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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
        Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, William
        Boehm, and Carol Agate
        JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the January 25, 2024
meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is
Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair.
    Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
by the Massachusetts Court, and approved by the Governor,
the City is authorized to use remote participation at
meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal.
    This meeting is being video and audio recorded and
is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within
Cambridge.
There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.
All members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.
Members of the public will be kept on mute until
```

it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Virginia Keesler?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Steve Ng?
STEVEN NG: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Bill Boehm?

BILL BOEHM: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Daniel Hidalgo?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Carol Agate?
[Pause]
STEPHEN NATOLA: Carol Agate?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Why don't we call her again at

(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, and William Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: I will start with continued cases. First case I'm going to call is Case No. BZA-245510 -- 45 Orchard Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak about that?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: I'm just trying to -- can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can you introduce yourself, please?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yes. My name is Shelly Ziegelman. I am Abigail Lipson's architect. And I'm just trying to put on my video. There we go.

So I'd like to start by addressing the two questions that were posed by the Board on our November meeting. And these two questions were in regards to use type and how this special permit petition is different than the special permit petition, or the variance petition in 2019 and 2020.

Let's start with the use type. The following -we see the following indicators as this is a dwelling unit or a single-family for the following four reasons: The 2019, 2020 petition to the Board to create this unit was brought forward as a Variance application. And the unit size is/was 1140 square feet.

And if it was classified as an ADU, it would have come to the Board as a Special Permit application, and it would have been 900 square feet or less.

The same petition in 2019/2020 requested a special permit for the reduction in off-street parking. If it were an ADU, there would be no requirement for off-street parking and citing 4.44.41, the requirement for off-street parking space specified in Article 6.000 shall not apply for the addition of one necessary structure in all districts.

Point three, the BZA's decision in 2020 granted the barn to be converted into a -- and I quote, "Dwelling Unit." These quotes were taken directly from the transcript, and they do not say, "Accessory." And then the 0.4 is -- the -- we've gotten guidance all along from Inspectional Services, and the documents that we've seen and looked into consistently refer to the current structure as a
dwelling unit, never an accessory dwelling unit.
And when the project started, I always -- any project that we do that requires a special permit or variance I meet with Ranjit and his team, and their guidance is always very helpful, and they guided us as, and told me that this was not an accessory dwelling unit on June 1, 2023.

So those are the four points that we'd like to make that clearly make us feel it is a -- it's a dwelling unit and not an ADU.

Onto the next question that came up last November, the question of the Variance Application from 2019/20 and today's application and how they're different.

The petition the Board approved in 2020 was a Change of Use variance to convert a utility building, a barn, into a dwelling unit.

Well, the current conditions under consideration are entirely different. We now have a homeowner living in her home and who would like to age in place and is seeking a special permit to do so.

The initial 2019 petition included two additions that both fell outside of the setbacks and combined those
two additions were slightly greater square footage than what the proposal on the table tonight is.

And the fact that they fell outside the setbacks I don't mean to editorialize, but that -- you know, was asking for too much. And it was -- they took away the two, in the 2020 petition those were removed, and the petition was granted.

And then the other thing you should know is programmatically it was different. What the two additions contain were a study and a foyer/stair entrance, whereas this petition contains a bedroom, an on-grade bedroom. The 2019 addition was also taller, and our petition -- or the current petition -- is lower.

And then finally, the petition can't be called a repetitive petition for two reasons: as defined by the Ordinance and programmatically, as $I$ just discussed above.

So the main point of this is that the 2019/2020 petition was for a different project under different conditions than today's special permit application.

If there are no questions about these two, the use and the deficit between the two petitions, we'll move on to the summary and description, which you've all seen in

November, but I'll touch upon everything.
So if the slides could go up, that would be
helpful. Thank you.
Okay. So we took everything the Board said into consideration and very seriously. We reduced the size of the footprint of the proposed addition. We lowered it. We removed the roof deck. There were concerns by the neighbors, and we did studies, as you'll see in the subsequent slides, as to what they would see.

And Abigail worked with her neighbors, wrote letters with to them, and kept in contact with them as best she could.

The selections from the Zoning Ordinance that are cited are, you know, in the application. This just describes what I just described to you, that the roof deck was removed as gross square footage reduced, and the eave height lowered.

We -- Abigail also hired a landscape architect so we could talk about plantings and possible buffers, and that she would work with her neighbors to do that.

The ratio of usable open space because the addition got a little smaller, that ratio to lot area
increased by 2 percentage points. This is what it looks like now in the lower right-hand corner, and this is the front elevation in a photograph.

The top of this barrel vault doesn't go any higher than the loft door, whereas the old petitions -- it was a gable roof, and it came much higher.

Moving onto the next slide, we reviewed all the site lines from No. 41 to the new proposed addition. And just to note that the setback here from the proposed edge of the proposed addition where my cursor is -- I don't know if you can see my cursor -- to the lot line is over 32 feet to No. 41, which falls pretty much on the lot line.

So when you're looking from the addition to No. 41, the windows that you see are all described here. This is -- the three on the bottom are living room windows, and it's in a sunken living room, so I would imagine that the sill height is at least 5 feet, which means you don't have a direct view out.

And the window above those living room windows, the one window is a bedroom window -- again, a clear-story height without a direct view out.

The one window of concern -- well, two windows,
but the main one would be the kitchen window. And that is -- there's a counter in front of it, and then there -- the window above it is a hallway window.

And the other portion -- this is the proposed landscape plan that you see on the left, and Abigail would like to work with her neighbors if they would -- if, you know, if it's important to them to have a privacy hedge. And that privacy hedge is shown in the lower corner.

This is a winter picture. Down below, it casts no shadow, because north is this way. So the shadows on this property from the house and from the trees fall into Abigail's property, and nothing is cast onto 41.

So I know that No. 41 had many concerns, and so, we did this study to make sure that they weren't -- we weren't causing any shade or blocking any views. And as you can see, the window in the kitchen is located between these two trees, where they're -- it says, "existing trees" up from the living room windows.

And it -- if you were to look directly out, you'd look at the end of Unit 2 on No. 45's property, and you'd have to crank your head to the right to see the addition -proposed addition.

And if we can move to the next drawing, please? So here we superimposed -- we took photographs. Let's start on the left. So looking from the deck of No.49, which is arrow No. 3 and on that deck Abigail's neighbor was concerned about what she would see, and with this new proposal.

And you can see on the lower one the addition has been superimposed, and you can only see it through -- under the rail in the corner below the hayloft window. And it's -- it's pretty much out of sight. It's -- and it doesn't make an impact. So that neighbor was very happy with that.

And then on the other side, photograph No. 2 had to be taken outside of -- it was not taken within the house like photograph No. 3 was. It was taken on Abigail's property between the two trees, the two very large trees.

And to give you an idea of what the view is, but it's exaggerated because you would be, you know, four feet back into the house if you were to end across a kitchen counter.

So this picture above on the upper right corner shows without the addition, and then this one shows the addition superimposed into the perspective. So it doesn't
take up -- and it is also 41 feet away. That view is 41 feet away to the corner of the addition.

And on the other side, on 49 side, it's 40 feet away or 31 approximately.

And then if we move on to the plans, we can just walk through quickly, because you're all familiar -- we went through this before -- it's the same proposal for the program: A bedroom, a bathroom, and an entrance with a closet. And the eave height was lowered.

The existing barn has an eave height of like 11'3" and the main part of this was lowered at least a foot. And then the entrance will be a bit lower still, so you're walking through a transition space.

The seller will hold -- her whole house is electric. It's very environmental. We're going to use a hot water heat pump and those tend to be tall, so it needs to be put in the cellar. They take -- they remove heat from the air because it's a heat pump, so it can't be in a conditioned space without it, the space having to be over conditioned. So the cellar was necessary to run the mechanicals.

And on the next sheet, if you please, it shows the
low barrel-vaulted roof, and then this is a flat roof draining toward the back with skylights and it would be a metal roof.

And then this also shows the pergola, the open-air pergola near the entrance way.

And on the last slide, you'll have the elevations.
And again, just to reiterate: The roof deck was removed. We've lowered the eave on this addition, the proposed addition. We've reduced the square footage, and we engaged the landscape architect that will work with Abigail and Abigail will take input from her neighbors.

Do you have any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Are you all set with your presentation?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yes, I am. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Yes. I have a question. I was looking over things; there was one thing that confused me was that -- and this kind of gets into technical issues, but that's what we're dealing with tonight -- the total gross floor area you list as 4,379, and you're requesting to go to

47 something.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: 09. Yep. 4,709.
BILL BOEHM: Yep. And then $I$ was looking back at the previous applications, and it's exactly the same. The 2019 listed the existing conditions as 4,379, asking to go to 47 and change. So it's just --

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: 47 -- they're different numbers, Bill. 47 -- the previous was 4,712; we're asking -- what we asked for initially in November was 471 and we've reduced it to 4,709. So they're slight, but they're different.

BILL BOEHM: Right. But I'm not talking about what you asked for in November, I'm talking about the application way back in 2019, when that -- the applicant at that time listed existing conditions also at 4,379, also going to 4,700, and if I'm not mistaken, then they -- that was then built.

So wouldn't the existing square footage be closer to 4,700 than 4,000--

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: I can -- I think I -- think I understand your confusion. And chime in, anyone, if I'm not. But what -- the 2019 application with the request of

4,012 was continued. And at the continuance, they removed the additions that they were asking for. So it went back to 4,709, I'm sorry, 4379.

So they didn't ask for any more square footage. There was -- the continuance they changed it. They took off both requested or proposed additions.

So we were back to those numbers again of the 4,379. We started there. And then we're asking for 300 square feet more, which is for the special permit, given it being a dwelling unit and not an ADU you can ask for that. It's in the setbacks and it's less than half the floor area of the first floor.

So we fall within all of those things with the -you know, logic that we feel is correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can $I$ follow up on Bill's question, please?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Sure. Of course.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If you go to the floor plan,
first floor plan, can you -- there you go.
The plan that was proposed in the 2019 application that was in addition to the existing barn, how dimensionally different is this from what was suggested in 2019, before
the continuance?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: So the plan -- again, you can't
see my cursor, can you?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: So the plan that was first proposed had an addition similar, but you do remember something similar where we have a proposed bedroom? JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: It was a little shorter, but then to the right, where you see the dining room table -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- that pushed out. That whole side pushed out with a foyer and a stair. And -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- both pieces were much
taller. They were gabled roofs, and they both -- and both pieces fell into the setbacks. They fell outside the setbacks. They did not respect any setbacks.

So it didn't -- you know, it's no wonder that it was rejected.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, it wasn't rejected. It was
-- not by the Board anyway, it was -- the discussion was
that the proponent agreed to a continuance, based on the neighbors' objections and I think questions from the Board to go back and to reconsider.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that's when they came back in 2020. Sorry, Bill, I interrupted you. Do you have any other questions?

BILL BOEHM: No. No, that was my only question for now.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are there any other questions from members of the Board?

STEVE NG: Yeah. This is Steve Ng. Just a quick question about the heat pump, Shelly. So you're -- what you were saying is the cellar will be unconditioned space with, like, $I$ guess louvers or something to let air flow in to allow the heat pump? Is that where the condenser is going, or the --

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: The condensers go outside the mechanicals for the --

BILL BOEHM: Oh, okay.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- heat pumps will be inside.
But it -- I have this in my own house; my house is all
electric with solar panels and it --
BILL BOEHM: It's all ducted from the basement? SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: It's ducted from the basement, but it --

STEVEN NG: Gotcha.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- makes your basement
uninhabitable because it's very cold, it draws every bit of heat out of it. It's very environmental, it's very inexpensive to run compared to a regular electric heat pump, electric heater.

STEVEN NG: Mm-hm.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: A hot water heater, because those are your most consumptive. But anyway, so we're trying -- you know, of course over insulating and doing the best --

STEVEN NG: Got it. Good.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- that way.
STEVEN NG: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Just I remember from the last meeting -- I don't have the updated file in front of me, but
last meeting a Ms. Sherry Oliver essentially supported the project except for the roof deck.

So I'm just curious if she -- someone who lives at
49 Orchard Street, they've been presented with this new project, and they find it acceptable? Do you know anything about that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: We have a number of --
DANIEL HIDALGO: Oh, okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- correspondences in the file.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Maybe I'll just wait, then.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yeah. Sherry --
JIM MONTEVERDE: We'll get to that in a moment.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- Sherry, yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Any other questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Just one more. Shelly, did you say you have a landscape architect on board now, and did we see a landscape plan or was that --

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yes. Yes, so it's -- you know, it's just -- it's No. 2, Drawing No. 2.

BILL BOEHM: Can you -- Okay.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: And it's a draft. I mean, it's

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope, keep going.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: But she is a landscape -- the other way. Ah, it goes that way too.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: So the next one -- huh, that was right. I'm sorry, if you could go to the very beginning?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Go back. It was there.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Go to the first -- yes. And then it's not that one.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: It's that one.
BILL BOEHM: Okay. So there are privacy hedges labeled on the left side. Any specificity about what those consist of, or --

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: There's been much discussion of, you know, what would grow in both areas that have different light needs. And Abigail I believe is discussing it with her neighbor. You know, Sherry has been talking to her about it. And so, it's an ongoing conversation.

BILL BOEHM: Okay. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, I have a one final question. SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: The way the advertisement or agenda -- says that the addition basically enabled the owner to age in place. And if I go back to 2019, and then 2020, I think those were both presented as the in part the rationale for the reuse of the barn structure. It was for the current occupant of the front building to be able to renovate the space in the existing barn and move in, enabling the owner to age in place.

Am I correct? Where I'm going with this is if that's correct, and that 2020 scheme, I assume, fulfilled that desire, and why is it that this addition is necessary now to do the same thing? Help me understand that, Shelly.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yeah. Abigail, why don't you --

ABBY LIPSON: I can speak to that. I'm the owner. This is Abby Lipson. I'm here. And I think, you know, a couple years have gone by. I've been living in this space, which I really love, and have appreciated a lot about it, including that it's at ground level and, you know, easy to
navigate inside.
In the intervening year, I've grown older. I experienced a fall that had me debilitated for a while and gave me kind of a sense of what my challenges might be in future, you never know.

And so, you know, although I have loved the barn, it's a wonderful place to be as a retired person, I'm -- you know -- thinking through again what the future's going to hold and feeling like one-floor living beats trying to crawl up a ton of stairs on your butt, you know, if you've got an injury.

So time has gone by, and I've had more experience.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that wasn't something that was apparent to you in the 2020 case when you accepted --

ABBY LIPSON: Not -- not as --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- staying within the boundaries of the barn?

ABBY LIPSON: -- not as apparent.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: And also just programmatically it wasn't -- she wasn't -- she didn't direct the architect, who was not me at that time to, you know, if you looked at
the drawings it said "study" and it and it said, "foyer" and there were two additions that didn't -- you know, didn't address aging in place.

So maybe -- maybe you thought you could age in place on the second floor.

ABBY LIPSON: Yeah, I thought the -- I thought this would be more manageable as an older person than -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I think you've answered the question.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- experience has led me to believe.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. All right. If there are no other questions from members of the Board, I'll open it up to public comment. We do have a number of correspondences in the file, and I'm sure people will be -I suspect people will be calling in to talk.

Let me summarize what we have in front of us. By my count, as of yesterday, there were seven affirmative, five against.

I think at the moment we have a letter dated yesterday -- the twenty-third. This is from the proponent, just describing I think what -- Shelly most of what you had
described.
We have correspondence dated today from Douglas Okun in support. From Sherry Oliver, direct abutter, no objections. That's dated today.

January 23, Hanna Ross -- or Hanna and Ross Marino, no objections. J.P. Hitarachi on Elm in Cambridge, direct abutters -- they would like to object. I assume they may want to speak. There's nothing else in the correspondence.

Pamela Winters, January 24, has a list of eight items. And if she doesn't speak, I will run through them. She's in objection. January 24: William Bloomstein objects to the special permit: "out of character and ill-suited to the dense neighborhood starving of open space and beyond what is reasonable; it is definitely not required."

Again, I'm just trying to summarize the ones in here, so people don't have to call in to say exactly the same thing.

January 24: Somebody who resides at 41 Orchard: "Starkly opposed -- strongly opposed." That's Harry Shapiro.

January 23: Lauren Stewart, in support. It's
either a neighbor or they back up to 45 Orchard Street. Susan Matkowski, abutter, kitty-corner to this lot. They are in favor.

October 4: No, Susan Matkowski goes again.
And I think -- nope, I want to make sure I'm not dipping into the old file. Nope.

November 17; Shelly, that's you. Or that's your continuance. Douglas Okun is a repeat. That -- ones are people who have written back in recently. I'll stop there.

Is anyone on the line who wishes to speak? Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
Anyone?
No one. There we go.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Harry Shapiro?
HARRY SHAPIRO: Hello. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can.
HARRY SHAPIRO: Okay. I live next door at 41 Orchard Street. Mr. Monteverde, I don't know if you want me to read my entire letter, or --

JIM MONTEVERDE: If you could summarize it, please, give us your highlights?

HARRY SHAPIRO: Okay. Well, to summarize, I do strongly oppose the project. I'd like you to keep the number -- excuse me, I have a cold -- the following number in mind: 4,712 plus or minus three. That's the number of square feet that the owner has been trying to expand this project to since 2019, trying on a consistent basis with application after application.

