. I'm not totally satisfied that that would be necessary. And retail, to be honest with you, is not very viable these days. Everybody sort of would like to have a nice -- you know, maybe coffee shop or whatever it may be, you know, that you can walk to or something.

But mom-and-pop or whatever, just not -- tends to be not viable anymore, as you can -- all you have to do is ride around the city and see all these, "For Rent" signs in all of what were retail establishments.

So that set aside, I don't know, Dan. I'm just --
DAN ANDERSON: Appreciate it. Appreciate the thoughts, Mr. Chair. Maybe I can make a suggestion, which is because there's been very productive conversations between the ownership of the property and abutters, I think that they're clearly looking for assurances that could -that are outside of your jurisdiction, and that could easily
be satisfied.
I think that there's a little bit of a chicken and egg there, which is I'm not sure that the owners want to deed additional easement if the project isn't moving forward. That would not work particularly well.

So if -- on that particular light, it might be, you know, enough that there are agreements made that are on record that solidify that it is in fact the intention of the owners to do that.

But we could also take the time to present an -and as of right, maybe not as fully developed as this, but certainly something that had a unit count, and -- you know, similar zoning analysis as we get on $21.1 .1,1.2$ and the preliminary landscape plan such as we're looking at on L1.0 that would give the Board a sense of what was there.

I think that it's likely where retail is probably a nonstarter, office is likely not such a likely piece. And at 7500 square feet. We're not talking about a large property here.

And a 45-foot-high, you know, four-story apartment building would have, you know, a number of very small rental apartment units. And the feeling was that was really not so
much in keeping with the neighborhood.
But it would also give us the opportunity to have the East Cambridge Planning Team and the City Planning Staff weigh in and potentially write a letter.

So that -- if I could ask for a continuance and the ability to navigate some of those right of way easement pieces, if there are some documents that we haven't been able to pull up, I'd certainly appreciate some direction as to where I might find better documentation of the actual ownership of those right of ways, I would appreciate that as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think that would be helpful for me, anyhow, and give me more of a comfort zone.

And do other members of the Board agree that a continuance and allow the petitioner an opportunity to number one, go through the letters that are in the file also? You're probably well-versed with all of the meetings. But also, I would like to see something from the East Cambridge Planning Team. That to me is very helpful. Other members of the Board agree with that? Jim?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Mr. Chair. Oh, sorry, this is Jason Marshall. Just want to echo your sentiment and
appreciate the approach that Dan laid out. Thank you, Dan. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay. And also, I think that the other component would be the special permit of the height going into the residential zone. If you could sort of look at that a second time. The -- we have 10/1 -- I'm sorry, say we have $12 / 01$ or $12 / 15$ or January 12 available. So what -- how soon do you want to come back? So it's December 1, December 15, or January 12, Dan?

DAN ANDERSON: I think that -- I would love to come back sooner, but I'm thinking that December 15 is probably the more reasonable time with --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: December 15?
DAN ANDERSON: Are Board members available?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, that's the next thing.
Jim, are you available on the fifteenth?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, 12/15/22?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes. I'm available.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, December 15?
JASON MARSHALL: Yes. That works.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Wendy? December 15?
WENDY LEISERSON: Just checking. Yes. I am
available.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to December 15, 2022, on the condition that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing -- do you have one already?

DAN ANDERSON: No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. This is the first time this has been heard. So I would ask that you sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered, and that such waiver be in the file no later than a week from tonight. And you can get that obviously from Maria or from Olivia, and sign it and send it back to them.

That you change the posting sign to reflect the new date of December 15, 2022, and the time of 6:00 p.m.

Any new submittals not currently in the file be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the December 15, 2022 meeting.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five -- the affirmative
votes of five members, this matter is continued to December 15, 2022. See you then.

DAN ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board for your input. See you then.
(8:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 195058 -- 245 Hampshire Street.

Morris? 245 Hampshire Street?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Morris?

MORRIS SCHOPF: Yes. Hello.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Hi. Introduce yourself for the record.

MORRIS SCHOPF: My name is Morris Schopf. I'm the architect for the Chabad of Cambridge. We have a small matter that requires relief at 245 Hampshire Street. It's a mixed-use building consisting of a one-story structure and a three-story structure in the Business A Zone.

Over time, the Chabad has changed the use of and renovated the one-story building in the back for a day care facility and has renovated without changing the use of the second floor, and the third floor of the three-story
buildings. The second floor is an office. The third floor is a residence. The first floor is currently a restraint and seclusion.

We applied for a permit -- if we could go to the last exhibit in my package -- perfect.

So we applied for and received a permit for a roof deck, which is permitted by the right, which is shown in this drawing at the second floor on the roof of the onestory day care facility.

When that building was renovated, the roof was reinforced so that the roof deck, which is allowed by right, could be constructed.

But the Zoning Officer pointed out that because the existing non-conforming building has a zero lot line at the rear, where there are no structures -- there is a parking lot behind the building -- that we had to maintain the 20-foot rear setback.

