Case:

PB #91

Premises:

199 Alewife Brook Parkway

Petitioner:

R. Cooper for REM Realty

Application Date:

May 17, 1990

Public Hearing:

June 5, 1990

Petition:

Parkway Overlay District, Special Permit to waive the maximum dimensional

requirements for the signs.

Date of Planning Board Decision: June 5, 1990

Decision Filing Date:

September 12, 1990

Decision (summary):

GRANTED with conditions

CONDITIONS:

Special Permit was granted for a lit awning with letters measuring no more than 21 inches. This would be the entire signage allowed for this business in height. The sign on the free standing stanchion must be

removed.

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

BOAR

INMAN STREET, CAMBRIDGE ANNEX. 57

NOTICE OF DECISION

Case No.:

#91

Premises:

199 Alewife Brook Parkway

Zoning District:

Business C/Parkway Overlay District

Owner:

REM Realty/Robert Marlow, Trustee

Petitioner:

Reid Cooper, Simone Acciavatti, Representative

Application Date:

May 17, 1990

Date of Public Hearing: June 5, 1990

Petition: Parkway Overlay District, Section 11.637, Special

Permit to waive the maximum dimensional requirements

for the signs.

Date of Planning Board Decision: June 5, 1990

Date of filing the Decision: September 12, 1990

Decision (summary): GRANTED with conditions.

Appeals, if any, shall be made pursuant to Section 17 of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40A, and shall be filed within twenty (20) days after the date of filing of the above referenced decision with the City Clerk.

Copies of the complete decision and final plans, if applicable, are on file with the office of Community Development and the City Clerk.

Authorized Representative to the Planning Board

9/12/90

Date

Case No.: #91

Premises: 199 Alewife Brook Parkway

Zoning District: Business C/Parkway Overlay District

Owner: REM Realty/Robert Marlow, Trustee

Petitioner: Reid Cooper, Simone Acciavatti, representative

Application Date: May 17, 1990

Date of Public Hearing: June 5, 1990

Date of Planning Board Decision: June 5, 1990

Date of filing the Decision: September 12, 1990

Application

Documents Submitted

1. Special Permit Application dated May 17, 1990, filed as complete May 17, 1990, with a "Sign Design Review Application" from the Community Development Department.

 Proposed plan for the signage at the Tech Computer Center, canopy layout, March 8, 1990, scale as noted.

Public Hearing

At the public hearing, held June 5, 1990, the Petitioner, presented the sign proposal which consisted of a new sign which would be lit from dusk to 11 p.m. Lester Barber of the Community Development Department explained the sign regulations and the Parkway Overlay District regulations regarding signs as applicable to the application. The applicant is allowed 32 square feet of signage and is requesting a total of 80 square The Board asked the petitioner about the removal of the existing nonconforming signs and free standing sign at the site. The Board also explained the strategy for the removal of excessive signage in the Parkway Overlay District. There was extensive discussion between the applicant and the Board regarding possible changes to bring the application closer to conforming with the existing regulations. The applicant objected on the grounds that the area is congested and his business needs to draw attention to the location.

<u>Findings</u>

The Board finds that the improvement of quality and reduction in quantity of the signs in the Parkway Overlay Districts is very important to the establishment and maintenance of the District's character. This is a small step towards the goal of enhancing the public open space which is important to the Board.

Decision

The Board voted to GRANT a Special Permit for a lit awning with letters measuring no more than 21 inches. This would be the entire signage allowed for this business in height. The Special Permit require that the sign on the free standing stanchion be removed. Reconsideration failed.

Voting to grant the Permit were: P. Dietrich, H. Russell, D. Kennedy, A. Cohn, A. Callaghan, and C. Meith.

For the Planning Board,

Paul Dietrich, Chairman

and Dietrich MM

A copy of this decision shall be filed with the Office of the City Clerk. Appeals is any shall be made pursuant to Section 17, Chapter 40A, Massachusetts General Laws and shall be filed within twenty (20) days of such filing in the Office of the City Clerk.

ATTEST: A true and correct copy of the decision filed with the Office of the City Clerk on September 12, 1990, by Elizabeth J. Malenfant, authorized representative of the Cambridge Planning Board. All plans referenced in the decision have likewise been filed with the City Clerk on such date.

Twenty (20) days have elapsed since the filing of this decision. No Appeal has been filed.

City Clerk, City of Cambridge

Date