The current application really is just a repeat, or another run through of that. There are small differences in height and a tiny decrease in overall square footage. But, you know, other than removing the outdoor deck on top of the addition and slightly lowering the height of the addition, the current plan is really nearly identical to all of the other proposals.

This is not significantly different from any of the previous ones in terms of requested square footage, as I mentioned. Minor tinkering with the dimensions like this really doesn't make the proposal any more acceptable, I think.

And furthermore, looking back in the minutes of the first meeting in 2019, the proposal was -- was rejected, continued, however you want to word it, by the BZA, and the reasons cited in the minutes were -- quote -- "The massing of the proposed structure and expansion of the footprint."

The current plans do not negate the issues of massing or expanding the footprint; they're still there. So I think those original conditions -- those original objections are still relevant.

Secondly, in terms of the GFA requirements, et cetera, the maximum total GFA on the lot is already greatly exceeded, and the lot area doesn't even meet the Ordinance requirement for the third dwelling unit.

The lot area is 7,894 square feet, and if my understanding is correct, in the Res B unit -- in the Res B district -- for those portions of any lot exceeding 5,000 square feet, the applicable maximum ratio of floor area to
lot area shall be 0.35 for all permitted residential uses, and the minimal lot area for each dwelling unit shall be 4,000 square feet.

The BZA decided in 2020 through its authority to relax the use requirements in favor of the petition. I don't understand why the new proposal for even more leeway with respect to these requirements should merit -JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

HARRY SHAPIRO: -- any consideration.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Shapiro, you're beyond the three-minute mark, and do you have anything else to add that you can do quickly?

HARRY SHAPRIO: Yeah. Per my previous letter, the BZA, it may have been unrealistic to plan to age in place in 900 square feet of living space, but such an unfortunate miscalculation really does not justify further expansion.

The only other thing I want to mention is the issue of the accessory dwelling unit debate. I think that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm going to ask you to wrap it up now, please.

HARRY SHAPIRO: Okay. Am I done?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Whispered] Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
HARRY SHAPIRO: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments.
Anyone else calling in?
HARRY SHAPIRO: Oh, my wife would like to speak if that's okay? They cut me off.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Introduce yourself, please.
HARRY SHAPIRO: Oh, okay. Here you go, Pam.
PAMELA WINTERS: Hi. My name is -- can you see me? I don't know if you can see me. Okay. My name is Pamela Winters and I'm a direct abutter at 45 Orchard Street. I would like to oppose the request made by the -Ms. Lipson -- to expand her unit. And I just have eight quick reasons why.

First of all, the architect was incorrect in what we can see out of our window, and what we look upon in Abigail's yard. So I wanted to just say that.

Ms. Lipson recently sent around a letter to abutters and abutters of abutters, stating her views and her request. She was strongly pointed out -- pointing out -that it was not an ADU. I and other neighbors feel this is
exactly what it is, not a single-family dwelling unit. I invite the BZA to look at the converted barn and judge for yourself.

She was allowed 900 square feet, and that is exactly what the barn had in its interior prior to renovation.

Let's see. On November 21, 2023, my husband and myself had a meeting with Ranjit at the Building Department. He thought that perhaps the initial application was incorrect, and the dwelling unit was in fact an accessory dwelling unit.

Also, he was thinking that the addition was not acceptable -- and this is important, what Harry said -- in terms of the remainder of the open space for the three units. It might be good for the BZA to see what they think about this concern.

The size of the proposed addition is not much reduced with the removal of the deck. The BZA has requested a detailed plan of all the plantings at the site, which was not provided.

So let's see, Abigail's first request was to have a home to age in place, which was granted. Now she wants
more room to age in place. This is something easily done by adding a shower in her first floor unit, which has two bedrooms upstairs and would be much more cost-efficient.

On her adjoining unit, which has multiple rooms upstairs, all very easy to age in place, not to mention having a stairlift installed if requested.
[Sneeze] Excuse me. I also have a cold. If her desire to age in place in these first few times, she -- it was her desire to do that, and it was finally granted. Why does she need another addition for this purpose? I believe Mr. Monteverde, you made that point.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
PAMELA WINTERS: This is a very conf--
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm going to ask you to wrap up, please.

PAMELA WINTERS: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'll get to you people in one second.

PAMELA WINTERS: This is a very -- I just have two more -- two more items. This is a very congested neighborhood. The carbon footprint would be increased, and
there would be less open space for abutters to look at. And she was requesting a basement under the bedroom for utilities -- heat and so forth. Her former architect promised that all utilities could be brought through the main house. JIM MONTEVERDE: I need you to stop. PAMELA WINTERS: Okay, sir. JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. PAMELA WINTERS: Okay. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments. PAMELA WINTERS: Sure. JIM MONTEVERDE: Anyone else? PAMELA WINTERS: You have -- you have our letters, anyway. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Mm-hm. That's correct. PAMELA WINTERS: I appreciate that. Thank you, sir.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No one else is raising their hand. Okay. I'm going to close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? No?

DANIEL HIDALGO: I guess from this point on, the accessory dwelling unit --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Tell us your name.
DANIEL HIDALGO: This is -- excuse me, this is
Daniel Hidalgo. I -- wouldn't that have, like, a designated accessory dwelling unit in the previous case, if that was granted? I mean I -- isn't there a special permit specifically for accessory dwelling units? No. So that's not the case?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
DANIEL HIDALGO: So we're just open to
interpretation --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DANIEL HIDALGO: -- whether or not it fits that definition? Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Anyone else have anything for discussion?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: I do, Jim. Can you hear me? JIM MONTEVERDE: Who is that?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Shelly Ziegelman.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm sorry, this is among the Board members.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other Board members have any
comments? If not --
VIRGINIA KEESLER: I guess I do feel that there was, like, a real -- there was a real change made between the 2019 application and the current application in that this proposal does not extend the extra 10' or so towards the lot line to the right side of the lot.

And I would not as well that the open space ratio is in keeping with the requirements of the zoning district. So in that sense, I am seeing merit in the arguments that have been made in favor of this proposal.

I recognize that the gross floor area is pretty much the same as it was proposed previously, but it does seem to me that there are adjustments that have been made, and I think we could also seek additional detail regarding the landscaping plan but $I$ am seeing some merit, and I am wondering what my fellow Board members are thinking.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Anyone else want to jump in on that, comment on that, continue that?

BILL BOEHM: Virginia, I concur there's been some changes. They listened. The architect listened and made significant changes removing the roof deck.

I'm also very concerned about the kind of repeat
request that was turned down several years ago, and that this is a very, very similar application to what we saw previously and was continued and rejected.

So I'm torn is where $I$ stand at this moment. I'm also open to others' opinions on this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I sat on the previous two hearings for this: 2019, 2020. And I share Bill's sentiment. I'm torn. I recall in 2020 reading the documentation and about this was the opportunity to live in -- you know, age in place.

I don't think that 900 square feet, whatever that dimension was, is an impediment. I live in a house that's 900 square feet, with a family. I think that's doable somehow.

But this just feels repetitive, at least in this addition that's being proposed. Even though the 2019 one had two additions, it just feels like it's coming back to do the same thing, or at least part of the same thing, this time under the aegis of the special permit versus the original round, which was under a variance, which was a tougher criteria to comply with.

So I'm torn. I see the differences. I see the --
you know, the deficits in stepping away from the property line, so that's not the issue. But -- and taking the roof deck off, I see that. I see the plantings that have been offered.

I still think it's rather dense, and I think the addition is just -- again, I understand the proponent's discussion of perhaps they've changed their mind about able to age in place in the existing structure. But I'm not swayed yet that I would approve the addition, approve the special permit.

So that's my sense. I'm torn as well.
Anybody else have any discussion before we move to a motion?

STEVEN NG: I totally agree with feeling, seeing the conflict, the need to be on ground floor to feel more safe, you know, in living there.

I don't know if the architect has done any studies to try to create a design within the footprint of the existing barn to meet those needs, but I -- I guess I, yeah, I -- I'm trying to still take it in and get a feel for -empathize with the neighbors and also the needs of the applicant.

So it's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEVEN NG: -- it's a tough one.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anyone else?
DANIEL HIDALGO: I am leaning in favor. You know,
I totally understand the reasons for not liking the
precedent of voting on a somewhat similar proposal to the previous one, but it wasn't a formal rejection, so it's not technically a -- you know, repetitive request. And, you know, the design has changed a certain amount. So I guess I lean on the other side of the line in favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Shelly or -SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Thanks, Jim. JIM MONTEVERDE: Is the proponent -SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: I -JIM MONTEVERDE: -- nope, I'm not asking. Hold on.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me ask a question. SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Not an open forum. Either you or the proponent sensing the discussion among the Board
members, is there anything you'd like to do before I proceed to a motion?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yes. I was hoping that Abigail Lipson had written a letter to the Board, if she could just like her neighbors --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. We're done with public commentary.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: I think you presented most of what description is, so -SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Well, it -JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I'm offering you the option if you would like to continue again, or if you'd like -- if this is the scheme, and therefore we should go to a motion and vote?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Can I speak with Abigail for one moment?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Can you mute me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Please.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: All right. Please mute me. [Pause/Staff trying to mute]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Shelly, wave if you want to comb. All right. What do you want to do? Nope, not yet. You're still muted.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Sorry about that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Now you're live. Nope.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What would you like to do?
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Can I ask you and the Board if you have any guidance for us? Because obviously things have changed for Abigail, and, you know, after the fall she feels it would -- you know, we would like to be able to have a project where she could have a room and a bathroom. She doesn't have a full bathroom on the first floor.

Is there -- do you have guidance for us? Should we ask for a continuance, and --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, I think -- sorry, so guidance -- sorry, I'm an architect so I take that as a redesign, but no.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Well no, okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- we won't do that. A couple
other Board members, and -- yeah, if we jump into redesign, it but no, we won't do that. Guidance I -- you only have a
few options.
Again, the motion is in front, or the case is in front of us. We either at this point move to a motion and we all vote and what happens happens. If you have a sense from the discussion or comment from Board members that this may not go favorably for you, then you can choose to continue.

But the only reason to continue is to be willing to go back and relook at the design based on some of comments that you have heard and --

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Well, we're not going -JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and I think that's about it. SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: What -JIM MONTEVERDE: You could withdraw.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- constitutes it passing?
Does it -- what if it's a vote, does it have to be
unanimous? Does it have to be --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Four out of five.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Four out of five.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And if you're voted down, you can't come back with a similar scheme for two years. Same thing I think if you withdraw. But I think -- again, it's
up to you and your client.
ABBY LIPSON: If they read my letter and if -- if
they did, then let's vote.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Abigail just asked me if you've
read her letter in the file?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I did.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: You did? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: And you would like -- has
everyone read the letter on the Board?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Everyone on the Board has
read --
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I've just done that. So
let's move beyond that.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Then --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Your choice is either tell us to go ahead with the motion, please or --

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- continue. On the count of
three. One, two --
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Abigail would like to vote.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements -- specifically the total of gross floor area and FAR -- on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled -- sorry -- "Lipson Residence" prepared by SWZ Architects, dated January 19, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate -- there are no supporting -- the supporting statements, sorry, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

There are no other conditions for this.
So Board members on a voice vote, please? Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde opposed. So
that's four out of five. Your relief is granted. SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Thank you very much.
[Four vote YES, One OPPOSED]

ABBY LIPSON: Thank you, everyone.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
ABBY LIPSON: Have a good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, you too.
Now, our next continued case, although it shows up
in the Agenda at six o'clock, because of a discrepancy on the posting sign that said seven o'clock, we'll here in five minutes. So you all come back now.

BILL BOEHM: Jim, I had -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

BILL BOEHM: -- told Maria I would not be available for the second continued case. So I don't know if someone else was --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: -- identified.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Yep. We're all set. Thank you, Bill.

BILL BOEHM: Thank you. Goodnight.
[Pause]
(7:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, and Carol Agate

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Seven o'clock. The next case will be our second and last continued case. It is BZA-245989 -- 5 Channing Street. Is there anyone here wishing to be heard on that case? This is another special permit.

Do we have anybody who wants to speak on 5
Channing Street, proponent, or their representation?
STEPHEN HISERODT: This is Steve Hiserodt, the Project Architect. We are waiting for Jim Rafferty. He is supposed to be joining us to begin the presentation. JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you expect him shortly? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. We are trying to -JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. He's on. STEPHEN HISERODT: He's on? Okay, great. JIM MONTEVERDE: Please proceed with your presentation.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair. My
apologies. It was only prompted as a panelist in the last 15 seconds. I was very fearful that I was not going to be recognized.

Unfortunately, there doesn't seem to be a way to alert the Staff that someone is waiting and hasn't been recognized. But I apologize for the delay. But --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No problem.
JAMES RAFFERTY: -- for the record, my name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with the Law Offices of Adams \& Rafferty located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge.

I'm appearing this evening on behalf of the applicant, 5 Channing Street LLC. Robert Purdy, the owner of the property, manager of the LLC, is present, as is the Project Architect, Steven Hiserodt; H-i-s-e-r-o-d-t.

This is an application for a special permit dealing with the construction of a confirming addition to a nonconforming structure in a Residence A-1 Zoning District.

The project was originally -- contained two nonconforming conditions. There was a garage on the right side of the house that was within the setback, an attached garage. That garage has been removed. And there's also a
nonconformity of approximately 18" on the left side of the house.

The project was issued a building permit to commence construction on the addition. After months of discussion concerning a recent amendment to the Ordinance and a Supreme Judicial Court case that caused the Ordinance -- that led to the amendment.

As some Board members may be aware, single-family -- one- and two-family houses enjoy a special protection under Chapter 40A Section 6, meaning that certain nonconforming elements of a property can be extended, and there was a Supreme Judicial Court case a few years ago; a case that started in the Brookline Zoning Board; worked its way up from the Land Court to the Appeals Court to the SJC. It's called "Bellalta v. Newton."

The Law Department and the City's Inspectional Services Staff are very familiar with the case. In fact, it is the case that informed the amendment that led to the adoption of Section 8.22.2.d. That's the special permit that the applicant has been directed to apply for.

Most respectfully, it's the applicant's position that that special permit is not required in this case. And
in fact work began on this with a building permit, but it was understood that this issue would need to be addressed, the issue of the nonconforming condition on the existing structure.

As I said, the only remaining nonconforming condition is approximately an 18" side yard setback. The addition -- all of the new construction that frankly is in place already -- all of that is conforming. The disagreement or the extended discussion between the applicant, the Building Department and we were led to believe the Law Department centered around whether Section 6 is required here.

I would draw the Board's attention the language of Section 6. About midway through Section -- excuse me, paragraph 8.22.2.d. this new amendment adopted approximately a year ago allows for an increase in a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, provided that the Board finds that such an increase is not having more detrimental effect upon the neighborhood.

Prior to the adoption, the ruling in Bellalta and the adoption of this case -- of this amendment -- such changes would have required a variance. So the effect of
8.22.d (sic passim) is to take a case that used to require a variance and now allow it to be dealt with on a special permit standard. And that standard is broad. It's unique. It says it's not simply the impact on the abutters, it's the impact upon the neighborhood as a whole.

But what was intended there and is addressed in 8.22.d is not what is present in this case. It is not proposed in this case that the existing nonconformity be increased. There is no change in the nonconformity.

And in the Bellalta case, the court was very clear. They said that what the Building Department needs to do is to determine whether or not the particular nonconformity, determine what it is, and then determine whether the proposed alteration or addition would intensify the existing nonconformity or result in additional ones.

And the court stated, "If the answer to that question is in the negative, the applicant will be entitled to a permit to proceed with the proposed alteration." It was with that understanding and knowledge of Bellalta that the applicant spent consideration amount of time discussing this case with ISD.

And a building permit was issued with the
understanding that this issue, the question of the applicability of the Bellalta holding -- and the relevance of the $8.22 . d$ section would apply -- that would have to be resolved before a Certificate of Occupancy could be issued in this case.

And I understand the sequencing here is somewhat out of the ordinary, and I've seen correspondence criticizing the impact of the additions. But I note that the additions are conforming. The additions -- candidly -are really not what's before the Board.

If the Board were to find that 8.22.d is controlling here, notwithstanding the fact that we are not increasing the nonconformity or changing it at all, the real question for the Board is not what is the impact of the addition, the real question for the Board is what is the impact of allowing the left side setback of 18 " to remain on the structure?

Because the only other alternative to cure this is, other than a special permit granted by this Board, given the ISD's current position, would be that the applicant would have to remove 18 " of the existing structure.

And if the Board concludes that 8.22.d is
appropriate, $I$ think the evidence is quite compelling that that 18" on the left side of the house is not having a detrimental impact upon the neighborhood.

But I think it's also in the Board's purview here to conclude that based on the language of 8.22.d and the holding in the Bellalta case, that a special permit is not even required, and the Board can in this case -- as it has done in other cases -- make it known to the Building Department that this construction can proceed without the need of the special permit.

We have -- as I said, we have Mr. Hiserodt here, the architect, who can walk the Board through the plans although I'm sure the Board is familiar with them. But it is admittedly somewhat of a unique situation that the Board is dealing with in this case.

It's a situation prompted by years of litigation and land-use policy discussion around the special protection afforded one- and two-family nonconforming structures. It's led to changes in the Ordinance.

Again, if one were to closely read the amendment, the special permit that the applicant is being directed to obtain, it really covers the question of whether it would be
allowed to increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity.