So because of the obvious hardship of the strange shape of the building and the existing non-conforming conditions, we have come to ask for relief from the rear setback to extend the roof to the perimeter of the existing. That is our only request.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Morris, who uses the deck?
MORRIS SCHOPF: The occupants of the office on the second floor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And so, the restaurant on the first floor has no access to it?

MORRIS SCHOPF: No access. No access.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So the only ones that have access to it are the offices on the second floor?

MORRIS SCHOPF: And the -- and by the nature of the rear stairway, the apartment on the third floor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that would be their only outdoor space?

MORRIS SCHOPF: That would be their only outdoor space, yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
MORRIS SCHOPF: So it's really valuable open space on a pretty tight commercial lot. And the additional roof deck would be a real amenity. I don't think there's any question that it would be -- have an adverse effect on the community, and it would certainly have a beneficial effect for the existing uses in the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The second floor business, what
type of business is it?
MORRIS SCHOPF: It's used by the Chabad as an
office.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, okay.
MORRIS SCHOPF: And the third floor is occupied by
a Chabad employee, Mindy Rankin and his wife.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. And let me open it to the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Just one. Is there any outreach
and any commentary from neighbors and abutters?
MORRIS SCHOPF: I don't believe so.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, there is.
MORRIS SCHOPF: Oh, is there?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
MORRIS SCHOPF: Okay. I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
MORRIS SCHOPF: I didn't look at the file, so I
don't know.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. So I will --
MORRIS SCHOPF: Maybe can we review that now?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, yes. There is
correspondence from Archana -- A-r-c-h-a-n-a Venkararamanam
(phonetic) -- again, sorry for butchering this. Last name: Venkataraman. Came in on Friday, October 21 to Maria Pacheco.
"This e-mail concerns the petition for a variance at 245 Hampshire Street to extend a permitted roof deck to the property line, BZA No. 195058.
"My husband and I are the owners and occupiers of 22 Springfield Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, which lies directly behind 245 Hampshire Street, and would be affected by the proposed roof deck expansion. We would like to formally object to the proposed variance on the grounds of privacy, potential disturbance, and limited hardship for the petitioner. I have attached a letter of our objection for your records, along with a map of the area.
"Please let me know if you require any other information. It is unlikely that we can attend the public hearing next Thursday, so I want to ensure that our objection letter is on record. I will also follow up with your office by phone next week."

And there is attached a plot plan and with more detail that -- more detailed explanation. They would like to formally object to the proposed variance. The reasons
are as follows: Privacy.
"The roof in question looks directly into our master bedroom and into our private, fenced back yard. The proposed expansion would greatly impact our use and enjoyment of our primary residence and property.
"We also note that 245 Hampshire Street is a mixed-use commercial residential building. Thus, not only would we have to contend with residents being able to view into our home, but with the patrons of any businesses being able to do so as well. Ultimately, the latter would be to anyone in the general public.
"Potential disturbances: as noted above, 245 Hampshire Street is a mixed-use building. Particularly during the warmer months, the neighborhood would be subject to noise and other disturbances associated with the commercial occupants. Depending upon the tenant, this scenario could impact our right to quiet enjoyment of our property.
"Limited hardship for the petitioner: The existing permitted roof is 900 square feet, which provides considerable outdoor space for the building's residents. Due to the roof shape, the proposed extension will provide
only a modest increase in seating space. This is reflected in the submitted plans, which show limited additional seats within the rear setback. We argue that such capacity can be achieved by a better layout of the existing permitted roof.
"In short, we believe that this variance would have a negative impact on our property, with only modest gains for the petitioner.
"We would ask the Board of Zoning Appeal to especially consider our loss of privacy when rendering a decision about whether to approve the variance."

And that is the sum of the correspondence.
So that is -- to answer your question, Jim, yes, that's the correspondence.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else, Jim, at this

```
point, or --
```

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Come back to you later.
Matina, any comments or questions at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, any comments or
questions?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I guess just a brief comment following up on the letter from the neighbor, and certainly the applicant -- or perhaps the representative can respond.

But to me it does raise some concerns about whether or not the proposed hardship is then, and its impact -- the proposed project's impact on the neighbor as well. It would be probably helpful to have a response to that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Morris, you can think about that, and we'll get back to you anyhow.

Wendy Leiserson, any comments or questions for the petitioner?

WENDY LEISERSON: I share Jason's question about hardship.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
WENDY LEISERSON: That's all.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to any additional public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says,
"Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
OLIVIA RATAY: Maria?
MARIA FERNANDEZ: Yes. I actually don't have the
--
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- if you could --
MARIA FERNANDEZ: -- that my parents do. My
parents own the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- sorry --
MARIA FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- if you could state your name and address for the record?

MARIA FERNANDEZ: I'm Maria Fernandez, and I'm calling from my parents, who live right behind the building at 14-16 Springfield Street.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
MARIA FERNANDEZ: And I agree with the next-door neighbor; this is going to disrupt. It's not going to be quiet anymore. Right now it's already really noisy at the neighborhood and adding this extra roof we don't know what they're going to use the roof for.

