



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
PLANNING BOARD

HALL ANNEX, 57 INMAN STREET, CAMBRIDGE 02139

Notice of Decision

(summary)

In reference to the petition of the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority/Wellington-Harrington Development Corporation/Wellington-Harrington Housing Associates, Inc., for a Special Permit for Townhouse Development at 288-366 Portland Street, the petition has been GRANTED by the Planning Board with the following conditions:

1. The development is approved for sixty-two (62) townhouse units as shown on the revised site plan dated 1/5/82, except as modified below.
2. The petitioners shall continue to investigate possible alternatives for providing access to the units along the southern property line without damage to the existing stand of Linden's. The petitioner shall produce written assurance from a landscape architect or tree specialist that the proposed driveway and service line construction will not cause irreparable damage to the trees proposed to be retained.
3. The petitioners shall provide a detailed landscape plan of the entire site showing the location, size and types of trees, grass, ground cover and/or shrubs and any non-living durable landscape materials proposed. This plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Community Development Department prior to issuance of a building permit.
4. The perimeter fencing along the south, east and north boundary shall provide a safe and secure buffer from the adjacent non-residential uses. This fencing may be quality chainlink with vinyl coating of a neutral color such as green; use of higher quality fencing is encouraged and preferred. Where chainlink fencing is used a landscaped screen of vines, shrubs and/or coniferous trees shall be planted along the fencing so as to soften its effect.

5. Fencing along the Portland Street property line shall be either wooden, cast iron, or other fencing of comparable quality and shall not exceed a maximum height of three (3) feet. The maximum height of this fencing, within ten (10) feet of any driveway or curbcut, shall not exceed two (2) feet.
6. The fencing along proposed property lines within the development site shall be wooden, in general form as indicated in the submitted plans, and shall not exceed a maximum height of six (6) feet.
7. The width of the proposed drives shall be a minimum of twenty (20) feet.
8. All parallel parking along the proposed drives shall be restricted to one side to ensure safe and convenient access.
9. Revised Final Development Plans and documents shall be submitted to the Community Development Department reflecting all conditions of this Decision prior to the issuance of any building permit. The Community Development Department shall provide written certification that such plans comply with the conditions of this decision.
10. All of the preceding orders and all conditions claimed within this Special Permit may be assigned to Wellington-Harrington Housing Associates, Inc. and any succeeding owner of said property.

A copy of the complete decision and final plans have been filed with the office of the City Clerk on January 28, 1982. Appeals, if any, shall be made pursuant to Section 17, Chapter 40A, Massachusetts General Laws and shall be filed within twenty (20) days after the date of filing of the complete decision.

Elizabeth R. McCarthy
Secretary to the Planning Board



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
PLANNING BOARD

CITY HALL ANNEX, 57 INMAN STREET, CAMBRIDGE 02139

CASE NO.: PB #22
PREMISES: 288-366 Portland Street
ZONING DISTRICT: Residence C-1
PETITIONER: Cambridge Redevelopment Authority/Wellington-Harrington Development Corporation/Wellington-Harrington Housing Associates, Inc.
APPLICATION DATE: December 17, 1981
PUBLIC HEARING DATE: January 5, 1982
PETITION: Townhouse Special Permit for 71 dwelling units, Sections 11.10 and 4.26 of the Zoning Ordinance.
DATE OF PLANNING BOARD DECISION: January 5, 1982

APPLICATION

The following documents were submitted by the applicant in support of the petition.

1. Special Permit Application, Townhouse Development; Linden Park Homes, 288-366 Portland Street; Cambridge Redevelopment Authority; Joseph F. Tulumieri, authorized representative; submitted to the Community Development Department December 14, 1981.
2. Special Permit Application, Multi-family Development; Linden Park Homes; Cambridge Redevelopment Authority; Joseph F. Tulumieri, authorized representative; submitted to the Community Development Department December 14, 1981.
3. Three copies of plans and elevations drawn by R. D. Fanning Architects, Inc., Boston, MA 02108, dated December 14, 1981.
4. Three copies of revised plans and elevations drawn by R. D. Fanning Architect, Inc., Boston, MA 02108, dated January 5, 1982 and submitted at the January 5, 1982 public hearing.
5. Letter, dated January 5, 1982, from Richard D. Fanning, Architect outlining the revisions made to the original plans of December 14, 1981 as shown in the plans of January 5, 1982. Attached to the January 5, 1982 letter was a revised quantitative data sheet and six pages of floor plans of the various building types proposed.