So 8.22.d follows Bellalta in saying that if you have a nonconforming setback, you can continue that nonconforming setback with your addition. What you cannot do is create a new nonconformity, such as a height nonconformity or an FAR nonconformity.

So Bellalta in this amendment are very significant changes in the law that allows property owners to proceed under a special permit. But as $I$ said at the outset, in this case, in those cases where the proposed alteration is conforming, I think it's not necessary for the applicant to obtain the special permit.

But if the Board were to determine that they read 8.22.d in the same way that the applicant was directed to seek relief under, we would suggest strongly that the standard under which you review this case, that you could easily conclude that the 18 " nonconformity remaining on this structure does not have a detrimental effect upon the neighnorhood.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, Mr. Rafferty. Just
for my own clarification, when the -- and tell me if you
know this or not, or someone else who can speak to it -when the building permit was issued, was there a condition or any discussion on the permit or in the permit about the portion of the existing structure that's over the setback line and an agreement to modify it to make it compliant?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm going to have Mr. Hiserodt address that. But the answer, Mr. Chair, is yes, there is language in the building permit that addresses the need to remove the nonconformity. And Mr. Hiserodt, I have not had any prior involvement.

I can tell you what Mr. Hiserodt's, or Mr. Hiserodt can tell us what his understanding of that condition was. And he proceeded with the understanding that this issue had been referred to the Law Department, and that there would be an ultimate determination made by the Law Department.

He tells me he spent several weeks -- in fact months -- awaiting such a determination, and that he then learned in the process that no, the position of the Department was the special permit was needed.

But as for the amount of discussion and what was forwarded by Ms. Hiserodt, I would ask if he could address
it.
STEPHEN HISERODT: The -- if --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you introduce yourself, please?

STEPHEN HISERODT: This is Steve Hiserodt of DH Architects.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
STEPHEN HISERODT: There were lengthy discussions at several points during the process about how to proceed and which method we should, or which type of special permit we should apply for.

But what we arrived at was a building permit which shows the 18 " of the nonconforming portion of the existing structure as being demolished. So that was one of the things we were permitted for was demolishing that section, demolishing of the garage, and the full construction of the addition.

It was my understanding that the order in which these things were to occur was up in the air because a decision had not been made about how to proceed with the approval process.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

STEPHEN HISERODT: So we --

JIM MONTEVERDE: The Department contained
documents that indicated that 18 " portion was to be removed? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: To bring it into compliance? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. That was my question. Thank you. Anything else in terms of presentation? And -- oh, one other fact. And the addition is built, constructed, is that correct?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, we know that. We see the photos.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And the 18" portion of the existing building that's over the property line was not removed?

STEPHEN HISERODT: It has not been demolished. We were waiting for this process to run its course.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So I understand that Mr.
Rafferty's discussion, if we find that by 8.22.2.d you really don't need a special permit, certainly for the
conforming addition as the application states, and we take no action, you basically remain out of compliance with your setback on that one side of your building, correct?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. That is correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm. Okay. Thank you. Any
other questions from members of the Board?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, if I could just
suggest that if the -- and this has happened in prior cases -- if the Board were to conclude that the special permit applied for is not necessary, it's not the case that the Board would take no action, the Board would need to make such a finding so -- and be adopted by at least four members. And that finding would be communicated to the Building Department.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Okay. Thank you. All right. Questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I was wondering if you could walk through the changing gross floor areas and -- it just seemed to be a point of confusion.

STEPHEN HISERODT: The change meaning the most recent submittal change? Orientation --

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes, the --

STEPHEN HISERODT: The general description of what is being added?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: So the new conditions as compared to the conditions prior to the addition at the rear of the house.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay, can -- I think Stephen, are you controlling the presentation? STEPHEN NATOLA: Yes. STEPHEN HISERODT: If you could go to the first floor, which should be A101?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I think it's A102.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Oh, okay. Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So what's the difference? STEPHEN HISERODT: It's -- I mean essentially if you -- you see the courtyard with the circular tree at the bottom of the page?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Steve, could you orient the Board to where the street is on this drawing? I've always found that --

STEPHEN HISERODT: The street is on the right-hand side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

STEPHEN HISERODT: And if you can't see a cursor, it's hard to really point out exactly where, but the front of -- the front block of the building, which is from the front porch -- if you look at the lower side of the page or the left-hand setback, the first corner that you run to is essentially the existing structure that has been kept.

Or if we go to -- maybe go to the sheet A012, which is where we have the volume calculations and the area calculations.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Other direction.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The other direction. There you go. Nope, keep going.

STEPHEN HISERODT: One more.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right there.
STEPHEN HISERODT: There we go. If you go down to the -- yeah, the -- on the lower row on the third drawing from the left, the area shaded in pink is essentially the original house, or the portion of the original house that was kept.

And then if you go up to the first floor above, the area you can see on the first floor, which is a little
bit to the right -- the area in blue is the addition. The area in pink, again, is the existing house. If that -- does that explain the question?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I think it just -- and maybe it's just looking at this too quickly -- the increasing gross floor area seemed greater just, like, looking at what's in the -- on the slide as compared to the change that you have noted in your table. So maybe just talking through that a little bit more specifically.

STEPHEN HISERODT: I guess I'm not sure what -- I mean, it is, what kind of clarification you're looking for? JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, Steve --

STEPHEN HISERODT: If you look at the gross -JAMES RAFFERTY: -- maybe you can break down -JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me. JAMES RAFFERTY: -- the amount of -JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me -JAMES RAFFERTY: -- square footage. I mean it's JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Mr. Rafferty -- just give me a second.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No problem. If you could just -I think what Virginia is talking about is with the -- in the dimensional form --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- what's listed as a requested condition of 3,615 versus the existing condition of 3,059 , the additions that are shown on that sheet that we just had up looked to be greater than 500 some-odd square feet. Is that just --

STEPHEN HISERODT: There was an addition in the back of the existing structure. If you go back to that sheet, you can see the areas of the existing structure that were demolished before we started to the bottom row.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So you take off the shed roof thing --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. The area in the light blue adjacent, that was all demolished --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- making space for the new addition.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And so, you do a subtraction from the existing conditions and then you add on to the --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Exactly, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the new additions add on,
Okay.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Virginia, does that explain the arithmetic better?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I -- looking at the site, I was seeing that the amount that was being demolished seemed to be -- it didn't seem to be quite adding up. I guess I'm curious if other Board members are seeing --

STEVEN NG: Yeah. I agree. I think the proposed areas are totaling up over 2,000 square feet, 1,400 plus eight.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Steve, can you share your math, what the net reduction is? And then what the net add was, just so Board members could get some confidence in the number?

STEPHEN HISERODT: I'm not sure if I have --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just I asked if we could just enlarge your sheet here. You're looking at -- if you total up the demolition? Describe it.

STEPHEN HISERODT: One of the issues is that the
basement are is diagrammatically shown in terms of change, though none of that area is included in the gross floor area calculation. It is not required to be gross floor area. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

STEPHEN HISERODT: So that may have -STEVEN NG: Not that. STEPHEN HISERODT: -- impact.

STEVEN NG: Steve Ng again. You've got demo area totaling what I'm looking at as 230 and 442, right?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yeah, but that's --
STEVEN NG: That's all the area I see being called out as demo. And then you're adding over 14 -- about 2,200. STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah, but the original square footage of the house was well under what was allowed by Zoning.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't think that was the question but --

STEVEN NG: You're including the existing basement -level square footage --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. STEVEN NG: -- in your calculation. STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. That is correct. So
that -- yeah, that is a little misleading. It should be, then, it's about 2,300, the original square footage.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So if it's 2,300 as the existing condition, the requested condition is 3,615? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: The ordinance max is 3,617, so you're two square feet under that, but that would I think go to explain the -- what we're seeing graphically, the -- and numerically, the difference. That seems more reasonable than 1,600 square feet.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. STEPHEN HISERODT: Part of that is the requirement for percentage of demolition adds up different aspects of the structure, not necessarily GFA-related.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So I've just made a note of that on the dimensional form that's part of the file. Struck the 3,059 and put in the 2,300.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just for the record.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Sorry for that confusion. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other -- Virginia, do
you have any follow-up to that?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: No. That was very helpful.
Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else have a question? If not, I have one.

Looking at the drawings, there was one thing that caught my eye. And if you could please, Ms. Hiserodt, just explain for me on the -- Stephen, could we go to A105? 105, the roof plan?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. There's a note on the drawing that says, "Porch cover/sunshade meeting the definition of Article 22.53, excluded from gross square footage calculation."

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The Ordinance has since gotten rid of 22.53 , but when it did exist, it referred to floor area exemption or pergolas, arbors, and trellises. And it further said that ground space -- underline ground -directly underneath an outdoor pergola, arbor, or trellis, was exempt from the floor area.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So when you say that -- and do you have a -- do you have the existing condition photo? Sorry, I'm bouncing around.

STEPHEN HISERODT: The issue there was when we initially applied for the permit, the new green zoning had not been --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, correct. I understand.
STEPHEN HISERODT: So we were caught between when applying for the permit, I had to designate these as meeting the pergola condition?

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's my question. Is it a pergola? I'm looking at the --

STEPHEN HISERODT: No, now it is -- because of the zoning change, it is not a pergola, it's a full-covered roof that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- has solar panels on top of it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It does.
STEPHEN HISERODT: So it still does not count as GFA.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Because as you know, the green.

The changes to the green building, this is considered a shade structure now. So as Board members probably recall, coverings over porches in the light constitute a GFA, but they can now be treated as shade devices. And the area beneath them is no longer considered GFA.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
JAMES RAFFERTY: That's an adoption in the last two months.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. So that entire roof structure is covered with a solar array?

STEPHEN HISERODT: It will be. They're not installed yet.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're paid for.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Let's -- any other questions from
members of the Board? If not, I will open up public comment. We do have a number of letters in the file. Oh, okay. Let me just summarize.

We have a letter yesterday the twenty-fourth of January from Gary Hildebrand raising -- strenuously objecting the request for a special permit. They're neighbors, $I$ think, 7 Channing.

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, they're rear abutters on

Traill, I believe, Mr. Chair. JIM MONTEVERDE: The address they have is 7

Channing.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I have another letter from yesterday, the twenty-fourth of January. It's Peter -- I'm sorry, Hans-Peter Biemann. Sorry, I'm trying to read that upside-down. "Family strenuously objects to the approval of the permit without appropriate modifications agreed with the abutters."

And the specific issues are, first solar canopy are disproportionally large and brash and 6 rear sconces, each with lamps pointing up and down are installed up to the roof height.

January 23, Tom Stohlman continues in favor. Joseph Glenmullen, from January 22, in support. Hans-Peter again. Philippe Michelon -- I can't see a date. They are in full support. And I'll stop there and open it to public comment.

Any members -- so members of the public, if I've summarized your letter here, there's really no reason to restate it, but feel free to speak as you wish.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Tom Stohlman?
TOM STOHLMAN: Tom Stohlman at 19 Channing Street. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. Go ahead.

TOM STOHLMAN: Hi. I wanted to make sure that the Board knows I did write to clarify a previous error that I made in the calculation of the basement gross square footage being included or not included.

And I know this really isn't part of the special permit process, but if you're giving feedback to the City, your application still has this as something that should be included in the gross square footage calculations, the BZA application.

And the City's new wording was put in in February of 2023 is actually pretty convoluted and confusing for people reading things new.

So with that over, I'm clarifying the previous error. I want to make sure you all understand the basement square footage is not used in the calculations anymore. And I think people have already stated that it's a little awkward to be discussing a special permit for a project that's already been built, and I want to make clear what I'm in favor of here.

What I'm in favor of is I don't think there's anything to be gained by demolishing the building. I think in the end, what the proponent is going to pay if that's what you decide is just not, where you can be spending that money on other things that will -- it won't exactly solve the problem, but at least it'll help ameliorate it with the neighbors.

Now, I still believe even when you don't include the basement square footage, that this project overall exceeds the FAR requirements. Just a little bit. It's 2040 square feet when I calculate it. I'm an architect too. You're still -- it's still being exceeded.

And, you know, what do we do about that? It's already been built. And I do want to make sure I'm not -I'm not in favor of exceeding the square footage, what I'm in favor of is a reasonable requirement for the owner to look to the neighbors and try to correct what -- the them, I think from the street this thing looks fine. I see it from the street.

But the neighbors are looking at something that has a lot less open space than it used to. It has significantly more massing -- even more so because of this new solar panel amendment.

And I think -- I think something has to be done to help them enjoy their properties too because of these two factors.

So I hope the Board takes that into consideration. That's what I'm in favor of.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments.
Anyone else? Four more.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Gary Hilderbrand?
GARY HILDERBRAND: Mr. Chairman, I'm Gary
Hilderbrand. Can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
GARY HILDERBRAND: Thank you. My wife Pamela Gorgone, and I live at No. 7 Channing Street, as you mentioned. We are direct abutters to the south of the applicant's property. Thank you for hearing our comments.

And I did submit a comment letter yesterday. I'm glad that Maria had confirmed, and the Board members have it in your files.

Before I summarize my comments, I want to give you a relevant story. It's very short. When we submitted our plans for a building permit for 7 Channing Street in 2014, we were advised by then Zoning Officer O'Grady that our calculations were incorrect, and that we needed to take four square feet out of the $24-$-- sorry 2,300 square-foot house that we have. That's net, by the way.

We asked for clarification on how overhangs and how the boiler room had been calculated, but we were asked to come back with a redesign for four square feet. Basements counted then. We sacrificed a third of our basement and we resubmitted and got approval.

I tell you this because it relates that the precision, we have come to expect from the ISD and the BZA.

We're told that Mr. Purdy is careful and generous as a property developer, and that's great to hear. But we feel aggrieved by the process. Tom Stohlman has, you know, indicated one of the reasons why, which is why are we still talking about a special permit, when the project is probably 95 percent complete?

And we're aggrieved by the mass and bulk of what you see on the screen; the -- we believe nonconforming addition.

Counsel's presentation is pretty interesting regarding 8.22.d. Curious reasoning to suggest that a special permit isn't required at all, since that was the assumption when the building permit was granted. It seems to me the City took a risk initially with this building permit. There was a pledge to remove the left-side nonconformity; quite an absurd thing to do. And of course it didn't happen.

There's too much confusion in the area calculations, as we just witnessed. Misleading, to put it mildly, obfuscating more like it.

And again, I suggest that precision is mandated for all of us in this work, and we're not seeing it. We'd
like to know what is the FAR calculation? Tom Stohlman just told you that it exceeds the allowable. Why would we let that happen in a building that's already built?

My wife and I recognize that this beautiful street has homes of diverse sizes and scales and characters. It's kind of what we like about the street. We love living here. But we believe this addition meets neither the letter nor the intent of our Zoning Code.

We find the roof over the deck, the occupiable deck itself and the overall mass and bulk offensive and detrimental to the character of the neighborhood, and they're a nuisance.

And you have four unhappy abutting homeowners who have all themselves abided by Zoning at every turn in their careful stewardship of property and community.

I think this project is a detriment to the community, and if you would show my letter, there are four slides, four images that $I$ think really make my case.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Hans Biemann?
HANS-PETER BIEMANN: Hello. This is Hans Biemann.

Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can. Go ahead.
HANS PETER BIEMANN: Hi. So my full name is Hans-
Peter Biemann. And my family lives at 12 Trail Street, directly behind 5 Channing. So my perspective is that of an abutter to 5 Channing, who in fact grew up in the neighborhood.

And I'd like to point out that to my knowledge, all of the direct abutters to this project have significant reservations and strenuous objections. And four of them, to my awareness, feel that some major remediation needs to be made.

I agree with what Tom said, that nobody is calling for demolition of the building, in terms of the removing the entire addition or anything like that.

I also wanted to point out that during the earlier part of the meeting, I believe a Board member brought up a parameter about the front porch and was referring to ground underneath it. And this is at the ground level that's on the east side.

And then the conversation followed up with the west side third-floor solar roof. So I'm not sure that that
first question really was addressed.
I agree with what's been said that if there's a building permit that calls for a demolition of 18" of the entire side of the house, and for the -- and for some unclarified steps to be taken later in terms of permitting, and then a developer goes ahead and builds out completely, that is taken at some risk.

And I certainly wouldn't have done that, and I'm not sure that it's appropriate that that -- the fact that this is now built be factored in the way some might want to factor it in.

As far as our family's perspective, we bought our property in 2008 because of the setting and the opportunity for this kind of back yard and wonderful surroundings offer open space, trees, sky, pleasant homes.

This new addition adversely affects our property and our use of it. I've noted about living height, lofty observation platform, exaggerated massing in my letter.

And I want to also point out that developers are drawn in by large share of profits. And there are more of these opportunities all over the neighborhood. If the special permits would be granted when there's a
nonconforming, original property and you do build out in the way that this is done, which does require a special permit. If that special permit were duplicated all over the place, $I$ can tell you there are five nonconforming houses neighboring just my house and 5 Channing that would add close to 2000 square feet each on average, and the draw -- the financial draw for developers to come in and steamroll through these neighbors, they can -- replicating what we we're seeing.

And I appreciate if you could go back up from 45 to 44 , to go back to the picture. Because what this shows from our neighbors' house is the view that our neighbor has of this new property. And you can see the two sister houses. These houses are identical houses -- the one on the left behind the tree, which is 3 Channing, and then 5 Channing.

