Action Categories	Total Actions	Goal 1: Remove Climate Pollution from Transportation	Goal 2: Improve Mobility	Goal 3: Facilitate a Just Transition	Goal 4: Strengthen Community Connection
LU - Land Use Changing development patterns so people can get around more sustainably	3	2	2	-	1
<b>AT - Active Transportation</b> Making it easier for people to walk, bike, and take a scooter	4	1	4	3	-
<b>BT – Buses and Trains</b> Improving bus, subway, and paratransit Ride options	3	1	1	2	-
<b>RCT- Reducing Car Trips</b> Giving people more travel choices to reduce car trips	3	3	3	-	2
RCO – Reducing Car Ownership Making it easier to not own a car	4	3	1	1	-
<b>EV - Electric Vehicles</b> Encouraging a shift to electric vehicles	6	6	-	3	-
<b>P - Parking</b> Changing parking rules to reduce how much people drive	2	2	-	-	-
<b>CE - Community Engagement</b> Engaging people equitably to improve their transportation experience	2	2	2	2	2
Total Actions	27	20	11	11	5

Tag	Action	Assumptions	What could this look like?	GHG Emission Reduction Estimate	Potential for Further Emissions Reduction?	Anti-Aid, Budget, or Additional Coordination
LU-1	Keep current zoning, which increases mixed-use development near transit stops and includes affordable housing	<ul> <li>Transit use is 4.9 times higher with TOD</li> <li>Maximum possible emissions reduction is 31% according to CAPCOA</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Keep zoning codes that incentivize mixed-use development around transit</li> <li>Use a 10-minute walking buffer, or similar metric, to determine TOD zones</li> <li>"High Frequency" means the bus comes every 10 minutes or more in peak-hours</li> <li>Affordable housing as required by current inclusionary zoning and the Affordable Housing Overlay</li> </ul>	15%		
LU-2	Create better connections between transportation modes.	<ul> <li>Bike length trip of 1.7 miles</li> <li>Vehicle trip length of 5 miles</li> <li>Bike mode share of 11%</li> <li>Vehicle mode share of 61.6%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase secure bike/scooter parking, bikeshare stations, and carshare parking at bus stops and train stations</li> </ul>	4%		
LU-3	Charge developers a fee for new development relative to a project's traffic impacts, to be used to support non-car infrastructure and traffic safety improvements	<ul> <li>Similar to existing linkage fees that fund affordable housing citywide and community fees that fund city improvements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Charge a fee related to the amount of traffic there is before and after development</li> </ul>	Supportive policy - no direct emissions reduction		
AT-1	Complete the Citywide Bicycle Network Vision	<ul> <li>Cambridge currently has 101 miles of bike lanes. Full network requires a 24.75% increase to 126 miles.</li> <li>For every 7% increase in bike network mileage there is a 2% decrease in GHGs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Build 25 miles of additional bike lanes as laid out in the Citywide Bicycle Network Vision</li> </ul>	7%	Yes	
AT-2	Allocate more funds to improve and maintain pedestrian infrastructure	<ul> <li>Because nearly 100% of Cambridge streets already have sidewalks, the emissions reduction potential of this action is minimal</li> <li>Safe pedestrian infrastructure is the foundation of other emissions improvements, but maintaining it will have a small impact on emissions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continue implementing the Five-Year Plan for Sidewalk and Street Reconstruction.</li> <li>Ensure sidewalks in low-income and historically burdened neighborhoods receive equal maintenance and attention.</li> <li>Support the installation of more crosswalks, flashing beacons at high- traffic locations, and smooth sidewalk surfaces.</li> </ul>	1%		
AT-3	Provide a subsidy for pedal bike, e-bike, and adaptive bike purchases for low- income and disabled residents	<ul> <li>The program will run for an initial set of years to determine its effectiveness, with potential for extension and budget increased based on success.</li> <li>The program will preserve a significant amount of the funds for income-qualified participants</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Establish a funding program that continues the 2024 e-bikes subsidy program to expands on its successes</li> </ul>	1.25%	Yes	This action may have Anti-Aid Amendment implications.