The following additional documents were presented to the Planning Board for their consideration.

Documents

1. Letter, dated January 7, 1982 from Lauren M. Preston, Traffic Engineer, Department of Traffic and Parking, summarizing his comments and suggested revisions to the plans.
2. Letter, dated January 5, 1982, from James Bentubo, Chairman of the Wellington-Harrington Citizens Committee, stating their endorsement and recommendation for approval of the special permit.
3. Staff review, dated December 30, 1981, summarizing the proposed development and major issues of concern.

PUBLIC HEARING

A public hearing was held, in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 40A, Section 11 of the MGL's, on January 5, 1982 in the Conference Room, Cambridge Community Development Department, 57 Inman Street.

Applicant

Mr. Joseph Youngworth, Cambridge Redevelopment Authority, introduced himself and Mr. James Bentubo, Wellington-Harrington Development Corporation, co-applicant in this development proposal. Mr. Bentubo explained the history of the recent rezoning of this parcel from an Industrial district to a Residence C-1 district and informed the Board that the Residence C-1A rezoning proposal which would have required a certain amount of low/moderate-income housing had not been passed by Council. A private agreement between the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority and Wellington-Harrington Development Corporation has been arranged to provide 20 to 30% low/moderate-income housing. Mr. Bentubo stated that the timing for completing the special permit review process was crucial based on funding requirements set up by HUD.

Robert Gunderson, attorney for the Wellington-Harrington Development Corporation, stated that they had submitted applications under both the multi-family and townhouse sections since at the time of filing they were uncertain as to which option they would choose. Mr. Gunderson requested that the application for multi-family housing be withdrawn at this time. Mr. Gunderson also informed the Board that he and Mr. Fanning, the project architect, had met with the Community Development staff to discuss the project and that he was submitting new plans with revisions as suggested by the staff at that earlier meeting.

Mr. Richard Fanning, project architect, presented the new plans and a revised data sheet reflecting all of the changes made. These changes included a reduction in the number of units from 71 to 62, an increase in lot widths from 20 feet to 23 feet, treatment of the units along Portland Street with bay windows and walks, and information as to proposed landscaping. Mr. Fanning also stated that the units will be

owned through a fee simple arrangement and are typical box type manufactured homes. The prices are expected to be approximately \$23 per square foot; this does not include land or service costs. In response to questions as to why the southern most drive wasn't moved to protect the existing stand of Linden trees, as suggested by the staff, Mr. Fanning stated that there are several reasons for leaving the drive as proposed. These are listed in a letter dated January 5, 1982, and include inconvenience to residents and drivers who might mistakenly enter the drive if it were aligned with York Place.

There were no questions or comments by citizens attending the hearing.

PLANNING BOARD FINDINGS

1. In accordance with the requirements of subsection 10.43 of the zoning ordinance criteria for the granting of a special permit, the Board finds that:
 - a. The proposal has no zoning violations.
 - b. Traffic generation and the pattern of access and egress will not cause congestion hazard or a substantial change in the neighborhood. To ensure that access and egress will be safe and convenient, the entrances should be a minimum of twenty (20) feet wide as suggested by the Traffic Department.
 - c. The proposed residential use is compatible with and will not adversely affect existing or allowed uses in the district. The proposed use reflects the wishes of the neighborhood and the City as mandated by the recent rezoning of this area from industrial to residential use.
 - d. The proposed development will provide substantial benefits to the future occupants of the development and the citizens of the City. The development offers housing opportunities to people of low- and moderate-income levels who otherwise cannot afford housing in the open market.
 - e. The proposed development conforms to the intent and purpose of the zoning ordinance for this district, as noted in paragraph c above.
 - f. The Board is concerned over the proposed landscaping and screening on the site, specifically with the lack of information submitted to date.
2. In accordance with subsection 10.474 of the zoning ordinance, criteria for approval of townhouses, the Board finds that:

- a. The only natural feature on the site is the stand of large Linden trees located on the southwestern edge along Portland Street. The Board finds this stand of Lindens to be a natural amenity worth preserving and protecting.
- b. The proposed buildings along Portland Street, as shown in the revised plans, dated January 5, 1982, reflect a sensitive awareness to the existing residences across Portland Street. The location, orientation and massing of all the townhouse units will allow and encourage the use of passive solar energy.
- c. The proposed open space is located and arranged so as to provide usable space to each individual occupant, as well as a visual benefit to those travelling along Portland Street.
- d. The proposed 62 parking spaces will be provided on-site in front of each respective unit. An additional 17 spaces will be located along one side of the proposed drives, parallel to the units. The Board finds the amount of parking sufficient but is concerned that the parallel parking arrangement of the excess spaces will severely restrict the maneuvering room of cars backing out onto the proposed drive.
- e. The petitioner states that trash pick-up will be by City agency or private company through a home ownership association, in which membership will be obligatory.

PLANNING BOARD DECISION

After consideration and review of the information and plans submitted by the applicant, discussion by the Board and staff, the Planning Board by a unanimous vote grants a special permit for the construction of sixty-two (62) townhouse units as detailed in the application and plans, as modified, with the following conditions:

1. The general location, size and number of buildings and other development features shall remain as indicated in the modified site plan, dated January 5, 1982, as filed with this decision dated January 1982, except as modified below.
2. The petitioners shall continue to investigate possible alternatives for providing access to the units along the southern property line without damage to the existing stand of Linden's. The petition shall produce written assurance from a landscape architect or tree specialist that the proposed driveway and service line construction will not cause irreparable damage to the trees proposed to be retained.
3. The petitioners shall provide a detailed landscape plan of the entire site showing the location, size and types of trees, grass, ground cover and/or shrubs and any non-living durable landscape materials proposed. This plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Community Development Department prior to issuance of a building permit.

4. The perimeter fencing along the south, east and north boundary shall provide a safe and secure buffer from the adjacent non-residential uses. This fencing may be quality chainlink with vinyl coating of a neutral color such as green; use of higher quality fencing is encouraged and preferred. Where chainlink fencing is used a landscaped screen of vines, shrubs and/or coniferous trees shall be planted along the fencing so as to soften its effect.
5. Fencing along the Portland Street property line shall be either wooden, cast iron, or other fencing of comparable quality and shall not exceed a maximum height of three (3) feet. The maximum height of this fencing, within ten (10) feet of any driveway or curbcut, shall not exceed two (2) feet.
6. The fencing along proposed property lines within the development site shall be wooden, in general form as indicated in the submitted plans, and shall not exceed a maximum height of six (6) feet.
7. The width of the proposed drives shall be a minimum of twenty (20) feet.
8. All parallel parking along the proposed drives shall be restricted to one side to ensure safe and convenient access.
9. Revised Final Development Plans and documents shall be submitted to the Community Development Department reflecting all conditions of this Decision prior to the issuance of any building permit. The Community Development Department shall provide written certification that such plans comply with the conditions of this decision.
10. All of the preceding orders and all conditions claimed within this Special Permit may be assigned to Wellington-Harrington Housing Associates, Inc. and any succeeding owner of said property.

Respectfully submitted,

For the Planning Board

Arthur C. Parris
Chairman

ATTEST: A true and correct copy of the decision filed with the Office of the City Clerk on _____, by _____, authorized representative of the Cambridge Planning Board.

Twenty days have elapsed since the date of filing this decision. No appeal has been filed _____.
Appeal filed and dismissed or denied _____.

Date: _____
City Clerk, City of Cambridge