So look at A and look at B. Is this going to be happening? Whenever you have a house like A, are we going to be letting a developer come in, get a special permit, and build a house like B? We're supposed to be protected under Section 10.43, as I understand. And I think it's very clear there's all kinds of adverse effects on the neighborhood
from what we see on the vertical here.
The developer first reached out to me January 13 and has offered to discuss the project with me and his architect, and I'll be happy to do so under a coordinated plan with the BZA as part of the consideration of a permit approval that has appropriate remediation agreed by all of the abutters.

Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your time.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Jonathan Siner?
JONATHAN SINER: Hi. Good evening. Thank you for letting me speak. Last name is Siner. I grew up at 6 Channing Street, which is across the street, with my mother, who lives still at 6 Channing Street.

And I just would support the abutters' concerns about the size and the mass of the property, and also concur with their concerns about the approach that is ongoing with development that bypasses reasonable concerns of abutters to the appearance of the structures.

My specific question that I presume the Board could answer is regarding the allowance related to the pergola -- the green pergola; it allows that to be built.

As someone not familiar with the statutes, I would wonder whether that is intended for something that's based on the ground, rather than in this instance, which effectively is adding a third story to a two-story building.

So I think that may be worth a point of
discussion. I'm not familiar with the Ordinance, but that is not how I would think about it. It's essentially a third story onto this building.

Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If I can address that one. I did some research. And it -- the requirement, the condition that floor area could be exempt for a pergola, arbor, or trellis -- and that would be the ground space beneath that pergola -- was the old Code reference, not the current one.

And the current one which Mr. Rafferty mentioned does allow a covering if there's a solar array on top of it as the -- one of the proponents has said is to be installed.

So that's the difference. Under the current Code, it can be there as a green element, which if the panels are installed, it will be.

All right. Thank you for calling in. Anyone else?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Joe Glenmullen.
JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Hi. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Hi. I'm Joe Glenmullen. I
also live in the neighborhood at 68 Sparks Street. And I wrote a letter in support of the special permit.

I've tried to follow this discussion about all these elements, but it seems to me that the solar structure on the top that we're all looking at right now based on what the Chairman just said is allowed. It seems that the conforming addition is allowed.

So it's really just a question of those 18 inches, which it doesn't -- since there is no extension of the nonconformity, no increase in the nonconformity, it seems -it doesn't seem like there would be any reasonable reason not to allow that 18 " to remain, especially since it's built out.

So I agree with the people who say that it would be absurd to take that 18 " off. And I would just hope that there could be some kind of mitigation at the back with landscaping for the people.

And I agree with the person who said that on

Channing Street, the house actually looks greatly improved.
So I just want to reiterate my support for the special permit if such a thing is necessary. It seems like the only thing really under consideration is those 18". And I haven't really heard anything that would require a special permit for leaving the existing nonconformity if it's not being extended or intensified.

So thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comment.
Anyone else? That seems to be the extent of public commentary.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Public comment? Mr. Rafferty?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Mr. Chairman. Might Mr. Hiserodt be permitted to address the question of the GFA? It's a critical issue, and I understand. This house doesn't have any additional GFA. And I have great respect for Mr. Stohlman. We've shared with him the drawings, the calculations.

It is not the case that this house has excess GFA beyond the allowed FAR. Mr. Hiserodt would mention that. Because if that were the case, this would require a
variance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
JAMES RAFFERTY: And ISD would not have issued that building permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

JAMES RAFFERTY: So Mr. Hiserodt can take you through the review he went with -- through the Building Department on the calculations, floor by floor. So there is a portion of the garage that was included. I've sent Mr. Stohlman some information on it, but I don't want the Board to be left thinking that the GFA as set forth in the dimensional form is less than accurate.

I would like Mr. Hiserodt, who is an experienced architect in this city to at least be given the opportunity to explain to the Board or to affirm the accuracy of the information he's placed in the dimensional form.

JIM MONTEVERDE: We just -- I think we covered that previously. So I don't think there's a reason -- and when we looked at the colored diagrams of what was subtracted for demolition, what was added for the addition.

So I appreciate your comment, Mr. Rafferty, but unless there's another Board member that has a question
relating to that, $I$ think that as far as the Board is
concerned, that's a nonissue. We basically are following the arithmetic that has amended for the existing gross square footage.

As I said, the note that I made in here modifying the dimensional form, the existing condition of approximately 2,300 square feet, and we request the condition of 3,615 , and the Ordinance requirement of 3,617 .

We will assume that ISD reviewed it, and if they had that conversation with Mr. Hiserodt, that's all behind us. And that's done. And we're accepting that. So.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Unless there's a question from a member of the Board about the area tabulation, I don't think that's rightly the item in front of us.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. I'll close public comment, public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Please? I feel like I'm in a deep hole here. STEVEN NG: This is Steve Ng. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

STEVEN NG: I don't understand what point we would
make approving a special permit for this, especially after the building's done. We're getting public feedback on certain issues.

How are we supposed to direct this or guide this applicant to -- you know, work with us to make something that works with the neighbors' support?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEVEN NG: I don't see the point. For me, I honestly -- let's leave -- they went and got a permit, a building permit, because when you say, you'll "Well, we'll just be compliant" all across the board so you chop off 18 inches, you can get a building permit. So you built the building, but make it make -- you want to close out your permit, finish everything that's on the drawings.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I agree.
STEVEN NG: Or convince me otherwise. That's all. I mean.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no. I go back to Mr. Rafferty's presentation, and I have open in front of me my second favorite paragraph in the Ordinance, 5.22.2.d. and what's advertised. And I'll read it.

And it says, "Special Permit to build a conforming
addition to an existing non-conforming Single Family
Residence. The proposed addition does not increase the nature of the existing nonconformity."

I don't think we need to approve a special permit to do that. It's conforming. There's no need -- they're not asking for anything in here that requires a special permit. They're not asking for a waiver of the fact that the existing building is 18 " over the property line. It is, and it'll remain that way.

So I think we could agree not to rule on the special permit by simply saying there's actually no basis for a special permit here; that as advertised, to build a conforming addition, it's conforming and it's allowed.

And I sympathize with the neighbors, and I understand their concerns about massing and everything else that goes along with it, but it's a conforming addition.

And the special permit is really -- the way it's written is asking for nothing. So no relief. So I think there's no way I could vote for a special permit. Anybody else have any comment?

DANIEL HIDALGO: I tend to agree. And also, I feel a little bit uncomfortable trying to intervene with the
neighbors to try to come up with some kind of accommodation. I don't think that's really our role at this point. That's the only thing I wanted to say.

STEPHEN HISERODT: So this changes everything. DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I just --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Go ahead.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other comments from --
questions, comments, discussion from members of the Board?
Does everyone feel there's something to vote on here?
STEVEN NG: Well, I guess the question is the
addition -- like you were saying, Jim, is there's no issues with it, since it's within the bound-- you know, setbacks and so on. But they did get a building permit that requires them to take the 18" off the existing structure. Isn't that correct?

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's what $I$ understand.
STEVEN NG: So that is -- that's their fight,
right, with the ISD?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct. To close out the permit.

STEVEN NG: Right. I don't think our decision should make any comment to -- you know, any opinion on
whether or not that should be changed in their building permit. I think that's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. They're not asking for it. STEVEN NG: Right.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't want to add something to the application that's been made to the Zoning Board that's not there.

STEVEN NG: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I don't see it there. So --
JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, might I be heard just on a procedural question, then?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, please.
JAMES RAFFERTY: So as you know, the Board -- an
applicant -- the applicant was directed to seek this special permit by Inspectional Services. And there has been some back and forth for an extended period of time over the applicability or the necessity of this special permit.

We are making the contention that this special permit is not needed, in which case the Board would have to make a finding.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I agree.
JAMES RAFFERTY: But they agreed with that
conclusion. I don't think the Board can simply walk away from this case without addressing that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I -- sorry. I didn't mean to imply in anything I discussed that we're walking away. We will come to a finding.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The finding is that the special
permit's not -- you know, based on the application, no
special -- we find that no special permit is required.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. That's all.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? I think you made that clear before, and I have followed that.

Members of the Board, are you comfortable with that?

CAROL AGATE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol, yep, Virginia?
BILL BOEHM: Can I ask for a clarification?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Not at the moment.
JAMES RAFFERTY: No. No, don't ask.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Not in there. Carol, thank you.
Virginia, are you also on this one?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you ok with that?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Daniel, you're ok with that? Steven, you're okay with that?

STEVEN NG: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. So the motion. Well, the Chair makes a motion just to say that we have found under the finding that the special permit applied for for Case 245989, specifically to build a conforming addition to an existing nonconforming single-family residence -- I know -- the proposed addition does not increase the nature of the existing nonconformity.

Give me one second. I have someone who wants to pull me back from the edge here. Where was I?

So we come to a finding that the special permit requested is not required by the description here to build a conforming addition to an existing, nonconforming singlefamily residence and the proposed addition does not increase the nature of the existing conformity.

And basically the advertisement in the ask, there is no request for relief. It's a conforming addition, and the existing house will remain nonconforming in that it sits
over the property line.
So with that garbled motion, Board members take a voice vote. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Have a good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I take a five-minute break?

STEVEN NG: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Everyone be back in five. Thank
you.
(BREAK)
(8:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is the Regular Agenda. We're rewinding the clock to 6:30. And we have
myself, Steven Ng, I just saw you.
STEVEN NG: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel, you're here? And Zaraya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: Zarya here.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya. It's been so long I
couldn't --
ZARYA MIRANDA: I know.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- pronounce your name.
ZARYA MIRANDA: -- nice to see you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Nice to see you too. Okay. We can proceed if everyone's ready. So the next case -- this is the first Regular Agenda case is BZA-252059 -- 1 Langdon Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak to us about this one?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Good evening, Mr. Chairman.

This is Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- 12 Marshall Street, Boston.
How are you? Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Good.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Great. Thanks so much. I am
here representing the owner and petitioner, which is John Harvard LLC, probably the best name in town; and Andy Martineau and Mark Levin from John Harvard LLC are here with me as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And this is an application -JIM MONTEVERDE: Before you get into it -SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- can I ask you a quick question?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry to interrupt.
SARAH RHATIGAN: No, no problem.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I notice you were on the Planning
Board Agenda for earlier this week?
SARAH RHATIGAN: We were, and there was --

JIM MONTEVERDE: And I don't see anything in the file from them.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. The --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Did it get reviewed there or discussed?

SARAH RHATIGAN: It wasn't -- unfortunately, the Planning Board had -- there was a technical issue where the City's website was down. So they weren't actually able to hold the meeting.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I did speak to -- I had spoken to Swaathi Joseph from CDD prior to the Planning Board meeting, just to ask her about the -- you know, the providence of them calling the case to the Planning Board.

And she had said that that was as a routine matter, because as you'll hear in our petition, the property previously got a Planning Board special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Yeah. I saw that.
SARAH RHATIGAN: But it was not an instance where any of the Board members had -- you know, asked to hear it for any -- you know, because there were any concerns.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

SARAH RHATIGAN: So -- and I'm sorry, I'm also just getting a text that Mark Levin from our team needs to be promoted to a panelist. If the Staff could help us with that, that would be great.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Yep, sorry for the interruption, but $I$ just want to confirm we can go ahead here --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and we don't need to continue or something else.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, no we're -- we're -- I think we're all set.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: We should be fine. Thank you. Thank you for that. And I also wanted to just ask whoever -- I'm not sure who's running the slides. We had sent in a presentation slide set this just peeled back some of the language and has a couple more photos. I'm not sure if they're able to post those as we're talking. We're trying to make it easier as opposed to harder.

But anyway, I'll start talking. So this is a project -- this is an apartment building on Langdon, right
at the corner of Langdon and Massachusetts Avenue, just outside of Harvard Square, just north of -- oh, I see. Thank you. I see Mark is here -- just north of Cambridge Common.

And it's an existing apartment building. The building was built in $I$ think 1924. And what we are doing today is requesting that the basement -- a basement area that is on -- as we're looking at the site plan right now, it's a portion of the building that faces Mass Ave.

A portion of that area has been used as leasing office for Chestnut Hill Realty, who manages the building. It's no longer used for the leasing office. We're asking that this be converted to two residential apartments.

But the history to this goes back to the Planning Board again. So if you could advance back up towards the floor plans, so I'll just kind of run through this briefly.

So prior to 2014 -- what we're doing is we're just showing you the basement plan, because that's the only thing that is at issue here -- prior to 2014, you can see in the highlighted on the right-hand side it says, "Existing apartment" there's kind of a large-ish apartment that was on the right there, facing Mass Ave.

And then below that, there was a smaller existing office for the rental group.

And this was the time where the owner applied to the Planning Board for a special permit under the Massachusetts -- the Mass Ave Corridor's Basement Overlay District provisions that allow for building out basement apartments if a building's in existence, and if the building already has a basement apartment.

So the applicants were eligible for the special permit applied in 2014.

If you could go to the next page?
The next page shows the plans that were approved by the special permit in 2014, and the boxes circling the five apartments that were allowed to be constructed -- a combination of studios and one-bedrooms.

And then if you look to the right, the area in question, it was -- you know, maintained in the existing apartment and maintaining the existing office at the front. If you can go to the next slide?

So that work was all completed, and the building had -- at that point it was permitted to have 38 units. Opposite And then at some time -- I'm sorry, I'm not sure

Andy or Mark if you have the exact date, but at some time after the five units were constructed, it was decided that the existing apartment, the original existing apartment, which was quite outdated and a little bit too large for their uses, would be abandoned and converted to an expanded office for the company.

So as you can see in this photo, this is -- this plan is showing you what the existing office space looks like. So basically, they took over what used to be the larger apartment, they created a reception area. This is all accessed by a stairwell down to this kind of lower level office.

And what we are asking today is to convert this office area to the right, which is -- you know, not needed, to convert -- to basically reestablish a residential apartment and to add a second apartment.

If you can go to the next slide, No. 10, so this is showing the two proposed basement units. This is a Variance Request. We can't use the Basement Overlay provisions to add these units back.

We kind of went through a process of talking to the Planning Staff and to Inspectional Services about this,
and they agreed that unfortunately by abandoning the existing apartment in that basement, we lost the use of that as residential use.

But this is really an ideal setup for the -- both the owners, and we hope for the city of Cambridge as well by providing some much-needed, you know, residential apartment space.

If you could advance to the photos, we wanted to just show if you could reorient those for us, that would be great. Just talk a little bit about the minimal impacts here.

So what you're looking at here is the corner of the building as it's seen -- you're standing on Langdon Street and Mass Ave is to your right. So you can see the leasing sign there, that blue sign.

And if you see the wrought iron handrail in front of you, those are the -- that's the stairs that lead down to the leasing office.

And that first -- that basement level with the windows there is what would be converted to the residential apartments.

Next slide, please? Or next photo?

So we're just kind of walking you around the building. So now this is the section that is on Mass Ave as you are looking north.

And so, you can see these windows already exist. There's not going to be -- the proposed change of the use of the inside of the building doesn't result in requiring any changes to the exterior. All the windows can remain the same. The visual will be the same.

Next photo please?
This is just a straight-on shot of how the building looks from Mass Ave.

Next slide?
Just from a different angle.
Next slide?

And this is showing the other side. There's a driveway that leads back to a parking area in the rear. And this is showing what those windows looks like. So this -again, this would all be apartment windows.

Next slide?
More photos. This is of looking towards Mass Ave.
And I think there's just one more photo, or maybe this is the last one. Yes. That's good.

So in terms of the Variance request, the -- I can go -- I'm happy to go through as much of the sort of the hardship arguments as you'd like. We've tried to put that into the application to detail it for you, but it is a quite unique property in terms of a number of factors, both the fact that it's a large kind of oddly-shaped building with a -- sort of a half-level basement that is a little unique.

The lot is situated both in the Business District at the front, and then it has a little section of Residential at the back, so that affects -- you know, unit counts that would be allowed on the lot.

The only thing that's happening with the change of the use in the basement is that it increases the nonconformity. It's already a preexisting, nonconforming apartment building. I think under current zoning, the lot would only allow for 15 units.

But as I mentioned, you know, it was original 33. Special permit allowed for it to be 38. We lost a unit, we went to 37, and we're trying to go back up to 39.

But the relative impacts to the community should be none to -- actually we would argue probably an improvement in the sense that it will reduce the amount of
public coming and going to the leasing office, less stops and traffic in terms of -- you know, people parking in the front to try to run into the rental office.

These new apartments are at the front of the building, the furthest away from the residential portion of the lot and the residential district up Langdon Street.

Let's see. I think that that's it. And we'd be happy to hear any questions if the Board has questions or comments.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the Board? If not, our file contains -- for public comment -contains one letter dated January 15, from Salim Tabit who is in support of the zoning relief, and I'll open it up to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a
time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
It seems that no one is raising their hands, in which case we'll close public testimony.

Any discussion among members of the Board? Ready for a motion? Anyone?

STEVEN NG: Yeah, we're ready.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Excellent. Thank you.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements, and $I$ think that is specifically dealing with the number of dwelling units where the existing condition is 37 and the requested is 39, and the Ordinance requirement is 15 . So that, at least to my eye, is the only relief necessary for the dimensional form.

Under Section 8.22.3, alteration to a nonconforming structure. Section 5.26 for Conversion -conversion from Office to Residential, and 10.30 for a

Variance. And I think the hardship that had been laid out in the correspondence we have from the proponent, as well as the additional apartments, certainly help the city's need for more dwelling units.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "1-3 Langdon Street," prepared by Lowe Associates and dated December 4, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. And there are no conditions.