AT-4	Continue building out the Bluebikes network to ensure equal access and high-quality connections to transit.	<ul> <li>CAPCOA indicates a maximum possible reduction of 0.06%.</li> <li>2.11 annual tons CO2e reduction per station in the Boston area</li> <li>To achieve an emissions reduction above 1%, Cambridge would have to add 250 Bluebikes stations</li> <li>Each station covers .5 miles of streets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>100% of residents could walk to a Bluebikes station within 2.5 minutes</li> <li>This would mean adding approximately 170 Bluebikes stations</li> </ul>	Less than 1%		
AT-5	Better advertise and support signing up for the Income-Eligible Bluebikes Membership program	<ul> <li>∉ An increase of 15% would increase enrollment by 135 people, up from about 900</li> <li>∉ This will have a negligible impact on emissions, despite having a significant impact on mobility for people that sign up</li> <li>∉ Bike share rides do not significantly reduce VMT, especially if the people joining the program do not own cars</li> </ul>	• Target a 15% increase in membership of Income-Eligible program	Less than 1%		

Tag	Action	Assumptions	What could this look like?	GHG Emission Reduction Estimate	Potential for Further Emissions Reduction?	Anti-Aid, Budget, or Additional Coordination
BT-1	Enable better bus frequency and reliability by installing bus priority projects on important routes (signal priority, queue jumps, or bus lanes), and collaborate regionally to improve MBTA bus service.	<ul> <li>By 2050, bus frequency will need to improve 200%, or 3 times as often per hour on half of the routes</li> <li>This increase will be implemented on the top 50% of routes by ridership</li> <li>0.5 elasticity - for each 1% increase in frequency, transit ridership increases by 0.5%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cambridge adds bus-only lanes to support increased frequency</li> <li>Additional improvements to support increased frequency include queue jumps at intersections and transit signal priority</li> <li>Cambridge takes on a regional leadership role to develop creative funding solutions to support the MBTA</li> </ul>	9%		
BT-2	Expand eligibility for the Door2Door Transportation program	<ul> <li>Because the Door2Door service is so lightly used, no significant GHG reductions will result from expanding its service slightly</li> <li>Door2Door currently serves ~400 trips per month</li> <li>New trips would not result in decreased car trips, as new riders are not likely to be car owners</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provide 25% more rides on the Door2Door service</li> <li>Allow people with low incomes living more than a 15-minute from transit to ride</li> <li>Increase service hours</li> <li>Expand the eligible trip types</li> </ul>	Less than 1%		
BT-3	Offer discounted transit fares for residents who don't own cars	<ul> <li>Provide a 50% reduction in transit fare to non-car owners</li> <li>Applicable to 100% of the MBTA system</li> <li>This would be covered by the City budget</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Applies to homeowners, renters, and un-housed individuals in Cambridge</li> <li>College students are eligible</li> <li>Separate from PTDM Ordinance, but benefits could be stacked Continue current program of providing 100% free transit passes to CRLS students</li> </ul>	2%	Yes	This action may have Anti-Aid Amendment and budget implications
RCT- 1	Make the Parking and Transportation Demand Management Ordinance apply to more people. Increase the emphasis on parking cash-out options.	<ul> <li>35-40% of Cambridge employees are covered by the PTDM ordinance</li> <li>This action would expand PTDM to cover 60% of employees</li> <li>26% maximum possible SOV mode share reduction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>More people would get transportation benefits and more properties would be required to limit driving trips</li> <li>Include residential properties</li> <li>Reduce trigger threshold for a "Large Project" (= 20 parking spaces) to apply to more properties</li> <li>Price nearby on-street parking.</li> </ul>	13%-18%		