If it's going to be parties, that's going to
increase the noise level. There's not going to be any
privacy for my parents, who are in their 70 s and live at the location.

And we don't know if -- what type of fence they're going to use, if there's things that are going to be flowing from the top of the roof into the property. And then we are responsible for cleaning up anything that flies over.

That's my concern, and I agree they should extend the roof all the way to the property line.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you for calling in.

MARIA FERNANDEZ: You're welcome.
OLIVIA RATAY: Archana Venkataraman?

ARCHANA VENKATARAMAN: Hi. Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. If you'd state your name and address for the record, please?

ARCHANA VENKATARAMAN: Okay. My name is Archana Venkataraman. I submitted the letter. My husband and I are the owners of 22 Springfield Street.

So I just wanted to follow up on my letter. Most of it is mentioned within the text of it that you just read.

I will note that there was very limited outreach to the community. So we purchased 22 Springfield Street as
a family home for us over a year ago. And the first time we heard about this petition for a variance is when we received the flyer in the mail. So I don't think that there was very much outreach done in order to make sure that the neighbors that were abutting the properties -- and there are a few residential properties on that street -- were in favor of it; whether there was any sort of alternative or compromise that could be reached.

And so, again, I -- my husband and I are sort of objecting to this, and we think that further conversations are needed in order to ensure our privacy.

And like the other neighbor said to make sure that we're not unduly burdened by a commercial building having such a large expansion so close to our properties.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you.
Anybody else? I don't see anybody calling in, so I will close the public comment part of the hearing.

Morris, let me read something. And again, the Board is well aware of this, and it sort of hangs out there. And -- but we need to consider that in a landmark case, Blackman v. the Board of Appeals of Barnstable, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court has stated "This Court
has said repeatedly that the power to vary the application of a Zoning Ordinance must be sparingly exercised, and only in rare instances, and under exceptional circumstances peculiar in their nature, and with due regard to the main purpose of a Zoning Ordinance, which is to preserve the property rights of others."

And I think, as you've heard, is that the abutters are basically asking us to protect their property rights to privacy, to noise, and to an undue intrusion into the quality of their enjoyment of their structure.

So I'm troubled by the expansion of the deck and, as I think that somebody had said, that there was an office there, and people on the third floor probably use it -obviously at various and different times.

I guess my feeling is that the existing deck may very well be sufficient, and that the proposed expansion may be unwarranted at this time. So that is my thought on it.

MORRIS SCHOPF: Yes. And I respect your opinion, and those of your colleagues. And I think since my client seems not to be present, that it would be best, rather than withdrawing the application, to continue it and allow my client to have conversations with the abutters, which would
be appropriate, and then either to abandon the application or to come back with mitigation that is appropriate to their concerns.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's fine. Other members of the Board, do you agree with that request by the petitioner? Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: I would vote to support a continuance, yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I think a continuance is
warranted; however, I would say it's not just about pleasing the neighbors -- that the petitioner still needs to show the hardship.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. All right. So I think, Morris, that you can digest all that you have heard, and then have discussions and then come back to us.

MORRIS SCHOPF: Yes. Thank you all very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So the open date would be

December 15? I believe that the Board has stated that we are available on the fifteenth. So December 15 would be the continued date. So I would --

MORRIS SCHOPF: Well --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep, sorry.
MORRIS SCHOPF: Can we just continue it to the January meeting?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It would be January 12.
MORRIS SCHOPF: Can we continue it to January 12?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me poll the Board. Jim
Monteverde, are you available on the twelfth?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I will be available, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, January 12?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I will be available.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, January 12?
JASON MARSHALL: It's open right now, yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sort of getting out there in the distance but -- and I will be available. So on the motion, then, to continue this matter to January 12, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the
posting sign to reflect the new date of November 17, 2022 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

That any changes to the current petition that's in the file -- any changes be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered thereof, and that such waiver be in the file by 5:00 p.m. one week from tonight.

And you can obtain that, Morris, by either contacting Olivia or Maria, and they will send it to you, sign it, and send it back.

MORRIS SCHOPF: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That the posting sign be
changed to reflect the new date of January -- I lost it already -- January 12, 2023 at 6:00 p.m.

That such sign be maintained for at least 14 days prior to the January 12 date.

And that any new submittals be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the January 12 hearing.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the matter is continued. Also, the correspondence is available to you, Morris, and they can -- you can view it online or request anything through the Department Staff.

MORRIS SCHOPF: Okay. We'll look at it online tomorrow. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Thank you. MORRIS SCHOPF: Goodnight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight. Thank you.
(8:20 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 194724 -- 91-93 Chilton Street.

JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: Hi, can you hear us? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: Yeah, hi. My name is
Jennah Epstein-Santoyo. This is my husband, Alejandro
Epstein-Santoyo. This is my mom, Rozann Kraus and my dad, Dan Epstein.