Board vote please, by a voice vote? Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor.

> [All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor, relief is granted. Thank you.

STEVEN NG: Thank you. Have a good evening. SARAH RHATIGAN: Thanks very much. JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
(8:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-251720 -- 55
Stearns Street.
Is there anyone here who wishes to speak about 55 Stearns Street? Michael Wiggins, are you participating in this case?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. I don't know if you can hear me.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Oh, okay. Mr. Chairman, it's Michael Wiggins with the Law Firm of Weston Patrick at One Liberty Square in Boston.

I'm here this evening representing Steffen and Meredith Lyngbaek. They are the owners of 55 Stearns Street, and they're proposing to -- they're looking for a special permit to add some living space within their dwelling at 55 Stearns Street.

They are in a side yard setback, but with respect
to an addition they want to do a second floor, so that's pretty much what they're -- why they're here for a special permit.

They're also making some changes to the front of the building, which is within the front yard setback. So those are the principal things that they're looking for.

They're also going to be asking for a variance this evening. They have a peculiar situation at 55 Stearns Street, and it's at the corner of Esten Street, I believe.

And on that is -- the problem there is the -- I'm sorry, there is a garage right on the corner that's too close to the corner.

Could I interrupt for one second my presentation? I'm having trouble with my architect. Tara Nuqul is trying to join the conversation. I think she has her hand raised, and I'd like to have her added as a panelist, if possible.
[Pause]
TARA NUQUL: Hi, we were able to join. Thank you, Mike.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Okay. So we have Tara with us, as well as Sam Kachmar and Associates (sic) with us this evening. I just was starting to talk about the garage. And
what I -- what you'll see as Tara walks you through is that the garage where ostensibly a car could be parked is too close to the corner. It's within 25'.

And so, the proposal is to move what's a very awkward parking space sort of on the side of the road at 55 Stearns around to Esten Street, where the car would be able to come in to the lot and be well-screened, due to -- there, and be able to park in the garage from the rear.

So -- and just I should mention that Steffen and Meredith are proposing the changes to the building because they have a young family and are going to need more space. And Tara can walk you through what they'll be gaining with this additional square footage.

So I'll let Tara go ahead now and just walk you through the plans.

TARA NUQUL: Thank you, Mike. Good evening members of the Board. My name is Tara Nuqul here with Sam Kachmar and the rest of the team. We're here today requesting relief by way of special permit regarding the proposed work in the front yard and side yard setback line. The areas we require relief for are all preexisting, nonconforming areas.

> We're also requesting relief for a variance regarding the removal of the curb cut located at the front elevation on Stearns Street.

If you can go to the first sheets and the cover page, please.

This sheet is where you can see a picture on the left of the existing house, and a render of the proposed work on the right side.

Next slide, please.
The top center of this page shows the FAR calculations. We're increasing the FAR from 0.54 to 0.62 , which is below the maximum FAR of 0.75 . We're raising the building height from 27' to 32'8", which is also below the maximum height of $35^{\prime}$.

Our proposed open space calculation is 62 percent, which is more than double the minimum open space percentage. As you can see so far, and especially as it's related to the relief we request, our goal had been to create a modest and contextually respectful project.

Next slide, please.
This sheets shows the existing site plan. With the setback plans in red, the property lines in blue and the
garage setback lines in green. At the bottom of the plan, you can see the existing curb cut located at the Stearns Street that we are proposing to remove and relocate to Esten Street.

We are proposing to relocate the main driveway in efforts to lower overall car circulation within Stearns Street, while also increasing accessibility to pedestrian walkways, which are currently blocked if cars are parked in the existing driveway.

Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the proposed site plan. On the right side of the site plan, you can see the proposed curb cuts and driveway location on Esten Street. This curb cut location allows for less traffic on Stearns Street as well as a more aesthetically pleasing front elevation, where the proposed cover porch will be located.

In addition, the front porch provides higher curb appeal and more opportunities for community building between our clients and the surrounding community.

Next slide, please.
On this sheet, we have the two renders side-byside. The render on the left shows the existing conditions,
and the render on the right shows the proposed work.
Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the solar studies of the existing and proposed work. You can see that the proposed work has no significant impact on any of the neighboring houses in terms of increased shadows.

Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the existing streetscape photo from Stearns Street on the top of the page and the proposed render on the bottom. In this view, you can see the proposed covered porch in place of the existing driveway. You can see that we are keeping a lot of the existing elements of the house as well as adding decorative details, which keep to the roots of Cambridge.

Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the existing streetscape photo from Esten Street on the top of the page and the proposed render on the bottom. From this view, you can see the proposed driveway.

Next slide, please.
On the top left corner, we have the existing floor plan for level $z$. And in the center of the sheet we have the
proposed floor plan. We are asking for relief on the windows highlighted in red.

Next slide, please.
On the top left corner, we have the existing floor plan for level 1, and in the center of the sheet we have the proposed floor plan.

We are asking for relief on the windows highlighted in red. We are also asking for relief on the new front door that has is being centered on the wall, as well as the front porch, which is being extended over to the right.

Next slide, please?
On the top left corner, you can see the existing floor plan for level 2. And in the center of the sheet, we have the proposed floor plan.

We are asking for relief on the window highlighted in red.

Next slide, please.
On the top left corner, we have the existing floor plan for level 3 and the center of the sheet you have the proposed floor plan.

We are asking for relief on the new roof and new
dormer, which are highlighted in red. Both dormers are 12'4" from the exterior dimensions. As you can see, having the dormers allow for both bedrooms on this floor to have a new bathroom with modest head height.

Next slide, please.
On the top left corner, we have the existing roof plan, and in the center of the sheet we have the proposed roof plan.

We are asking for relief on the new roof and new dormer, which are -- and the new flat roof above the porch at level 1. These items are highlighted in red.

Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the south elevation. On the top half of the sheet we have the existing elevation, and on the bottom half we have the proposed elevation.

On the proposed elevation, you can see that we are increasing the building height by 5'7". This makes the building height 32'8", which is below the maximum height of $35^{\prime}$.

We are also proposing new windows at the front entry, as well as a new flat roof that ties in the existing bumpout to the new porch. These items are highlighted in
red.

Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the west elevation. On the top
half of the sheet we have the existing elevation, and on the bottom half we have the proposed elevation. We are asking for relief on the items that are highlighted in red.

Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the north elevation. On the top half of the sheet, we have the existing elevation, and on the bottom half we have the proposed elevation.

We are asking for relief on the items highlighted in red.

Next slide, please.
This sheet shows the east elevation. On the top half of the sheet we have the existing elevation. On the bottom half, we have the proposed. We are asking for relief on the items highlighted in red.

Next slide, please.
On this sheet, we have some additional photos showing the existing site conditions.

Next slide, please.
On this sheet, we're showing the certified plot
plan.
Next slide, please.
On this sheet we have a $Q R$ code that you can scan to see a rendered review of the proposed work. This is the end of our presentation. Thank you for your time and consideration.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: If I may, Mr. Chairman, I -- my clients themselves have exhaustively contacted the abutters list and have engendered from that effort I would say unanimous support.

Maybe only a couple of people have not responded, but we have -- as you can see from the file a lot of support, including from the direct neighbors who are most impacted by this addition at 51 Stearns.

So I would submit that this project can be implemented, the special permit, with no detriment at all to the neighborhood. And so, certainly I think we have the standards we need for issuance of the special permit.

With regard to the variance, I think the hardship is evident, given the location of this ancient garage. It might have been used for something at some point, some
vehicle, but certainly is no longer able -- it could not be used now.

And I think we've improved the situation considerably by relocating an awkward parking situation on the Stearns Street side and relocating it to the Esten Street side.

So I would submit that the standards for hardship have been met, and that the public good is going to be served by that improvement.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I'm just curious if the garage is not currently being used as a garage, is the intent that once you change the curb cut, it would be used as a garage versus a storage or something? Just wondering as that pertains to the parking in front of the garage.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: As I understand it, yes. It would be used for parking and conceivably, I mean there might be a car in the garage and there's enough room to put one behind it outdoors.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions?

I have a couple comments. Regarding the variance, which is to relocate the driveway within the front yard setback, I don't object to that per se, and I appreciate you getting the cars off of Stearns Street and where they appear to park in the front yard setback.

And I'm not sure I caught what you just said, Mr. Wiggins, completely. But $I$ was going to ask if you would accept a condition that the proponents not park in that driveway; that that's access to the garage, they use the garage, and that's fine. But not in the driveway. Is that possible?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Well, the only thing, Mr. Chairman, I'd submit is that when it -- when a car is in the driveway, if you look -- and perhaps Tara could direct us to the slide that shows the picture of the fence --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I saw it. I saw it. So the fence is there to screen, yeah, I understand.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: It does. And so, you know, I -I would respectfully submit that it would not be much of an impediment to the public if for example if there is a second car -- we obviously can't fit two in the garage -- that it would be allowed as long as the garage is used principally
for at least one car.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can they park on the street?
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Certainly they can, but I think that -- we're actually benefitting the public by being able to use that off-street area that is screened.

So I think the -- I understand not wanting to park
in the front yard setback, but in this case, I think we've achieved enough of the screen that $I$ don't think it would be objectionable to the public and Esten Street is a fairly narrow street and doesn't have a lot of parking on it, so. STEVEN NG: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEVEN NG: Jim, I think Esten Street I kind of the very appropriate location for the cars entering and exiting from the resident site and --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree.
STEVEN NG: -- that, you know, that public way is predominantly for vehicular access, like all the neighboring properties have their driveways, you know. So I don't think it's a detriment if there is a car kind of be in the driveway area from outside of the site.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. And one
further question I have, relative to one of the special permit items. You're asking for relief to relocate the front and rear decks. It's the front deck that concerns me. Can you go to the -- I think it's a 3D view, or the isometric?

Yep. There you go. Go back one. Sorry. There you go.

It seems that -- and then this gets repeated in the elevation studies for the front of the house -- that that front porch I'll call it, deck, and the roof over it -I don't mind the deck so much, it's the roof over it -seems to break, at least in this description of the properties to the left, it seems like that front addition that exists already steps to the street, intrudes in the front yard setback, where all the neighbors seem to be able to stay within that.

It seems the garage is placed almost within the front yard setback off of Stearns.

But where you already have that intrusion into the front yard setback, this makes it even more dramatic and adds onto it across the front of the building. I'm troubled by it. So I don't know if any other members have a concern
about it.
Otherwise, I'd ask if there are any -- if there's a possibility to look at that and not increase the roofline across the front of the house within the front yard setback.

Anybody else have any concerns about that?
Members of the Board? No? Hearing none? Okay.
Anyone else have any other questions? Others, I'll open it up to public commentary.
[Pause]
I'm hearing no other questions. There is one last
-- oh, I stand corrected. There are -- my count yesterday, and I'll confirm it now as I go through these, there were seven pieces of correspondence in favor and none objecting.

And we have the following in the file: Dated January 26, unfortunately they didn't print their name, but 16 Newell Street is in support. January 12, Paula Cortes, I believe in support.

January 6; $I$ can't tell what that signature is, but 48 Stearns Street in support. January 6, I believe it's Anita McClellan in support. January 6, Justi Godoy in support. January 6, Jeffrey Garland and Astrid Werner in support. January 6, James Mahoney in support.

William Hudson, January 12, in support.
And those are the letters we have in the file. So I will open it up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: James Mahoney?
JAMES MAHONEY: Hi, this is James Mahoney. I live at 234A Walden Street, 232-234. I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: We can hear you, yep. We can
hear you.
JAMES MAHONEY: Oh. On the -- Okay. Can you hear
me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Please, go ahead.
JAMES MAHONEY: Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, please --
JAMES MAHONEY: Oh, okay. So I unconditionally
support what they want to do here. It makes perfect sense. All of our driveways come off of Esten Street. It makes perfect sense to driveway come off.

In fact, when you look at photographs there, there's a double gate that is used for access. It has been used previously for access back there.

We all park -- some of us park out in the open. I park in the garage so I'm fortunate. I see this house from the back of my property. I have a two-story, detached property there. I can see the house from both floors, could makes -- does anything detrimental to the view of my house.

As far as the porch across the front, the -concerned that they made sure that the "new people" -- quote -- were going to be engaged with the neighborhood and be able to use a porch so they could see and talk to people.

And both Steffen and Meredith have independently said that that was one of the things that they were looking forward to.

So I think from having lived in this neighborhood most of my life, I think what they're trying to do fits in really well with the neighborhood. It makes perfect sense to me, and I support everything that they want to do.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments. Anyone else? Nope. That looks like it's it. So we'll close public testimony.

Any discussion among members of the Board? Either a variance or the special permit?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion, unless there's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEVEN NG: -- none.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We'll take these one at a time. Let's start with the variance. And that's to relocate the driveway within the front yard setback. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Dimensional Requirements

Article 6; 6.44.1.c, Parking in Front Yard Setback; and the conditions of 10.3, Variance.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled, "Lyngbaek Residence, prepared by SKA Architects and dated Jan 19, 2024; initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. And no conditions.

Board members, please, by voice vote. Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the variance is granted.
Special permit: To raise a roof and add dormers to third floor, relocate front and rear decks and add
windows within setbacks. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 8.22.2.d and 8.22.2.c, Nonconforming Structure; and Section 10.40 for a Special Permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled -- I'm sorry, I just had it in front of me -- "Lyngbaek Residence," prepared by SKA Architects date January 19, 2024, initialed by and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application, with no conditions. Board vote by voice vote, please? Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Special permit is granted as well. Congratulations. Thank you.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
TARA NUQUL: Thank you for your time. Have a good evening.
(8:43 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-251999. It's a special permit. Is there anyone who wishes to speak to us about that case?

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Hi. My name is Jonathan Pagaduan, architect.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. We can hear you. Go ahead.

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Great. So we're requesting a special permit for the 72 A Inman Street for the -- I'm representing Angela and Daniel -- Angela Jaimes and Daniel C. Monet.

We're requesting a special permit as we're -- for the reasons being the existing structures currently existing were built in the -- both side setbacks, left and right. And along with the increase in the GFA for the dormer on the third floor.
If you can go to the next slide?

So these are some renderings that were produced just to show representation of what we have in mind, both left, center and right.

If you can go to the next slide?
So this is what we're showing, existing
conditions. Mainly, we're going to be demolishing or doing most of the work on the second and third floors. We're going to be moving the primary -- their existing primary on the second floor to the third floor, which is where we're going to be adding the dormers and adding an additional full bathroom.

Currently, the existing home only has one full bath with one half-bath on the first floor. So this is an increase that would be beneficial for them.

If you can go to the next slide showing proposed.
So you can see here on the -- what we're proposing is to add an additional bedroom onto the second floor with a full -- relocating the third or the full -- the bath to the front from the rear, and replacing it and turning that into a full bedroom.

And the third floor we'll be turning, like I mentioned previously, into the new primary suite, including
an office space and a walk-in closet with the full primary bath.

So both sides will have dormers, which are -would be sitting in the setbacks. I think it's 7.5 required, but it's just not possible to do that on this site, as it's super narrow.

Yeah. I mean, that's -- next slide, I guess? JIM MONTEVERDE: While you're on that slide -JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: If you can go back to the third floor, can you -- I'm not able to read the dimensions on the screen that I'm looking at, but can you tell me what the dormer dimensions are in total for both sides of the roof? JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: In total? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, please. Total on each side.

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: The -- I guess -- can -- so one side would be I think that says 9'2.5" and 8'6". JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: And then on the opposing side it is 15'7" and that number is a little tough to read. I think it's 6'9.5".

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. That's enough. Can I stop you there for a moment? So there's a letter in the file -JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- from the Mid Cambridge
Neighborhood Conservation District Commission, which has reviewed the plans.

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And it states -- and I quote,
"The proposed dormers comply with the City of Cambridge Design Guidelines for roof dormers."

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't think I agree. I think those Guidelines say specifically that you're allowed 15' of dormer on either side of the roof. And I think you're well beyond that. On one side of the roof you're -- well, on both sides of the roof you're just -- you're beyond it. JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Right. JIM MONTEVERDE: So I for one would not at the moment view this favorably. Also looking at what the uses are, gives someone a nice, generous primary bedroom. I don't know that $I$ would be at the moment sympathetic to the amount of dormers that you're creating:

One, because I do not it conforms to the Dormer Guidelines, and then $I$ think it has issues with what the rest of the character of the neighborhood is. But I just want you to know that before we go too much further.

But do you have anything else you want to present? Go through elevations or anything else?

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: It would just be the elevations. I think that would be the last slide, I think. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: These are the -- this would be, this is the existing. You can go to the next one. So yeah, these would be the elevations with the proposed dormers on either side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.
JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: So the following slide after this, I did a quick walkaround the area. There are a couple of examples of existing homes with similar dormers that I thought would set a precedent, but I'm not sure of that. JIM MONTEVERDE: Not a good one. JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Again, that's just me speaking personally.

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anything else to present?
JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: I believe that's everything that we've submitted, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you and on your site elevations, where I think you said you're within the side yard setback, the elevations that you're showing, are any of those windows moving around, or are you just replacing --

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: No. We're just replacing them with the -- we're --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: -- all the windows are remaining.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Any questions from members of the Board? All right. I will -- let me look in the file. There are no correspondences from neighbors or abutters.