RCT- 2	Dedicate City budget funding to support community-led initiatives that improve multimodal or low-carbon transportation options and support deepening relationships between the City, residents, employers, and property owners.	<ul> <li>Improve communication between the City, community members, and local businesses to find solutions that work better for everyone</li> <li>Dedicate funds and staff resources to relationship-building initiatives and projects that fall outside of typical funding streams</li> <li>Expand funding for Community Engagement Team Outreach Workers and neighborhood ambassadors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Include relationship-building in the work done by the three transportation committees (transit, bike, and pedestrian committees)</li> <li>Identify cooperative actions to reduce VMT</li> <li>Prioritize deepening relationships and building trust between City staff and community members</li> </ul>	Supportive policy - no direct emissions reduction		
RCT- 3	Expand existing coordination with neighboring municipalities to shift commute trips out of cars	<ul> <li>71% of trips on Cambridge streets are due to people traveling to and through the City</li> <li>Requires regional collaboration, Cambridge alone does not have control to effect changes to these trips</li> <li>The City of Cambridge is in a unique position, due to resources and job concentration. The City could take a more active role in developing regional sustainable transportation solutions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase communication with neighboring cities to expand coordination and develop more regional solutions</li> <li>Establish quarterly meetings with representatives from municipalities</li> <li>Present unified advocacy to state officials on policies needed for the region</li> <li>Expanding connections to protected multi-use paths and expanding bus services</li> </ul>	Supportive policy - will reduce regional emissions from transportation		

Tag	Action	Assumptions	What could this look like?	GHG Emission Reduction Estimate	Potential for Further Emissions Reduction?	Anti-Aid, Budget, or Additional Coordination
RCO -1	Provide an incentive to residents with no registered vehicles	There is little research indicating the potential of this action to reduce emissions	<ul> <li>Provide a direct cash incentive to residents who don't have a registered vehicle in Cambridge and don't request a parking permit</li> <li>Provide free membership to Bluebikes, a carshare program, local shuttles, and provide free bike gear</li> </ul>	1%		This action may have Anti-Aid Amendment implications.
RCO -2	Advocate for higher registration and taxes for private cars	<ul> <li>Vehicle registration taxes and fees are controlled at the State level</li> <li>Vehicle registration taxes are a powerful lever for disincentivizing car ownership</li> <li>Different prices can be applied based on fuel efficiency/electrification level of vehicle</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cambridge becomes a vocal advocate for higher vehicle taxes, possibly as a way to fund the MBTA</li> <li>Raise registration fees for all vehicles OR create tiered registration fees based on vehicle type</li> </ul>	Supportive Policy - no direct emissions reductions		Not possible without State involvement
RCO -3	Participate in the State and regional discussion about a Boston metro region congestion or emission pricing scheme (road-pricing)	<ul> <li>Atlanta saw emissions reductions of 2%</li> <li>NYC modeling showed 17.5% reduction in Particulate Matter</li> <li>Road-pricing causes drivers to see the real costs of driving</li> <li>Could be implemented as: Facility-based, Area-based (cordon), or Distance-based</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Coordinate with neighboring municipalities to advocate for a regional congestion or emission pricing scheme</li> <li>Conduct a study on the emissions reduction potential of road pricing, potentially in partnership with Boston</li> <li>Monitor the NYC Congestion pricing plan as it is implemented</li> </ul>	Supportive Policy - no direct emissions reductions; reduction potential of 5-20%		Not possible without state involvement.