DAN EPSTEIN: We're here.
JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: And we're here with our architect, Doug Okun, as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The whole family. Good. It's a full court.

JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: And we collectively live at 91-93 Chilton Street. So we're here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's like a full court press tonight.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: And our family has been living here in this house for a little under 40 years. I grew up here. We have our two kids that are being babysat by our friends downstairs. So we have three generations.

And currently my husband and I and our two kids live on the first floor, and the hopeful plan is that my parents will move downstairs -- they're on the second floor -- they'll move downstairs; we will move upstairs, and we're trying to build up.

Right now our two kids have a very small room that they share and several other --

ROZANN KRAUS: We should be on the first floor.
JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: Yes, and it makes more sense for our parents, for health reasons, to be on the first floor. And our current roof -- so I'm sorry, we're here for a special permit. And that is just because the -our FAR is already over -- it's already non-conforming.

And so because of that, we're requesting a special permit so that we can -- I guess the proposed construction will not create any new non-conformities. So hence the special permit. I'm sorry, I totally butchered that.

ROZANN KRAUS: We need to raise the roof.
JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: Yeah, so we need to raise
our roof, because our current roof is a hip roof, and it comes in at four sides, and there's like hardly any space that's over five feet. So we are hoping to build a new gable roof and have two 15' dormers, one on either side.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by members of the Board? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I just have one question.
Looking at the drawing A1- I'm sorry, A-01, the proposed third floor. I see the two dormers is on either side of that floor plan. The stair that's going down, is that all contained within the new roof pitch?

JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So that doesn't require a dormer
to -- for head room or anything else?
JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions at this time. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, any questions?

JASON MARSHALL: That was an excellent presentation. I have no questions.

JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: Totally butchered the FAR thing, and everything--

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Took your words away. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No, I was actually going to say what Jason said too. I thought it was a very clear explanation. So you have another career in front of you, but thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sort of be afraid to comment on that after that. And I have nothing further to add. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence dated 10/24/2022.
"This letter is in support of the proposed special permit for 91-93 Chilton Street. We live on Alpine Street
behind the Epstein-Santoyo house -- family -- and we have known them for decades. We strongly support these renovations -- anything to keep these wonderful people in Cambridge."

JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: [Laughter]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: "They are great neighbors, always ready to help out with shoveling and bringing in groceries whenever we ask.
"It is -- it makes absolute sense to me for Dan and Rozann, the grandparents, to move down to the first floor and for Alejandro and Jennah and their kids to take over the second floor and build up for more space with their growing family.
"I know their current attic ceiling is way too low for any living space, and the images of the proposed new roof and dormers look great.
"Please reach out to us if you need any further information."
"Norma Finklestein
32 Alpine
and
Jessica Wier -- W-i-e-r

30 Alpine Street."
We are in receipt of correspondence from Terry
Drucker -- D-r-u-c-k-e-r, Drucker -- Drucker?
"Dear Zoning Board, we enthusiastically support the petition by the Epstein-Kraus family for permission to expand into the attic.
"We live at 88 Chilton Street across from the subject property. Our house is almost a duplicate of theirs, so we are familiar with some of the issues they are facing.
"When our second child came, we felt forced to convert the two-family to a single. The second floor is just too small for a family of four.
"As they will surely point out, the present roofline of their house does not permit the creation of living space.
"One last reason for our support: this family is a wonderful asset for our community at the Vassal end of Chilton Street; many seniors on our block; at 70, we are on the younger side. Jennah and Alejandro are always looking to help us and our neighbors with car problems, snow, groceries, etc.
"We hope they can continue on Chilton Street for many years to come."

I will close public comment, and the only comment I would have is with the wonderful presentation with the glowing report from your neighbors and how can we not keep this wonderful family in the neighborhood? So that is my comment.

JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: Nice to hear.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else to add at all?
No? Nothing more to add? No? Okay.
JENNAH EPSTEIN-SANTOYO: From us? No. Sorry. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Are we ready for a motion? Members of the Board?

COLLECTIVE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the requested relief as a special permit under 8.22.d. I'm just sorry I don't live at that end of Chilton Street.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]

In all districts, the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a pre-existing dimensionally non-conforming, detached
single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such pre-existing, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a pre-existing dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does find -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, it appears that the requested relief will meet the requirements of the Ordinance.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or
development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the City.

The Board finds that, in fact, that the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants would be greatly enhanced by the addition of the two dormers, and it would allow the family a much greater upgrade to their living facilities and would be an asset to anybody who occupies that unit.

And the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to expand their conditions to upgrade their living conditions to make it far more energyefficient, number one -- also to allow better circulation, and to allow for a growing family to stay in the city.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the relief. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the relief.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor. [All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The only condition I would add
is that the work conform to the drawings initialed by the Chair, the supporting statement, and the dimensional form. Special permit granted. Good luck. COLLECTIVE: Thank you so much. ROZANN KRAUS: Go Cambridge!
(8:30 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 191571 -- 44 May Street.