There is the correspondence I referred to from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission dated January 2. Again, it reads: "Approval was granted
based on the following findings of fact: One, the proposed dormers comply with the City of Cambridge Design Guidelines for roof dormers." I don't think that's correct, but that's what it says.

And two, "The proposed window placements will
remain -- will maintain the character and style of the original windows." And it is not -- it's not asking that you go back and submit any details or anything else before you're done. So it's approved as depicted on your drawings. That's everything that's in the file.

So let's open it up to see if there are any public comments.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anyone? Yes.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Ann Spanel.
ANN SPANEL: Thank you. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
ANN SPANEL: Okay, great. Sorry, I'm just
enlarging my statement here. My name is Ann Spanel. And I have lived at 85 Pemberton Court for 28 years. I'm an abutter to 45 Cogswell, Apartment No. 7, that's the property. And that's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me. We're talking about 72 --

ANN SPANEL: Oh, I'm sorry. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Inman Street.

ANN SPANEL: Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wrong case?
ANN SPANEL: Yeah. Wrong case.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Come back in a bit. Next
one. Anyone wishing to speak about --
STEPHEN NATOLA: Joseph Artley.
JOSEPH ARTLEY: Hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
JOSEPH ARTLEY: Oh, there we go. Okay. I am Joe

Artley.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you introduce yourself, please.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: 72 Inman Street. And I am right next to Angela and Dan's house. They're my new neighbors. And as new neighbors, $I$ know they've got a couple of new kids, and the third-floor expansion would help them a great deal. And as a consequence, $I$ am in favor of their application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. Thank you for taking the time to talk to us.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: And Jim, when are you going to come back to the $Y$ ?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Soon as I get too fat to walk around, I think.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: [Laughter].
JIM MONTEVERDE: Anyone else? That's it for our public testimony. Discussion amongst the Board members. Does anybody share my concern about the amount of dormer or the dormer length, or are you ok with it?

STEVEN NG: Yeah. I mean the Guidelines are there, and, you know, for -- to use -- out of I guess what's
the Board's favor in terms of, I mean, I can see how the third floor is, you need the dormers to get some more space up there.

I think they could still get a pretty good master suite, even with -- if you're working with the 15' on each side, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's my sense.
STEVEN NG: Just wanted to -- was checking to see how everyone --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEVEN NG: -- was just thinking about it.
JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Again, the Board has typically interpreted that the Guideline, especially the length and a couple of other details, but the length in particular rather specifically and regularly. So anyone else? Any other comments, any discussion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I concur. It's really pretty far over the 15' on each side and --

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yep.
DANIEL HIDALGO: -- I feel like we should try to be consistent to the Board. So I -- you know, given some of
our earlier discussions, I agree that it would be useful for the proponent to think about ways of bringing that in.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So Jonathan, you heard from three of us. Assume that those are three -- you need four out of five positive --

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- votes to get your request. JOSEPH ARTLEY: Right.

JIM MONTEVERDE: The options that I have are go ahead with a vote and you can read the tea leaves, or we can continue and allow you to go back and work to -- and please, don't ask your Conservation Commission for guidance on the dormers --

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Got it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- in terms of what the Dormer
Guideline is. But if you don't have a copy of them, I believe they're available electronically.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You've got to hunt for it, but
you'll - find it. If not, you stop in ISD, they'll hand you a paper copy of it.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Mm-hm.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Please take a look at those and I think the piece in particular that a few of us are objecting to is the reference that the maximum dormer width, however many dormers you want to spread this over -JOSEPH ARTLEY: Mm-hm. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- is 15' on each side. JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And I agree with Steve -- you know, Steven's comment that just looking at your plan, it looks like there is a way to do that on both sides, even if you maxed it out with 15 square feet per side. So that would be if you want to continue.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Basically, go back and take a look.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yeah. I think we'll continue, then.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Continue? Okay. In that case, let me make a motion to continue this matter until -- can everyone here tonight do February 29? Jonathan, you too? JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: February 29? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

DANIEL HIDALGO: I can do that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Rest of the Board members?
STEVEN NG: I can do that too.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes, that's fine.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?

ZARYA MIRANDA: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Jonathan, that works for you?

JOHNATHAN PAGADUAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So that'll be 02/29/24.
So let me make a motion to continue this matter until

February 29, 2024, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of February 29, 2024, and the time of 6:00 p.m. Please be careful to do the time also.

Also, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department. I ask that you sign the
waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday.

Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals, changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements that those be in in the file by 5:00 p.m. on Monday prior to the continued meeting.

On the motion to continue this matter until
February 29, 2024, by a voice vote of the Board members, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor, the matter is continued.
(8:58 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-252425 -- 45

Cogswell Avenue No. 7.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Good evening again, Mr.
Chairman. It's Michael Wiggins from the Law Firm of Weston Patrick in Boston.

And here with me tonight are Michael Brodie, my client, his architects -- Anja Pajevic of SKA Architects. They should be on as panelists with me, but we can --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- just confirm that.
MICHAEL BRODY and ANJA PAJEVIC: We're here, Mike.
ANJA PAJEVIC: Yeah, we're here.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Okay. You're there. And I
don't know if Michael is with us as well. Perhaps he could raise his hand and then join us.

MICHAEL BRODIE: Yes, I'm here.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Oh, excellent. Thank you,

Michael. Michael is a longtime professor at Harvard University who has lived at this property for over 42 years. He's looking -- there's a picture on the front -- he's looking to make a very small addition to the rear of his property to accommodate his needs.

I'd like him to just very briefly describe to you why he's looking to do this. He has personal reasons having to do with his longevity there and future needs.

MICHAEL BRODIE: Yeah. Thank you very much, Mike. I've lived for 42 years in my home that has never been renovated. To age in place, I'd like to make my home safer and more habitable.

On the second floor, I want to widen my narrow kitchen a bit to make it easier to maneuver while cooking. On the third floor, $I$ want to replace my bathtub with a safer, more accessible walk-in shower.

And on the ground floor, I want to add a small bathroom and add a little more space to what is currently a single, small bedroom so that my daughter and her husband and my new 6-week-old granddaughter can visit. So that's why I'd like to do it.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Thank you, Michael. So now I'd
like to turn it over to Anja, who can walk through these plans and show you how they appear visually, and also the dimensions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, can Anja please focus or be sure to mention what pieces you are actually looking for relief for?

ANJA PAJEVIC: Yes. Good evening, members of the Board. I am Anja Pajevic from SKA, joined here today by Sam Kachmar. We are representing Michael Brodie for the special permit at 45-7 Cogswell.

This proposal involves a modest rear addition and the extension of an existing second-level deck to this single-family home and Residential Zone B.

Relief is primarily sought for the rear setback, as we request to bring the existing home in alignment with the adjacent property at 45-6 Cogswell.

On this page, you can see the existing home on the left and proposed home on the right.

Please go to the next page.
This is just the -- thank you. This sheet contains quantitative values and descriptors pertaining to both the existing and proposed home. The areas of
importance for the special permit are the floor area ratio, or $F A R$, and the setbacks, which this proposed addition seeks to only enlarge these existing nonconformities.

With the maximum FAR of 0.5 , the existing FAR is nonconforming at 0.762 . The proposed addition will increase the FAR by 0.138, totaling the proposed FAR of 0.9. These values can be seen under the FAR calculations.

In addition, the existing home does not conform to the setbacks on the front, right, nor rear setback. In the proposed addition, we are requesting relief primarily for the rear setback, as we exasperate (sic) that existing nonconforming. This is more easily illustrated on the next page.

Next page, please.
This sheet compares the existing and proposed site plan. The existing site plan is located on the left and the proposed on the right. The outer blue lines on each site plan delineate the property line, while the inner blue lines represent the property setbacks for Residential Zone B.

As seen here, the existing home is nonconforming on the front, right or rear setback. The area of relief is highlighted in red.

The requested area encompasses the proposed addition to align with the adjacent property, resulting in 4.5' addition, as well as expanding the existing deck by 8" towards the rear setback.

The existing rear wall of the home is at 90'2.5" from the rear property line, and the existing deck is 10'8" from the rear property line.

On the right, you can see the proposed home is now 14'9" from the rear setback, and the proposed deck is 10' and half an inch.

In a conscientious effort to foster positive neighborhood relations, our client had made the decision to revive the initial proposed deck design after engaging with neighbors.

The original proposal was larger, and the client chose to reduce the deck to this current proposal in a desire to respect the sentiment of the neighbors and preserve the fabric of the neighborhood.

Next page, please.
On this page, it includes axonometric views of both the existing and proposed, the existing on the left proposed on the right. This helps illustrate how the
extension of 45-7 Cogswell is to align to the adjacent neighbor and how the addition remains modest and contextually respectful to the neighborhood.

Next page, please.
This page illustrates the predicted shadows created at morning, noon and evening for both winter and summer solstice. Due to the position on the site, the proposed addition has little to no impact on the shadows cast on the neighboring walls.

Next page, please.
On this sheet, the top image -- the top is an image of the existing and the bottom is a three-render of the proposed home. This is the view from the private way at 45 Cogswell. There is no work at this elevation.

Next slide, please.
At this view -- sorry, excuse me -- as seen in this view, there are no changes at this elevation. But in the background the back corner and posts of the deck are visible.

Next page please.
As seen on this sheet, the rear addition and extension are visible, as well as the two proposed windows
on the third level.

Next page, please.
Like the last page, these images illustrate the proposed addition deck and windows.

Next page, please.
In this view, it can be seen how the proposed addition aligns with the adjacent lawn and the neighborhood while maintaining comparable fenestration.

In addition, the proposed deck will remain at the exact -- is the same height as the existing deck and will only extend 8" closer to the rear property line than the existing deck currently does.

Next page, please.
This image -- this page illustrates the impact of the proposal in plan. The large plan in the center depicts the proposed while the top left corner is the existing. Highlighted in red is the area of relief, as well as included area impact to FAR, which includes the addition and the area, the covered area by the second-level deck.

The dashed black line illustrates where the existing deck resides. It is important to note that part of their requested addition and how it's by-right the area of
the existing deck. The area of relief will also allow for a bathroom at this level.

Next page, please.
Highlighted in red are the areas of relief, which include the rear addition and the second-level deck. All else will remain as existing. On this level, the proposed deck encroaches on the rear setback only by an eight additional inches.

Next page, please.
Highlighted in red are the areas of relief, which include the addition and two windows on the left side. The proposed windows are to match the size and style of the existing windows.

Next page, please.
The existing roof and solar panels are to remain. Highlighted in red is the area of relief which includes the proposed addition's roof that is to match the existing in appearance. There a two proposed skylights at the addition, as well as a relocation of an existing skylight.

Next page, please.
This sheet illustrates the south or the front side of the home. The existing elevation drawing is located in
the top left corner, with the corresponding picture next to it on the top right.

Below that on the bottom left is a render depicting the proposed home that is accompanied by the proposed elevation drawn in the bottom right. Highlighted in red is the area of relief.

Next page.
This sheet illustrates the west or the left side of the home. Existing elevation on the top left, proposed on the bottom right. The areas in red, the highlighted in red is the area of relief.

Next page, please.
This sheet illustrates the north or the rear side of the home, the existing elevations on the top left, proposed on the bottom right. Highlighted in red is the requested area of relief, which includes the entirety of this façade.

Next page, please.
This sheet illustrates the east or the right side of the home. This side is mostly obscured by the adjacent property. The area highlighted in red illustrates the area of relief, which is obscured by the adjacent building.

Next page, please.
This sheet has the certified plot plan of 45-7
Cogswell.

Next page, please.
These are some images taken from 45-7 Cogswell
that illustrate the urban nature of the existing site.
Next page, please.
These are our images that are taken at 45-7
Cogswell that represent some of the visible second-level decks that face the property.

Next page, please.
Please feel free to scan this $Q R$ code to view the 3D render that illustrates encompassing views of the exterior. That is the end of our presentation. Thank you for your time and consideration.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Thank you, Anja. I'd like to just add a couple of things to relieve -- the 8" we're talking about are literally just to be able to enable Michael to be able to put a chair out on the deck.

It had been much larger, and the reduction of that deck came in after we had spent a lot of time talking with the neighbor at the rear in 83 Pemberton, Barbara Hickey.

I'm going to talk to you about other outreach.
But I do want to just read into the record briefly an agreement we reached with Barbara Hickey and her Counsel, Cheryl Watson Fisher. The agreement is -- this was to enhance some -- a little more privacy for Barbara Hickey. She has agreed to withdraw her objection. There is an objection on the file.

She has agreed to withdraw that on the expressed conditions that number one, the lower panes of the two kitchen windows on the second floor and the lower panes of the two bathroom windows on the third floor, as depicted on the revised permit set of plans that you have before you tonight, will be permanently shaded or tinted.

In other words, the lower panes, so that it wouldn't be visible from Barbara's back yard. You wouldn't see anybody in the bathroom on the third floor. You wouldn't see anybody in the kitchen while they're preparing meals.

The other condition that we agreed to is that the fence, located to the common boundary of 45-7 Cogswell Ave and 83 Pemberton, will be maintained in its current location, and that the hydrangeas that grow along that fence
-- I understand they're really quite wonderful in the summer --

MICHAEL BRODIE: They are.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- will be maintained here.
Will be maintained in that current location. Evidently, Barbara Hickey is quite fond of those.

And also, again, she wanted an express condition which is reflected in the plans that we be no more than 8" from -- no more than $8^{\prime \prime}$ close to the property line with the rear deck. That is currently the case.

We reached out extensively. Michael has reached out to a lot of his neighbors. He is a part of an association called, "The Cogswell Street Association" - "45 Cogswell Street Station Association." And that's a consortium of owners in the development that he was part of 42 years ago.

There are specific requirements before anybody can make any exterior improvements that you have to meet, and he has met with the Association, and you have on file a letter signed by the President and the Board that approves -- that finds that the -- this particular design conforms to the Design Guidelines in the Association.

In addition to that, he's gotten the unanimous approval of all of the members of the Association with these improvements and has reached out to abutters. He's -- also in the parallel Association -- it's 47 Cogswell, which is another group of townhouses that were built about the same time.

Several of those people have submitted letters in support, including his direct abutter on the left side, if you will. They will be facing the new deck above the second floor, or on the second floor that faces them. So they've indicated that they're in support of this.

Of course, for the Board to find for this special permit, you have to find that there will be no substantial detriment to the neighborhood.

I would submit that with the extent -- the extent of the approval he has already received for the Association indicating that this property -- you know, fits in, and meets their guidelines -- indicates that the neighborhood largely agrees that there is no substantial detriment to the neighborhood. I know there are a couple of people who will speak in opposition, but I wanted you to get a sense of the approval for it.

I would also like to draw your attention to the certified plot plan, if we could. Oh, that's in front of us.

If you'll notice, when you look at it, his property at the rear you can see sort of a notch, where the property right next to him, 6 Cogswell, sticks out a little closer to the property line than his property.

So what Anja has walked you through is a modest addition that fills in there, just a rectangle that makes it parallel to that -- to the back line of that property.

I know that this Association and these developments were built with notches here and there, especially in the front. But $I$ also want to draw your attention to a copy of the Assessor's block plan that $I$ had uploaded. It's not in Anja's presentation, but I had asked the Staff if they could upload it. And I don't know if that's possible now.

But it shows --
JIM MONTEVERDE: They just stepped away, so continue to speak.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: Explain what's there.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yeah. I'll explain to you what's there. It shows the comprehensive overview from the Assessor's point of view of all of those buildings into two Associations.

And yes, in their front yards, some of them are staggered, and that's a nice feature, as is Micheal at his front. And I just want to emphasize that we are not trying to be unfaithful to those overall designs; in a lot of those buildings at the rear, you'll find that they have common planes all the way across the back.

And that's what we're proposing here for this small addition. It would be in a common plane with his neighbor Ewa Basinska. I don't know if that's the name of the -- or Eva. I'm sorry.

MICHAEL BRODIE: Ewa Basinska.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Ewa Basinska. It would be consistent with the plane of her building, and she is one of the people that supports. She's reviewed the plans and is in favor of them.

So I would submit that this is the sensitively done project that will not detract from the neighborhood, will not detract from the overall scheme of this design that
was -- you know, these designs that were put into place 42 years ago.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

I have one. Do I understand the plans correctly, that in the existing condition, there are two bedrooms, a master, and a guest? And after the renovations there will be two bedrooms, a master and guest.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes, well --
JIM MONTEVERDE: They both expanded a bit, but it's --

ANJA PAJEVIC: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- it's two bedrooms and it will
stay two bedrooms. Correct?
ANJA PAJEVIC: Correct. Yes. Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And approximately 4'6"
addition toward the rear basically gives them breathing room in the kitchen and allows you to flip-flop the guestroom and the library and the lower level to make a little more generous, gracious bedroom and et cetera. Same thing up above. Is that correct?

ANJA PAJEVIC: Correct.

MICHAEL BRODIE: Exactly, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. Any other questions from any members of the Board? If not, before we open it up to public commentary, in the file we have -- last time I counted, there were six correspondents in favor, two opposed, but let me see if that's still the case.

We have one from Monique Fischer, Ashley Pittman, both of Cogswell Avenue. I don't have it -- I can't find the date on this one, but they're writing to oppose. Based on -- and I'm not sure everything written in here is correct; that's why I asked the question about the number of bedrooms.

It says, "First, no other townhouse located for development has a third bedroom." You don't. Gets -again, it is two bedrooms, it's going to be a two-bedroom.