EV-1	Improve access to publicly accessible EV charging and fast charging, either curbside or following "gas station" model	<ul> <li>One L2 charger being used moderately can reduce emissions by 5.5 metric tons per year. One DCFC charger being used moderately can reduce emissions by 25.6 metric tons</li> <li>Assumption is that Cambridge will have a total of 100 publicly accessible chargers by 2027, and 475 L2 chargers and 25 DCFC chargers by 2050</li> <li>Cambridge or private company can install approximately 20 L2 chargers and one fast charger per year, scaling up or down as needed</li> <li>Chargers are publicly accessible regardless of ownership</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Create public private partnerships that significantly increase the availability of public curbside and fast chargers.</li> <li>These chargers could be privately or publicly owned, but they must be publicly accessible</li> </ul>	6%	Yes	
EV-2	Install city-owned public electric vehicle charging stations and micromobility charging at CHA-owned housing sites	<ul> <li>One L2 charger being used moderately can reduce emissions by 5.5 metric tons per year.</li> <li>Cambridge will have 100 curbside chargers by 2027, we are assuming 80 L2 chargers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Install 4 L2 chargers at 20 properties</li> <li>Total of 80 chargers</li> <li>Very important equity measure, but minimal emissions reductions</li> </ul>	Less than 1%		
EV-3	Work with charging providers and/or Eversource to provide discounts to low- income EV owners	<ul> <li>Because charging is already comparable in price, often cheaper, to purchasing gas, this is expected to have a small impact on encouraging people to purchase EVs</li> <li>7.4% of families had incomes below the poverty line</li> <li>Convinces 10% of low-income families to buy an EV</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provide a program that allows for Income-Eligible Charging Rebates</li> <li>Work with Eversource to create a program similar to their Managed Charging Program in Connecticut, which offers a rebate up to \$200/year for charging at off-peak times</li> </ul>	Less than 1%		
EV-4	Provide support to connect EV buyers with existing state and federal incentives.	<ul> <li>Create a program that connects EV-buyers with existing or future financial incentives from state and federal programs</li> <li>Does not involve provide new incentives from the City budget to EV buyers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>EV-buyers would be able to more easily understand and access incentives that lower the cost on new EV cars</li> </ul>	5%* *The emissions reductions associated with this action will be updated to reflect recent changes	Yes	This action has may have Anti-Aid Amendment and serious budget implications.

Tag	Action	Assumptions	What could this look like?	GHG Emission Reduction Estimate	Potential for Further Emissions Reduction?	Anti-Aid, Budget, or Additional Coordination
EV-5	Require new developments have 25% EV parking, with enough capacity to support 100% EV parking	<ul> <li>17.7% population growth from 2019 to 2050</li> <li>25% of parking must be for EVs initially, with the percent increasing to 100% by 2050</li> <li>Electrical capacity must be provided for 100% of parking to be for EVs</li> <li>Eversource provides incentives to low-rise single- or multi-family homes to install chargers</li> </ul>	• Cambridge has plans to change zoning requirements to match Boston's EV Readiness Policy for New Developments by adopting its own policy requiring developers to install EVSE for 25% of parking spaces, and prepare the remaining 75% for future installation. This has not happened yet.	5%		
P-1	Increase residential parking permit fee, with discounts for people with low incomes	<ul> <li>Residential parking permits are currently \$25 per year</li> <li>Average annual vehicle cost of \$9,282</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The average cost of a space in Cambridge ranges from \$175-\$420 per month</li> <li>Implement other recommendations from Cambridge Parking Study</li> </ul>	1%	Yes	
P-2	Increase fees at parking meters	<ul> <li>\$3 per hour</li> <li>Current on-street parking costs \$1-\$1.5 per hour (Kendall Square was just raised to \$2/hr)</li> <li>12.5% of trips parking on the street</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Higher prices for on-street metered parking</li> <li>Implement other recommendations from Cambridge Parking Study</li> </ul>	5%	Yes	
CE-1	Improve communication about transportation options that are available	<ul> <li>Offered to all residents and workers in Cambridge</li> <li>19% of residences participate</li> <li>12% vehicle trip reduction for those who participate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cambridge City staff provide transportation information and workshops, and produce informational materials to share widely and accessibly</li> <li>Prioritize low-income and underserved communities</li> </ul>	2%		
CE-2	Conduct a racialized analysis of transportation inequities	All forms of mobility need to be welcoming and safe for all users	<ul> <li>Develop a community working group to understand race-based differences in transportation enforcement</li> <li>Understand how the City played a role in perpetuating inequity in order to guide intentional shifts toward community power</li> <li>More deeply involve environmental justice communities in transportation planning</li> </ul>	Supportive policy - no direct emissions reductions		