YAO WU: Hello?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
YAO WU: Hello. Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hi. Yao Wu?
YAO WU: Hi. Hi. Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you could just introduce yourself for the record?

YAO WU: Hi good morning. My name is Yao Wu. I'm the owner of 44 Main Street. And I'm joined tonight by my son, Andy. He is 8 years old. He's a third-grader at Martin Luther King Junior School.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. As you may be aware and had conversation with the Commissioner and also Staff, that we require -- because this is a condominium --

YAO WU: Mm-hm.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- that you receive approval of the other condominium owners for us in order to consider -number one -- or grant any variance that you're being requested.

And the issue, Ms. Wu, is actually that the other owners have to agree to the request before we can even consider.

So I don't want to open it up to the nature of what you're asking for, the merits of it. It's just that this is a requirement that the Board imposes -- that any condominium development, any condo owner produce and provide approval from the other condo owners.

And so, we're going to have to continue this matter forward, and you're going to have to produce those letters of approval.

YAO WU: Thank you very much for the instruction. I did hear that and appreciate the guidance from the Inspection Staff, Olivia, Maria, and Commissioner Ranjit for guiding me through this process.

I'm just -- if you allow me just a few minutes to give -- so the grounds of this petition. I am -- I deeply respect your commitment and judgment on this issue, and --
you know, regardless of the result. I just wanted to take a few minutes to present my case here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, yeah. I mean, it really doesn't -- there's no really discussion that we need to get into, because it's very simple that you have to produce the letters of approval.

I -- you know, I think you may have corresponded with the Commissioner that the other condo owners have not sent in any letters in opposition, but on the same token that we need letters of approval, and so we just cannot go forward with this.

I don't want to get into any further discussion on it, because then it gets into the -- the realm of a case heard, which means that then all five members of the Board have to be reassembled.

So I'm going to make a motion, then, to continue this matter. And you're going to have to produce those letters of support and then come back to us with -- you know, and then state your case as to the -- why you need this relief. You understand that?

YAO WU: Yeah. I understand, I understand that. And I'd like to just take one minute to explain why I'm
still making this petition.
I already understand there's little hope for the permit to be granted. But I'm still hoping to take a few minutes to just tell my story here, and -- you know, I do not want this to extend into a continuance and you can just make a decision right after my -- my story.

And that's totally fine, I accept the results.
So I do not think after this session that this will take further of anyone -- the time of anyone here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: She wants to go forward with it? Yeah, well, you can't. Well, again, I -- we can't go forward with it. We cannot hear the case tonight. So that's -- I just can't do it. There are -- this is a legal proceeding, and that we cannot hear it because that is one of the requirements that we have.

And so, the application has a defect in it, and without those letters of agreement for your application -for your request for a variance -- we cannot hear the case. I mean, this is a standard procedure that we have, and the Law Department has -- even has substantiated that and has affirmed that over time.

So I can't let you go forward. And I'm not going
to go forward anymore with this.
So I will continue the matter, and the next available would be December 1. So let me poll the members of the Board. Jim Monteverde, are you available on December 1?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, are you available on December 1?

JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, if it's not heard, I don't think you have to have the same composition.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's right. I'm thinking of -- you're correct. Yeah, you're correct. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter until December 1, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that -- and again, you have to listen carefully now -- on the condition that you change the existing sign to reflect December 1, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

That the sign has to maintained for at least 14 days prior to the December 1 hearing.

Also going to ask you if there's any new submittals -- and there will have to be, because you're going to have to get those letters from the other condo owners -- that they be in the file by 5:00 p.m. prior to the

December 1 hearing.
And that I'm going to ask that you sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered thereof.

And that such waiver, which can be obtained through Staff -- either Maria or Olivia can send it to you and ask that you sign it and send it back to them. That that waiver be in the file by 5:00 p.m. a week from tonight.

All right. Is there any part of that that you're not familiar with or didn't understand?

So, again, I'm -- we're going to continue it.
JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, I think I really appreciate your instructions, it's just for Ms. Wu, it sounds like you've been in touch with the Building Department, and if you do have questions about the instructions, you can reach out to them tomorrow about that.

YAO WU: I do not have any questions. I'm just going to -- I just want to say that before this meeting I believe that you have -- counsel wise, you have all the information available for making a decision.

I -- I did see this as an opportunity not only for this petition itself, but also as an example to show my son
how our city works to share different perspectives in how our culture works as a democracy.

So I regret that I would not have the opportunity
here tonight to present this case and tell you the story. And I do not want this continuance. So if this -- so I understand that, the motion: I will just -- if that is the case, I will just drop the petition, without any presentation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're going to withdraw it?
All right. So the request is for you to withdraw the petition?

YAO WU: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Okay. On the motion, then, to withdraw the petition? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor of the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor of the withdrawal, and I wish you luck.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor of accept the withdrawal.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes,
the Board accepts the request for the withdrawal.
Okay. Thank you.
YAO WU: Thank you very much. Have a good night.
(8:40 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 193947 -- 154 Thorndike Street.