Second, that "No -- don't believe any other unit has undergone the sort of expansion proposed." I don't think that's kind of relevant to what we need to rule on.

And third, that the townhouse unit -- they do not believe that the townhouse units meets the minimum Dimensional Requirements for a Residence B dwelling. Again,
that's -- we're seeking a special permit. There will be some dimensional variances. But this letter from Monique and Ashley.

A letter on January 25, Ann Spanel from 85
Pemberton Court. They have a lot -- let's see, oh, yep, BZA
denied. So they were in objection. Is that -- to do with the property is already nonconforming. Lot is undersized.

Second, the existing structure exceeds the GFA, which $I$ note in the Dimensional Form.

And then we have January 24 , Janet McFadden, in support.

January 23, Ewa Basinska, in support. All right. January 22, Joe Wassong, in support.

January 19, Patti Brainard, in support.
January 20, Dana Shaefer and Matt Pesci, in support.

January 20, Thomas Leib, in support.
Ann Spanel, we did already. And then we have a letter from --

ANN SPANEL: Excuse me, I was told -- sorry, I was told I could read my statement.

JIM MONTEVERDE: One second. That's fine. Just
one second.

ANN SPANEL: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'll open it up for public
commentary.
ANN SPANEL: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We have another message, January
17, from S. Heyveart, Acting Pursuit of the 45 Cogswell Station Homeowners Association. And "The Association hereby approves of and supports the plan and special permit." I think that's what Michael was talking about.

This is Barbara Hickey, January 16. Well, I think you -- this was an objection, but I think Counselor, you said that that's been resolved?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes. That's the letter I -- or the conditions that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep --
MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- I read into the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I think --
MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- that I promised Attorney I would do that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- when we're done if we rule in
favor, $I$ think if you could send that to us that would be
helpful. So that's in summary what's in the file.
Any member of the public who wishes to speak
should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Ann Spanel?
ANN SPANEL: Thank you. I'm Ann Spanel, and I have lived at 85 Pemberton Court for 28 years. I'm an abutter to the property at 45 Cogswell No. 7.

I am in opposition. So as noted, in the BZA application this property is already nonconforming in several respects. The lot is undersized. When the home was originally built, it did not meet setbacks for any side of the lot. My property abuts the property in the rear of the home. The present rear setback is just over 19' to the property line, already less than the required 25' of
setback.
If this addition is allowed, then the home will extend further into the back yard and be less than 10' from the rear property line. That distance does not include the proposed rear deck. The distance from the rear and elevated deck is less than 8' $^{\prime}$ from the rear property line.

From the end of my property to this new structure, if allowed, there would be just 13'. And the end of my property is a back fence of my yard, where $I$ spend a lot of time in the warm weather. From the end of my property to the deck, the distance is approximately 9'. Any way the distance is measured, it is far less than the 25' rear setback requirement.

Second, the existing structure exceeds the gross total floor area, GTFA, by more than 500 square feet. Allowing the special permit in this addition will increase the GTFA to nearly double what is allowed.

Similarly, the existing ratio of GTFA to lot area already exceeds the City's Ordinance. Allowing this addition will also cause the ratio of gross floor area to lot area nearly double -- to be nearly double what is permissible.

In his petition, Mr. Brodie states that the health, safety and -- sorry, the health, safety and welfare of abutters will not be adversely affected: page 3, paragraph D. I disagree with this. I have serious medical conditions which will be worsened by the proposed construction, unable to prevent dust and toxins from entering my old and leaky house.

I've had to vacate my home temporarily twice, due to nearby construction, almost -- one of them was almost the exact same distance away. This is $32^{\prime}$ from my actual windows in my home. The other one was 31'.

Dust from -- this construction will entail the removal of the rear wall of the house, creating dust and debris -- significant amounts. Dust from dirt piles and the dumpsters will also severely impact my health.

Noise from trucks and construction equipment and the loud radios that are often on building sites will make it impossible for me to rest during the day, which is a medical necessity; it's not a luxury for me.

Some construction materials listed in the application will also generate dust, thus further deteriorating my health. I am a senior citizen with
compromised health, and I'm no longer able to move. Therefore, $I$ ask that the BZA deny this special permit. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for offering your
comments. Anyone else?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Ray Doucette?
RAY DOUCETTE: Hello. Yes. My name is Ray
Doucette. I'm an attorney for Ms. Barbara Hickey. Can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: We can. Go ahead. RAY DOUCETTE: Hi. Yes. I'm an attorney for Ms. Barbara Hickey. As mentioned by Attorney Wiggins, our office did enter into an agreement with the owner of the property, but our client did also want to make it a point to note that the extension of the $8^{\prime \prime}$ of the deck would be exasperating and already nonconforming rear setback, which would be -- you know, intruding onto her privacy and her enjoyment of open space.

But as mentioned, that agreement was entered into. It was signed. And we just wanted to make sure that it was adhered to and part of the file as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Thank you. I think if we
take positive action, then we'll ask that that agreement be forwarded to the ISD office.

RAY DOUCETTE: Great.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Anything else?
RAY DOUCETTE: Thanks, Mr. Monteverde.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Nice to see you, Ray. RAY DOUCETTE: You as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anyone else? Anyone else? I will close public testimony. Discussion among the Board members?

So let me just address or confirm some of what Ann Spanel said you can see in the Dimensional Form. I mean, the building is nonconforming. The existing area is nonconforming. It's basically increased by 360 some-odd square feet.

And yes, the FAR goes from what's allowed is 0.5 . What's there now is 0.762 and what it'll be after the renovates is 0.919 . So that does seem to be a significant addition compared to the Ordinance requirement. But I'll leave it at that.

Are there any other -- I think the other comments that were made about construction and dust and building
materials and noise from construction is not something that this Board controls, that we can factor into our decision.

So is there any other discussion from members of the Board, or are you ready for a vote? Or a motion, I should say?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I was just going to say I think the fact that was standing out in my mind from this discussion was -- again, just the porch is only 8" closer to the lot line.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, that's correct. Any other discussion, or are we ready for a motion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: For me, this meets the requirements of a special permit. Doesn't -- you know, drastically, it's not out of keeping with the character of the neighborhood and, you know, as mentioned, it just moves us -- moves it $8^{\prime \prime}$ closer to the lot line. So that strikes me as, you know, within reason. So I'll support this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anyone else? Let me move to a motion then.

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. And this is a special permit. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief
from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Dimensional Requirements, that's the rear yard setback for the construction as well as the deck; and Articles 8.22.2.c; 8.22.2.d for a Nonconforming Structure, and then the requirements of the special permit.

Let me go through those. That criteria is: It appears that the requirements of this Ordinance cannot or will not be met. That's correct.

Traffic generated would cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change. I don't think so.

Continued operation of or the development of the adjacent uses would be adversely affected. I don't think that's presented here.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety, or welfare. I don't think so.

And for other reasons, would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. And I don't think so.

So I think it meets all the criteria of 10.43 for a special permit.

So on the condition that the work proposed
conforms to the drawings entitled "Brodie Residence," prepared by SKA Architects, dated January 18, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following conditions. And it's just one, and that is Attorney Wiggins, please forward us -- to Inspectional Services -- a copy of the agreement that you've reached with the abutter behind the project, the Hickeys. MICHAEL WIGGINS: I certainly will. JIM MONTEVERDE: And with that, it's a Board vote based on a voice vote.

## Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

```
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
    [All vote YES]
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Relief is granted.
    COLLECTIVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
```

(9:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case, still in the neighborhood, is BZA-249637 -- 36 Cogswell Avenue. Anyone wishing to speak about this one? Mr. Lodge? Are you there? [Pause]

JOHN LODGE: I'm sorry. I apologize. My name is John Lodge, and I'm the Architect for Kevin and Amanda Pojasek at 36 Cogswell Avenue. My address is 56 Aberdeen Avenue in Cambridge. I'm not sure if Kevin and Amanda have been elevated as panelists.

KEVIN POJASEK: Yes, we're on, John, thank you. JOHN LODGE: Oh, great. So I thought I would just left Kevin and Amanda give you sort of a quick context of how long they'd been there and what we're proposing, and then I'll just take you through the design. AMANDA POJASEK: Great. Good evening. I'm

Amanda.
KEVIN POJASEK: Hi. And I'm Kevin Pojasek. Thank
you to the Board for hearing our case. I first moved to Cambridge nearly 25 years ago, and we've owned the property at 36 Cogswell for close to 15 years now.

AMANDA POJASEK: And we have -- we moved away for a spell to England. We have our three kids in Cambridge. They all came home to that house in Cogswell. We moved away for a few years, and we just returned this past year.

And with the kids growing, we realize that everybody needs a bit more space and -- you know, workspace to study. So we'd love to create more space and better layout for our kids, who are now becoming teenagers.

KEVIN POJASEK: All right. So if we could go to the plans? Great. So basically, what we're proposing is that as it stands now, if you look at the rear of the house, there's an enclosed porch. And we're proposing to enclose that to create a new stairwell to the basement.

As it stands, currently the basement stair -there's only one basement stair, so there's no second means of egress. And the stair -- the existing stair is nonconforming.

So our idea is to add a second stair that's -that meets code, and provides a second means of egress,
because we're going to put two bedrooms and a bathroom in the basement.

So enclosing the porch is as-of-right, but what we want to do is we want to add two pairs of windows to increase the amount of natural light and ventilation into the basement.

At the same time, if we go to the second floor plans, thank you -- at the same time given the size of the lot, which is pretty small, to increase the amount of open space, we're proposing a 7 x7 deck, which is on top of a one-story ell off of the primary bedroom facing south to give us a little bit more open space.

Both the deck and the proposed pairs of windows fall within the rear yard setback. And that's why we're coming to you tonight for a special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Does that sum it up?
KEVIN POJASEK: Yeah. I can go through the elevations too, if you'd like to look at those, but.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So I think that the issue in front of us, just as you just said, the enclosing the porch; that porch is within this rear yard setback moving the windows around, or changing the windows there is in the rear
yard setback?
KEVIN POJASEK: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? That's basically what you're seeking relief for?

KEVIN POJASEK: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? Okay. And I'm assuming it's already nonconforming?

KEVIN POJASEK: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Nonconforming in terms of gross floor area -- GFA -- you're actually reducing that. Congratulations.

KEVIN POJASEK: [Laughter]. Yeah, by about -- you
know, five square feet. But --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Everything counts.
KEVIN POJASEK: Everything counts, I know.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. No, unless you have something else to present, I think that's -- I think we got the basics here. All right. Any questions from members of the Board? If not, in the file we have one letter. Let me just check.

Yep. One letter dated January 21, from Deborah Lieberson, who lives next door who is, as she says, has no
objection. So I'll take that in support.
I'll open it up to public commentary. Any members
of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one seems to be calling in, so we'll close public testimony. Any discussion among the members of the Board? Not?

DANIEL HIDALGO: I think we're ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion. Okay. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 -- and that's really the rear yard setback that's affected by the new enclosed porch -- as well as windows being moved around or changed in that rear yard setback as well.

And then our old favorite, Section 8.22.2.d and 8.22.2.c for a nonconforming structure, and the conditions for a special permit let me run through again. It appears the requirements of this Ordinance cannot or will not be mete. That's correct.

Traffic generated would cause congestion or hazard. It will not.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses would not be adversely affected. Not.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. I think not.

So I think they comply with all of the criteria for Section 10.43 for a special permit on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "36 Cogswell Avenue," prepared by John Lodge Architects, dated November 9, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote from the Board, please?
Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The relief is granted.

JOHN LODGE: Thank you very much. Thank you for your time.

AMANDA POJASEK: Thank you.
KEVIN POJASEK: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Good luck.
AMANDA POJASEK: Thanks.
(9:42 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA 252170 -- I
think this says 8.5-10 William Street Unit 8.
CARL SOLANDER: Hi. Carl Solander, Architect, 818
Mount Auburn Street in Watertown.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Very good.
CARL SOLANDER: Hopefully this is the simplest case of the night. We are -- this is a four-unit condo building. My clients, Sam, and Bushra are here. Maybe they can give a brief introduction of what we're trying to achieve, and then I'll walk you through the images.

SAM SLAVIN: Sure. Thank you for having us. My name is Sam Slavin. This is my wife, Bushra Taha. I was born and raised in Cambridge and bought this condo together from my parents almost nine years ago.

And Bushra and I are raising our 1-year-old son there, and hoping to increase our usable, livable space to stay in a neighborhood that we love and for Bushra's parents
to come visit and stay with us more often.
Part of that is finishing the basement, and so to
create -- bring a bit more light into that space, we're
hoping to add a couple of windows to the basement space.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
CARL SOLANDER: So if we can just go through the images. We're basically doing an interior renovation here, which is already under construction.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I stop you for a second?
CARL SOLANDER: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: How many units did you say were in --

CARL SOLANDER: It's a four-unit condo.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, that's right. Okay. I think we have correspondence from Unit 8, Unit 8.5, 10, and 10.5. That's everyone, right?

CARL SOLANDER: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Good. Go ahead.
CARL SOLANDER: Yeah. So this is work we're doing upstairs on the top plan. And the bottom plan shows work in the basement. You can scroll down.

So the windows in question, that red box shows
where we would open these two windows up, and that area is currently an unfinished area. We are digging out this slab to raise the ceiling a bit to make it living space.

You can go down to the next slide. Yeah. Just show the bottom plan, please.

So that area highlighted in red again. There's an existing window, the one in the middle of those three windows. And we're just looking to replicate that window, one on each side in a kind of symmetrical arrangement within that what will become a finished basement office space.

And then next slide?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And is that side of the building within the side yard setback?

CARL SOLANDER: Yes. That's the reason we're --
JIM MONTEVERDE: So that's the reason you're --
CARL SOLANDER: -- applying for a special permit.
Yeah. I have some photos of that down the next couple slides.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's good. Thank you.
CARL SOLANDER: So that's the interior image of the three windows with the existing one in the center, some cabinetry we're going to build there.

Next slide?

So this is the front. Unit 8 is the lower left quadrant of this four-unit condo. And on the left side is the side yard where these windows would be.

Next slide? So that -- yeah. You can skip that one. But yeah.

So that's the side yard. As you can see, it's fairly narrow. It's about six feet to property fence. We did not get a plot plan drawn because we're not doing any exterior work other than this.

So that's a drawing I did on a photograph showing how we would space the windows basically two bricks apart from the existing window and match all the details and the size of that window.

There are already four windows on this side of the basement. However, the other three you can see one of them toward the back there are in unfinished storage spaces and not part of Sam and Bushra's deeded area of the condo.

Next slide?
Just the measurements to the property fence. So you can see the spacing we're dealing with. I believe we also did receive letters from the neighbors on that side,
who support the project.
And that's it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you.
Any questions from members of the Board? Again, this is a special permit, and what's in front of us is the addition of two basement windows. And the only reason they're here is because it's in the side yard setback. So that's what's in front of us.

Any questions from members of the Board? If not, we have correspondence in the file. I'll go to that one I was looking for. So we do have a letter from the other owners of the condominiums. So this is really representing the condo or the condo association. They approve the the renovations.

There's a letter from January 22, from Evan Jenness. This is on the facing property, 6 William. He's conferred with his mother, who's vision impaired. And they approve the plan. Are they the folks that are closest to you?

SAM SLAVIN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah? Okay.
SAM SLAVIN: Yes.

SAM SLAVIN: That's correct. They are two owners in two units in that abutting property, and --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SAM SLAVIN: -- and both have submitted letters. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And then you have -- yes, and this is someone else in Unit 8. That's duplicate. And that's what we have in the file. So I'll open it up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

And no one seems to be calling in, so I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Can we move this to a motion? STEVEN NG: Yes. I think so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Motion. Okay. And this is a special permit.

Oh, hold on. We have somebody in the public who raised their hand. So.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Michael Dolan?
MICHAEL DOLAN: I'm sorry, I thought it was asking me to be the panelist on the next matter.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Do you have any public comment to offer on --

MICHAEL DOLAN: No, I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 8.5-10 Williams Street, or --
MICHAEL DOLAN: I do not think. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thanks. Done? Okay. I will call close public testimony, commentary. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31.

Again, that's because the two basement windows are within the side yard setback; my favorite Section 8.22.2.c Nonconforming Structure; and then the conditions of the special permit which, again are:

One, it appears the requirements of the Ordinance cannot or will not be met. That is correct.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion or hazard. They will not.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, would be adversely affected. Not.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. No.

So I think they qualify under all of those conditions for the criteria for a special permit under Section 10.43.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Slavin Residence," prepared by Reverse Architecture and dated 11/02/2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members, please take a voice vote on the motion to grant the relief. Zarya?

ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Relief is
granted.
CARL SALANDER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.

SAM SLAVIN AND BUSHRA TAHA: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I would like to request a twominute break.
(BREAK)
(9:51 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-251572 -- 1350
Mass Avenue. This is a Telcom case. And I don't think the President and Fellows of Harvard College will be here, but who is here? Allison Conwell from Certerline?

MICHAEL DOLAN: Actually, this is Michael Dolan from the Law Firm of --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. MICHAEL DOLAN: -- Brown Rudnick.

JIM MONTEVERDE: How are you, Michael?
MICHAEL DOLAN: Can you hear me okay?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I can.
MICHAEL DOLAN: Great. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Before you jump into this, I
notice that year on the Planning Board Agenda for this week?