TREVOR O'LEARY: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

TREVOR O'LEARY: Thanks for hearing us tonight.
So we're looking for a variance for relief for an existing parking space. It's within a front yard setback.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, if you could just
introduce yourself for the record, Trevor?
TREVOR O'LEARY: Sure, yep. No problem.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And your address.
TREVOR O'LEARY: My name is Trevor O'Leary. I am with the architects' office that is representing the applicant, FBA Thorndike Street LLC, which is requesting the variance for this parking space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, now, before we get into this, this is a condominium development?

TREVOR O'LEARY: Yes, it is.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct?
TREVOR O'LEARY: It is.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So we have
correspondence today, October 27, 2022 to Maria Pacheco.
"The other two units on the site are currently privately owned. We have reached out to both owners; both did not have any opposition when discussed in prior conversations. And we will have them send you an e-mail that they are not in opposition to the proposed variance."

And again, this is similar to the matter just before us --

TREVOR O'LEARY: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- where we need three -- two other people to --

TREVOR O'LEARY: So we --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- send in correspondence.
TREVOR O'LEARY: -- so both, both of the owners at 156 Thorndike Unit 1 and Unit 2 reached out to Maria this afternoon. Maria did upload one of those e-mail correspondences to the View Portal, and then there was another one that we have the e-mail here and available that
was sent to Maria and copied with her as well.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me see. Now
there is subsequent to that some paperwork here. The time on that is today at 1:22.
"My name is Tanya Talkar -- T-a-l-k-a-r -- the owner of 156 Thorndike, Unit 2. I am sending the attached letter as support for the request for an additional parking spot at 154 Thorndike."

Then there is correspondence.
"I, as a condominium owner -- " Oh, gee, it's the same one. Well, we only have one.

TREVOR O'LEARY: All right. So the other one was sent to Maria at 5:09 p.m.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, she leaves at 4:30. TREVOR O'LEARY: Okay. So I --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do you have a copy of that?
TREVOR O'LEARY: I do. Yeah. Yeah. I don't know
if $I$ can share my screen or --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Send it to Olivia. She can instruct you how to do that.

TREVOR O'LEARY: Okay. Let me send it over to the
Olivia.

OLIVIA RATAY: Do you need my e-mail?
TREVOR O'LEARY: I believe I have it. See -- I am
forwarding it over right now. Just let me know if you received it.
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. So then we have both of them in the file. Okay. So you can proceed. TREVOR O'LEARY: Okay. So we're applying for a variance for a parking space within the front yard setback.

We've got a parking space that is non-conforming -- it's an existing parking space that is used on site, and we -- it was found to be non-conforming within the front setback, as well as being within 10 feet of basement windows within the front yard parking area as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Olivia, if you could pull up Sheet SD.03, which shows the layout site plan? Just right there. SD.03.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Back one. There you go.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right there. Okay. So the question $I$ have is that you guys bought 156 Thorndike Street, and there was renovation of that, and then built 154 Thorndike Street. Is that correct?

TREVOR O'LEARY: Correct, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So when you applied for the building permit for 154 Thorndike Street, and under it it said the zoning relief -- any zoning relief required? And the answer was no, and that you were providing the parking --

TREVOR O'LEARY: So -- there is the required parking on site. The required parking -- if you go to page 6, if you go to the survey's plan, you can see there's two tandem parking spaces on the right side of 156 Thorndike. And so when permitted, we had the three spaces accommodated as required for the three units on this site.

The issue became as we were doing construction, an error was found in the surveying plans that did not show that the two spots were deeded to 156 Thorndike on the right side. Both those spots are deeded to Unit 1. And so, because of that, we're looking for --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, who deeded them to Unit 1?

TREVOR O'LEARY: They were deeded in -- they were deeded in 2020 at the sale of that unit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: By you people or the developer?
TREVOR O'LEARY: I believe it was through the

Attorney, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So, I guess -- and, you know, correct me if I'm wrong, but the thought --

TREVOR O'LEARY: -- Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- going through my head is that, you know, you had three units, but then you basically sold the three units -- the three parking spaces.

So you sold two of them to Unit 1, and then the one to the left of the building you sold then to Unit 2, and then that let you one deficient for 154 Thorndike. Is that sort of the scenario of events?

TREVOR O'LEARY: Well, I don't believe we're deficient as far as a building permit goes. We're deficient in the fact of the use of that space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, but you created -- but going forward, you created the deficiency, which now, obviously, has gone away, because there is no minimum required parking. But I guess what you're --

TREVOR O'LEARY: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- is now that you want to add parking to 154 Thorndike?

TREVOR O'LEARY: Correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's not required anymore -TREVOR O'LEARY: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- as per last Monday night, but it is -- now you would like to add one because, I guess, in the short order of things, it enhances the value of 154 Thorndike Street --

TREVOR O'LEARY: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- to provide an off-street parking space?

TREVOR O'LEARY: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. I don't
know. Is there anything else to add to it, other than -TREVOR O'LEARY: So the only other thing to add would be is if there would be no change in the curb cut. There's already an existing curb cut there. There's no change into the open space on the site.

It's already a paved area that was paved prior to the construction of 154 Thorndike. And so, there's no change to the overall site plan or accessibility into the site.

## BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

TREVOR O'LEARY: And you can see that on the
picture on page -- I believe it's page 2 or 3.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Okay. Let me open it up to the Board.

Jim Monteverde, any comments, or questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: A comment. I'm just -- I'm not
feeling particularly benevolent regarding granting the variance. I am opposed to parking in the front yard. I understand the predicament, but it seems relatively a selfinflicted wound. So I don't favor the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Matina Williams, any comments or questions at this point?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah. I'm in agreement. I'm not in favor of front parking lot -- front parking space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Jason
Marshall, any comments or questions at this time?
JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Mr. Chair. I've had a similar reaction than yours that it seems like the need here was created by a business decision to deed two parking spaces to one unit, which by the way in my experience in Cambridge isn't all that atypical sometimes in a three-unit condo for two units to have parking and one not to. In fact, $I$ live in that kind of a condominium.

I do have a question about -- also about the application. And I was trying to figure out whether this was a condo or a single-family. I couldn't quite tell from the application.

And I Googled the property, and it appears that it's for sale. And the listing said that it had a parking space. So is that an error or -- maybe you could help clear that up?

TREVOR O'LEARY: I'm not sure on that. We're not involved in the actual listing of the unit. I don't know if that's just in relation of the space here.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. That's all I have for now, thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson, any questions or comments?

WENDY LEISERSON: I agree with Jim -- Jim's comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak -- I should know this by heart -- any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says,
"Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise
hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
There's nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from a Mr. Douglass Payne -- P-a-y-n-e, October 13, to Maria Pacheco.
"Existing parking space does not meet the zoning ordinance relief required to keep the existing space. My interest in this proposed variance is in keeping cars off the sidewalk.
"The space available seems incredibly tight.
There is an existing parking spot immediately to the right, and there is what appears to be a basement window-well immediately to the left. A car parking in this spot would block the steps leading to the front door. The sample 'proposed compact car' is listed as 16 feet long. That seems like a reasonable car length. However, my experience is that while navigating a car into a parking spot, it is difficult to judge precisely where the front of the car is relative to a wall or another car that is placed at the end
of the parking spot.
"As a result, when parking $I$ always leave a surprisingly large space in front of my car, even when $I$ think I'm only inches away from another car or brick wall at the end of the parking spot.
"If the variance is granted, I suspect the average car parked in the proposed spot would extend out into the sidewalk.
"Douglass Payne
24 Sherman Street"
And that is the sum and substance of any correspondence. And that is it, other than the two letters from the adjoining condominium owners in support of the variance. So I will close the public comment part of the proceedings, and turn it back to you for any additional comments, Trevor?

TREVOR O'LEARY: I don't think I have any additional comments. I don't know if Mariana --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
TREVOR O'LEARY: -- my colleague, has any --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right I will --
TREVOR O'LEARY: -- any additional.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- I will make a motion, then to grant the relief requested. It is a variance, so that the -- somewhat of a high standard and having to prove hardship.

The Board finds that -- I make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the application on the condition that the work conform with the application, the siting of the car space, the dimensional form, and the supporting statements for the granting of the variance, initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that the existing unit is void of any parking spaces. The existing parking spaces on the site have been deeded to other condominium units.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the fact that it is sited on the corner of Sixth and Thorndike, which requires a variance, regardless of the side, due to the two front setbacks imposed on the lot.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.

The Board finds that the existing space is existing and does not require any modification to the existing curb cut or street. It will create no change to the current public way. The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

The Board finds that the area is an existing parking space, so it has already been considered.

And that the Board finds that the two front setbacks encumbers the lot to provide sufficient parking, as per the request, and that there is a need to -- for an offstreet parking space.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief
requested, Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Not in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Not in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Not in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Not in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan -- I would
not support the granting of the variance.
[All vote NO]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On not obtaining the five necessary affirmative -- the four necessary affirmative votes. The motion for the variance is denied.

Subsequent, the Board makes the following findings: That the petitioner has not established that a literal enforcement of the provisions would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that the petitioner has not established the statutory legal requirement that the hardship is owing to the soil conditions, shape or topography of the land or structures, and especially affecting this particular land or structure, but not generally affecting the zoning district.

The Board also finds that -- the Board finds that granting relief may have a substantial detriment to the public good, in that it would be -- impair the streetscape and take away open space that the ordinance directly addresses.
And that -- we'll leave it at that.

So on the subsequent motion, Jim Monteverde, in favor of the subsequent reasoning, or would you like to add anything to it?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Jim Monteverde in favor of your reasoning. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of your reasoning.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, anything to
add, Jason, you think?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: In favor. Well said. Thank you, Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor of my comments.
[All vote NO]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The motion is denied.
TREVOR O'LEARY: Okay. Thank you very much. Have a good, good evening.
(8:58 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now
hear Case No. 196036 -- 16 Brattle Circle.