MICHAEL DOLAN: Yes. Just like an earlier
applicant referenced, we --
JIM MONTEVERDE: So they had technical

```
difficulties?
```

MICHAEL DOLAN: We went to attend the meeting, and it never happened because of some Internet issues the City was having.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
MICHAEL DOLAN: You know, the comments that we were going to talk about related mostly to the fact -- well, we can get into it, but, you know, they just wanted to understand if we had any flexibility to move our antennas back, and we can't because the line-of-sight RF issues. And I can kind of dive into it right now, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
MICHAEL DOLAN: -- essentially, what we're doing is I represent AT\&T. They have an existing rooftop antenna facility. We're looking to replace 12 antennas with 12 new antennas in nearly the identical spot. You can see from the photo simulations, including with our application that there's no visual impact from what we're proposing, other than maybe a benefit.

I had one of these previously before the Board, where one of the antennas that were -- two of the antennas we're removing, we're going to be able to stack on top of
each other, and as smaller antennas.
So in that sense, there's actually less mass. But
they're in the exact same location. We're just replacing some remote radio heads and related equipment as well.

By way of background with, you know, the way this application has gone, we were -- we went before the Harvard Square Advisory Committee, they supported the application. They noted as well one of the Board members, and we knew this of course, that Harvard had done an internal review of our proposal and approved of it.

You know, they want us to get this improved technology folded in in time for Commencement. So we're trying to work with them to get that done. And as I say, there is effectively zero visual impact with this facility. And we're seeking a special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks. Can you just walk us through the photo simulations, please? MICHAEL DOLAN: Sure. So that's Location 1, Existing Conditions. If you look up on the top left, you'll see the Alpha sector.

And over on the right is the -- you can barely see it up there. But there's a little portion of the Gamma
sector.

And then if you go to the next slide, those are the proposed conditions from the same vantage point. You can see that the same location of the Alpha sector we're putting the antennas up.

But we do have those two smaller ones stacked. So that's the part $I$ was alluding to that actually there's less mass than what we have there, then we will have there than we have currently.

And if we go to the next photo simulation, that will be another existing condition, from a different vantage point showing the Gamma sector up to the top right on the roof. Those are not our antennas that you see in the middle of the building on top of that penthouse like structure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
MICHAEL DOLAN: But if we go to the next photo, you can see there's our proposed antennas in the exact same location. I -- you know, if someone walked by after we made this change, they'd have no idea we made the change.

If we go to the next photo, that's another existing condition, so the Beta Sector.

And if we go to the next photo, those are the
proposed antennas at that same location. Once again, collectively it's 12 antennas being removed, 12 antennas going in to replace them.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? If not, we are in -- we have been sent one letter in the file dated January 17, 2024, from the Harvard Square Advisory Committee. Mason Wells. They are supportive of the applicants' proposal.

Had no additional comments, and it was noted that Harvard University had conducted an internal review, and were also supportive of the project, I think as Mr. Dolan has told us.

On that, this is a special permit. Sorry. Any questions from members of the Board? I'm jumping ahead of myself. Did I ask that?

All right. Not hearing any -- and I read the correspondence in the file. I'll open the matter up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by
pressing *6.
I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

No one. All right. Close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? If not, ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right the Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under -- and this is a special permit. So this criteria, this is Section 10.43, is that it appears the requirements of this Ordinance cannot or will not be met. Correct.

Traffic generated would cause congestion. No.
Continued operation of the development would be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. That's no.

Nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. No.

So I think all the criteria for Section 10.43 for a special permit are met. Before we vote -- well, let me do one thing here. I'm on a roll here.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "AT\&T Rooftop Facility, Cambridge, Mass Avenue, 1350 Massachusetts Ave," prepared by Centerline, dated November 27, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

Further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms that are submitted as part of the application.

And we're going to include this little spiel. And then we can vote. Based on the findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the
physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall be promptly remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the exact reasonably practicable.

Five, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with in all respects the condition imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

In as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all the proponent's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the
basis for such claimed failure.
The special permit granted tonight shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has
terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of the termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraphs a) and b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore would not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed. And finally:
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner with a geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to
the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

The end.
All right, then. We are ready for a vote. Give me one second, let me save that.

STEVEN NG: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEVEN NG: Ready for a vote.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote.
Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The relief is granted.

MICHAEL DOLAN: Thank you very much. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you all.

MICHAEL DOLAN: Excellent use of technology, Mr. Monteverde. Very impressed. I was worried whether you'd be sufficiently hydrated before that, but it looks like you've taken care of it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nah. I was going to say I did that all from memory, but that would be lying. All right.

MICHAEL DOLAN: Thank you all very much. Goodnight.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, everyone. Thank you, Board members, for your help.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you, goodnight, everyone.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Take care. Goodnight.
COLLECTIVE: Bye.
[10:09 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 170:1,10,14 | Wiggins 105:9 | 33:17,20 34:7 | worried 195:10 | 40:18 41:21 |
| 172:16 175:14 | 105:11,14,15 | 34:9,11, 13, 16 | worsened 161:5 | 58:12 59:17 |
| 176:3,7,19 | 106:20 114:8 | wish 68:22 | worth 79:5 | 61:4,16 62:4 |
| 177:8,14,22 | 115:17 116:7 | 120:4,9 172:3 | wouldn't 16:18 | 62:11 63:10,13 |
| 178:9,21 | 116:12,18 | 180:9 188:19 | 35:3 76:8 | 63:22 64:1,5 |
| 185:13,15,18 | 117:3 125:3 | wishes 6:8 27:10 | 150:15,15,17 | 64:11 65:22 |
| 185:22,22 | 140:8,9,15,18 | 27:11 69:1 | wrap 27:19 | 84:15 86:5 |
| 186:3,12,15 | 140:22 141:22 | 91:20 101:17 | 30:20 33:15 | 87:3,12 91:9 |
| 187:4 189:10 | 149:16 151:4 | 105:8 126:7 | 69:10 102:4 | 92:2 93:3,18 |
| 190:15 | 153:21 154:1 | 132:13 159:2 | 120:18 132:22 | 94:11 102:10 |
| we've 7:20,21 | 154:16 155:9 | wishing 46:9 | 159:11 172:11 | 116:16,17 |
| 15:8,9 16:9 | 158:14,17,19 | 133:18 168:8 | 180:18 189:6 | $\begin{array}{ll} 117: 11 & 128: 7 \\ 128: 15 & 130: 12 \end{array}$ |


| 130:20 131:15 | you've 43:4 | 74:8,14 87:6 | 105 2:8 65:8 | 23:10 11:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133:16 134:21 | young 107:11 | 100:15 101:15 | 11'3 14:10 | 12:20 13:12 |
| 135:7,18 136:6 |  | Zoom 27:12 | 11/02/2023 | 21:20,20 |
| 136:18 137:13 | Z | 69:2 101:18 | 182:15 | 111:14 131:22 |
| 137:16 140:17 | Z 110:22 | 120:5,10 | 11/09/23 2:4 | 2,000 62:12 |
| 141:9 154:1 | Zaraya 91:10 | 132:14 159:3 | $11407: 6$ | 2,200 63:12 |
| 170:17 171:12 | Zarya 1:10 91:4 | 172:4 180:10 | 12 75:4 92:3 | 2,300 64:2,3,18 |
| 176:19 177:4 | 91:11,11,12,14 | 188:20 | 119:16 120:1 | 72:14 83:7 |
| 177:17 178:5,6 | 91:16 103:18 |  | 185:15,15 | 20 157:15,17 |
| 179:21 187:15 | 103:19 105:5 | 0 | 188:2,2 | 20-70:20 |
| year 24:2 49:16 | 123:10,11 | $0.138143: 6$ | 12'4 112:2 | 2000 77:6 |
| 169:7 184:19 | 124:19,20 | 0.35 30:1 | 12/14/23 2:5 | 2008 76:13 |
| years 23:20 37:1 | 126:4 138:6,7 | 0.4 7:20 | 126 2:9 | 2014 72:11 |
| 42:21 48:12 | 138:8,9 139:17 | $0.5143: 4163: 16$ | 13 78:2 | 95:17,19 96:10 |
| 52:16 133:7 | 139:18 140:5 | 0.54 108:11 | 13' 160:8 | 96:13 |
| 141:2,10 | 166:17,18 | 0.62 108:11 | 1350 2:13 184:6 | 2019 6:22 7:4 |
| 151:16 155:2 | 168:4 174:8,9 | $0.75108: 12$ | 190:9 | 8:21 9:12 16:5 |
| 159:14 169:2,3 | 175:4 182:21 | $0.762143: 5$ | 14 63:12 | 16:14,22 17:20 |
| 169:7 175:19 | 182:22 184:4 | 163:17 | 14'9 144:9 | 17:22 23:6 |
| уер 6:12 15:13 | 195:1,2 | $0.9143: 6$ | 140 2:10 | 28:14 29:7 |
| 16:2,3 18:11 | zero 186:14 | $0.919163: 18$ | 15 47:2 100:16 | 36:4 37:7,16 |
| 21:13,14 22:5 | Ziegelman 6:10 | 02/29/24 138:13 | 101:14 102:18 | 2019/20 8:12 |
| 25:8,12 35:11 | 6:14,15 15:15 | 02139 1:6 | 137:11 169:3 | 2019/2020 7:10 |
| 39:2 43:8 45:7 | 16:2,7,20 | 09 16:2 | 15' 129:13 135:5 | 9:17 |
| 45:13,17,19,19 | $17: 1718: 2,5,9$ $18 \cdot 121519 \cdot 4$ |  | 135:19 137:6 | 2020 6:22 7:4,16 |
| 56:14 63:4 | 18:12,15 19:4 | $\frac{1}{12.78 .691}$ | 15'7 128:21 | 8:14 9:6 19:6 |
| 65:11 66:7 | 19:18,21 20:3 | $12: 7$ 8:6 91:1 | 16 119:16 | 23:6,13 24:14 |
| 67:9 69:13 | 20:6,12,17 | $111: 5112: 11$ $186 \cdot 18$ | 158:11 | 30:4 37:7,8 |
| 83:21 84:7 | 21:11,13,19,22 | 186:18 | 168 2:11 | 2023 3:10 8:7 |
| 88:16 92:11 | 22:3,6,10,13 | 1-3 103:6 | 17 27:7 158:7 | 32:7 70:2 |
| 94:5,5,8 118:6 | 22:17 23:3,16 | 1-year-old | 188:6 196:18 | 103:7 173:19 |
| 120:22 126:11 | 24:20 25:10 | 175:20 | 175 2:12 | 190:10 |
| 128:9,19 | 35:16,18,18,21 | 1,400 62:12 | 18 48:1 49:6 | 2024 1:3 3:7 |
| 133:21 135:10 | 39:13,15,18,20 | 1,600 64:10 | 51:16,21 52:2 | 44:9 123:5 |
| 135:12,20 | 40:3,8,11,16 | $1097: 17$ 176:15 | 53:17 55:13 | 124:8 138:15 |
| 137:7,22 138:4 | 40:19,21 41:4 | 192:7,19 | 56:3,15 76:3 | 138:17 139:10 |
| 138:9,12 | 41:6,8,19 | 193:16 | 80:12,16,19 | 166:2 188:6 |
| 140:14 157:5 | 42:11,13,15,19 | 10'36:5 | 81:4 84:11 | 196:13 |
| 158:16 163:6 | 43:4,7,9,13,16 | 160:3 | 85:8 86:14 | 2028 196:18 |
| 164:10,21 | 43:19,22 44:2 | 10'8 144:6 | 166:2 | 21 32:7 171:21 |
| 171:9,21 | 45:2 | $10.3123: 2$ | 184 2:13 | 22 3:15 68:16 |
| 174:18 175:10 | Zone 142:13 | 10.30 102:22 | 19 44:9 69:12 | 157:13 179:15 |
| 176:17 180:3,5 | 143:19 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 0 ~ 1 2 4 : 4 ~}$ | 123:5 124:8 | 22.53 65:13,17 |
| 194:14 | zoning 1:1 3:8 | 10.43 77:21 | 157:14 | 23 26:5,22 68:15 |
| yesterday $25: 18$ | 3:13 10:13 | 165:20 173:16 | 19' 159:21 | 157:12 |
| 25:21 67:18 | 36:8 47:18 | $190 \cdot 4$ | 1924 95:6 | 230 63:9 |
| 68:6 72:6 | 48:13 63:15 | 190:4 |  | 232-234 120:21 |
| 119:11 | 66:5,14 72:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10.5 176:15 } \\ & \mathbf{1 0 : 0 9} 195: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 234A 120:21 |


| 24 26:10,12,19 | 4,012 17:1 | 172:19 181:16 | 151:8,9 162:15 | 91 2:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157:10 | 4,379 15:22 16:5 | 5:00 139:7 | 164:8,16 175:7 | $9573: 6$ |
| 24-72:14 | 16:15 17:8 | 500 61:8 160:15 | 176:15 178:2 |  |
| 245989 89:9 | 4,700 16:16,19 | 51 114:15 | 180:6 |  |
| $251: 3$ 3:7 157:4 | 4,709 16:2,10 | 55 2:8 105:6,8 | 8' 160:6 |  |
| 169:2 | 17:3 | 105:18,21 | 8'6 128:18 |  |
| 25' 107:3 159:22 | 4,712 16:8 28:12 | 106:8 107:5 | 8.22.2.c 124:3 |  |
| 160:12 | 4.44.41 7:13 | 56 168:12 | 165:3 173:2 |  |
| 252170 175:6 | 4.5' 144:3 |  | 181:18 |  |
| $26119: 15$ | 40 14:3 70:21 | 6 | 8.22.2.d 48:19 |  |
| 27 190:10 | 40A 48:10 | 62:3 27:14 | 49:15 56:21 |  |
| 27' 108:13 | $4111: 8,12,14$ | 48:10 49:11,14 | 124:3 165:4 |  |
| 28 133:7 159:14 | 12:12,13 14:1 | 68:12 69:5 | 173:1 |  |
| 29 137:20,21 | 14:1 26:19 | 78:12,14 | 8.22.3 102:20 |  |
| 138:15,17 | 28:4 | 101:21 119:18 | 8.22.d 50:1,7 |  |
| 139:10 | 42 141:2,10 | 119:19,20,21 | 51:3,11,22 |  |
|  | 151:16 155:1 | 119:22 120:8 | 52:5 53:2,14 |  |
| 3 | 4379 17:3 | 120:13 123:1 | 73:11 |  |
| 3 13:14 77:15 | 4477 :11 | 132:17 153:6 | $8.5176: 15$ |  |
| 111:20 161:3 | 442 63:9 | 159:6 172:6 | 8.5-10 2:12 |  |
| 3,059 61:6 64:18 | 45 2:3,10 6:8 | 179:16 180:13 | 175:7 181:11 |  |
| 3,615 61:6 64:4 | 27:131:12 | 189:1 | 8:02 91:2 |  |
| 83:8 | 77:10 133:8 | 6'9.5 128:22 | 8:18 105:2 |  |
| 3,617 64:6 83:8 | 140:6 145:14 | 6-week-old | 8:43 126:2 |  |
| $30017: 8$ | 151:13 158:7 | 141:20 | 8:58 140:2 |  |
| $3114: 4$ | 159:15 | 6.000 7:14 | 818 175:8 |  |
| 31' 161:11 | 45's 12:20 | 6.44.1.c 123:1 | 83 149:22 |  |
| 32 11:11 | 45-6 142:16 | 6:00 1:4 3:3 | 150:21 |  |
| 32' 161:10 | 45-7 142:10 | 138:17 | 831 1:5 |  |
| 32'8 108:13 | 145:1 149:2,5 | 6:02 6:2 | 85 133:7 157:4 |  |
| 112:18 | 149:8 150:20 | 6:30 91:7 | 159:14 |  |
| $33100: 17$ | 46 2:5 | 62 108:15 |  |  |
| 35' 108:14 | 47 16:1,6,7,8 | 68 80:5 | 9 |  |
| 112:19 | 152:4 | 7 | $9 \text { 27:14 69:5 }$ |  |
| $362: 11168: 7,12$ | 471 16:9 | $\frac{7}{72.10 ~ 67.21}$ | 101:20 120:7 |  |
| 169:3 173:17 | 48 119:19 | 72:10 67:21 | 120:12 132:17 |  |
| 360 163:14 | 49 14:3 21:4 | 68:2 72:3,11 | 159:6 172:6 |  |
| 37 100:19 |  | 133:8 159:15 | 173:19 180:12 |  |
| 102:17 | 5 | 170:10 789 | 188:22 |  |
| 38 96:21 100:18 | $52: 511: 1746: 8$ | 7,894 29:19 | 9' 160:11 |  |
| 39 100:19 | 46:11 47:13 | $7.5128: 4$ | 9'2.5 128:18 |  |
| 102:17 | 75:5,6 77:5,15 | 7:00 46:2 | 9:33 168:2 |  |
| 3D 118:4 149:13 | 5'7 112:17 | 72 133:11 134:4 | 9:42 175:2 |  |
| 4 | 5,000 29:21 | 72-A 2:9 | 9:51 184:2 |  |
| 427:4 103:7 | 5.22.2.d 84:20 | 72A 126:14 | 90'2.5 144:5 |  |
| 4'6 155:16 | $5.31 \text { 44:5 102:14 }$ | 8 | $32: 437: 11,13$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4 , 0 0 0} 30: 3 \\ & \mathbf{4 , 0 0 0}-16: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 5.3122:22 165:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 12144: 3 \\ & 146: 11 \quad 149: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 90747:10 |  |