LAUREN BALTIMORE: Hello there. My name is Lauren

Baltimore, better known as "Teak" (phonetic) Baltimore. I'm here with my husband, Jay Konopka. We're the owners of 16 Brattle Circle, which is also known as 230 Brattle Street.

We purchased this house in 2019. We've been living here happily with our daughter, Tesla, who's 8 years old.

We have two entrances, as you can tell, from our address -- one on Brattle Street, and one on Brattle Circle. Both of these entrances -- one we generally use for walking and one we use to reach our car -- both entrances have no covering over them.

We have small porches with a couple of steps, but no awning, no covering whatsoever. We were hoping to be able to add awnings to both of these entrances in order to
cover us when we're fumbling for our keys and it's raining outside, and also to help prevent snow and ice from accumulating on the porches during the winter.

We do have neighbors nearby. We have informed them of this change, and we've had the notices posted on the house for the last few weeks. They have expressed to us no worries about the work that we want to do.

The porch on the Brattle Circle side of the house, which is a private way -- does cross over ever so slightly our property line, which we just recently learned when we started this project.

And -- but we do not believe that either of the awnings will negatively affect our neighbors in any way. We do not plan to encroach any further on the street than we already do.

And our neighbors have said that they are in support of this. We do have an e-mail from our neighbors at 14 Brattle Circle who are in support, and I believe our neighbor at 15 Brattle Circle is actually attending tonight -- Judy Giordano.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Any questions by members of the Board? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan -- I have no
questions at this time. I thought there were some letters in support, and I don't see them. Well, okay. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak may now click on the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Judy Giordano?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you could please introduce yourself with your name and address for the record? JUDY GIORDANO: Yes. I'm Judy Giordano at 15

Brattle Circle. And I support Teak and Jay with their coverings.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. And that is the sum
and substance of anybody calling in and no correspondence. I will close the public comment part, send it back to Lauren. Any further comments at all?

LAUREN BALTIMORE: None from me, although our architects are here -- Charles Rose and Olivia Howard.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Mr. Rose, any comments at all, or no? You don't have to.
[Pause] You're on mute.
JIM MONTEVERDE: But you do have to unmute yourself.

CHARLES ROSE: Yes, thank you, thank you. No. I have no comments. The -- other than to say, you know, we've -- we've really come up with a design that's quite minimal, and yet gives them just a bit of coverage over these entries, which frankly get a little crazy in the winter.

So we're hopeful you'll agree and pass this. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Okay. Ready for a motion, members of the Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested seeking a special permit under 8.22.d.

The Board -- in all districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached singlefamily dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does find -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, a special permit may be granted where it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that the proposed work is quite de minimis but would also be a great benefit to shielding the entryway from the elements.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, and in fact, it would be greatly enhanced by the realignment and the reworking of the entry platforms, and also a covering over it.

And it would be a benefit -- a great benefit to the occupants of the structure.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance, which will allow the -- any homeowner to modify their structure to enhance the livability of the house and also the safety of the house during the entry and egress.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, on the condition that the work conform to the drawings, supporting statement, and dimensional forms as submitted and initialed by the Chair? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan, yes.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the special permit is granted. Good luck.

LAUREN BALTIMORE: Thank you very much.

Appreciate it. Have a wonderful evening.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Rose, before you go, did you ever teach, or did you ever coach youth soccer in Cambridge?

CHARLES ROSE: I can't -- hold on a second.

Apologies. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CHARLES ROSE: Yep. Yes. And it wasn't my fault what happened.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, this was like 25 years ago.
CHARLES ROSE: [Laughter] yeah, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CHARLES ROSE: No, I definitely did, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It was -- one of my sons was on a
team that you coached. We -- those were great times.
CHARLES ROSE: Oh, who was that? Who was that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's Nicholas Monteverde.
CHARLES ROSE: Oh, Nicholas! Yeah. I do remember
him. Oh, that's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: You would have recognized him, yeah.

CHARLES ROSE: That is very, very funny.

JIM MONTEVERDE: He turned out to be a good soccer player.

CHARLES ROSE: How old is he now?

JIM MONTEVERDE: 31.
CHARLES ROSE: Yeah. That's my oldest son. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

CHARLES ROSE: Oh, that's right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There we go. Small world, huh?
CHARLES ROSE: Yeah, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Laughter] All right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'd say you guys ought to swap
phone numbers.
CHARLES ROSE: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Exactly, yeah.
CHARLES ROSE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Nice to see you. All right.
CHARLES ROSE: I hope that -- so you probably
should have recused yourself, right?
COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
CHARLES ROSE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now the whole world knows. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. All right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that concludes tonight. JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you all. Very well. Thank you.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you all. COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.

JASON MARSHALL: Thanks, everybody. Bye.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Excellent. Thank you.
[09:07 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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